

Marguerite Lockard

From: Jeremy Susac
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2004 10:40 AM
To: Kay Flynn; Marguerite Lockard
Cc: Adam Teitzman
Subject: RE: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP - following up

yes. thanks.

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2004 10:39 AM
To: Jeremy Susac; Marguerite Lockard
Cc: Adam Teitzman
Subject: RE: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP - following up

Great. So we return everything?

From: Jeremy Susac
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2004 10:36 AM
To: Kay Flynn; Marguerite Lockard
Cc: Adam Teitzman
Subject: RE: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP - following up

I spoke with the companies. notices covered documents that were already granted confidential status (eg., Sprint).

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2004 10:18 AM
To: Marguerite Lockard; Jeremy Susac
Cc: Adam Teitzman
Subject: RE: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP - following up

I think we're waiting for Jeremy to hear back from a couple of companies before we do anything.

Jeremy, is that right?

From: Marguerite Lockard
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2004 10:09 AM
To: Kay Flynn; Jeremy Susac
Cc: Adam Teitzman
Subject: RE: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP - following up

go ahead and process ??????

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 10:53 AM
To: Jeremy Susac
Cc: Marguerite Lockard; Adam Teitzman
Subject: RE: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP - following up

Jeremy, if all confidential documents in both dockets (those filed under NOI, under claims, under requests, those with rulings (orders of any type, including protective, etc.)) can simply be returned to their sources, we won't need an answer to those

12/15/2004

Cy to Marguerite
CMP _____

COM _____

CTR _____

ECR _____

GCL _____

OPC _____

MMS _____

RCA _____

SCR _____

SEC 1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

13182 DEC 15 04

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

other specific questions I raised. We will simply return ALL confidential documents.

Is that correct?

Kay

(Including Adam and Pat in cc;; I failed to do so before.)

From: Jeremy Susac

Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 10:40 AM

To: Kay Flynn

Cc: Marguerite Lockard

Subject: RE: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP - following up

Hi Kay:

1. All confidential documents (including claims and NOIs) in 030852 can be returned or shredded.
2. All confidential documents (regardless of ruling) in both dockets can be returned.
3. All the data requests are covered by the Protective Order can be returned or shredded.

I will double check and get back to you on the following:

00282-04 - See Sprint's DN 01362-04; 00282-04 may be covered by a BellSouth request?

00284-04 - " (00284-04)

00286-04 - BellSouth's rebuttal (Varner) - was the confidential version entered in the record?

00287-04 - BellSouth's rebuttal (Ainsworth) - "

02592-04 - BellSouth's supplemental (Stegeman) - was this entered in the record?

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2004 10:35 AM

To: Jeremy Susac

Cc: Marguerite Lockard

Subject: RE: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP - following up

Jeremy, in checking just a bit further on the documents listed as filed under NOI that are to be put in docket file (or sent to staff) because there was no timely request for confidentiality, just a few questions or points:

00282-04 - See Sprint's DN 01362-04; 00282-04 may be covered by a BellSouth request?

00284-04 - " (00284-04)

00286-04 - BellSouth's rebuttal (Varner) - was the confidential version entered in the record?

00287-04 - BellSouth's rebuttal (Ainsworth) - "

02592-04 - BellSouth's supplemental (Stegeman) - was this entered in the record?

The documents listed above are all out of 030851.

12780-03 - was this entered in the record? (030851/852)

01913-04 - this Time Warner filing may be covered by a request (see DN 03728-04) (030851/852)

For those out of 030852, since the hearing was never actually held, was a time by which formal requests would be considered untimely ever established? Would we want to give the companies another chance or should the documents possibly simply be returned?

I'm asking just in an abundance of caution, to be sure we don't release confidential that may possibly have had some kind of timely request -- or may not have been entered in the record.

Also, is it possible that ALL confidential documents filed in 030851 and 030852 (whether they've had an order issued or not) could be returned to the source(s)? If staff agreed they are no longer needed, we could simply return all confidential filings. What do you think?

12/15/2004

Kay

From: Jeremy Susac
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2004 11:49 AM
To: Marguerite Lockard
Cc: Kay Flynn; Pat Lee; Adam Teitzman
Subject: RE: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP

Marguerite:

Thank you very much for organizing this. In short, the following documents **can and should** be returned to the parties.

"Confidential claim"

01805-04 030851-TP
02271-04
02529-04
02656-04

00289-04 030852-TP
00548-04
01424-04
01488-04
02243-04
02539-04
02583-04
02744-04
02883-04
03009-04
03097-04
03131-04
03237-04
03292-04

12780-03 030851-TP and 030852-TP
01913-04

2. Staff's confidential filings **can and should** be shredded.

3. A notice of intent without a formal request should be placed in the public domain. however, OPC's should be returned. This is because it was filed post-hearing and their time to request has not run due to the abeyance (03701-04 in 030851-TP).

"NOI filed; awaiting request"

00282-04 030851-TP
 00284-04
 00286-04
 00287-04
 02592-04

12346-03 030852-TP
 00222-04
 01141-04
 02396-04
 02963-04

Thanks in advance for your time and effort,

Jeremy

413-6236

From: Marguerite Lockard
Sent: Monday, November 15, 2004 7:54 AM
To: Pat Lee
Cc: Jeremy Susac; Kay Flynn
Subject: Confidential DNs in Dkts 030851-TP & 030852-TP

Dockets 030851-TP & 030852-TP were closed on 10/11/04 (Order PSC-04-0989-FOF-TP). There are confidential DNs still pending that are listed below. Please advise CCA by memo as to action to be taken, such as:

1. Do any of these documents need rulings?
2. Should the documents filed under NOIs with no timely request be placed in the public docket file?
3. Should the documents filed under a claim that were made a part of the record be placed in the public docket file because no timely request was filed?
4. Should the company-filed documents under requests or claims, that were not entered in the record, be returned to those companies?
5. Should all the staff-filed documents be shredded? (If not, they will need rulings.)

"Req forwarded to staff for recom"

030851-TP				
10790-03	00181-04	01995-04	02609-04	03724-04
10793-03	00219-04	02007-04	02612-04	03727-04
10798-03	00270-04	02014-04	02615-04	03729-04
10978-03	00272-04	02048-04	02618-04	03757-04
11435-03	00274-04	02050-04	02633-04	03768-04
11437-03	00277-04	02069-04	02645-04	
11697-03	00278-04	02096-04	02648-04	
11977-03	00343-04	02177-04	02650-04	

11985-03	00767-04	02179-04	02653-04
12003-03	00770-04	02189-04	02680-04
12026-03	00776-04	02265-04	02683-04
12215-03	00844-04	02273-04	02751-03
12430-03	00986-04	02277-04	02786-04
12446-03	00989-04	02295-04	02855-04
12448-03	01010-04	02335-04	02861-04
12655-03	01018-04	02342-04	02864-04
12729-03	01079-04	02356-04	02933-04
12733-03	01207-04	02358-04	02937-04
12772-03	01272-04	02366-04	02946-04
12824-03	01279-04	02420-04	03139-04
12890-03	01289-04	02467-04	03604-04
12893-03	01505-04	02494-04	03627-04
12923-03	01599-04	02510-04	03631-04
12993-03	01801-04	02531-04	03633-04
13174-03	01803-04	02533-04	03635-04
13520-03	01903-04	02536-04	03637-04
13597-03	01988-04	02561-04	03709-04
13630-03	01993-04	02606-04	03711-04

030852-TP

11082-03	00916-04	02275-04	02839-04	03196-04
11103-03	00923-04	02354-04	02841-04	03199-04
11123-03	00993-04	02360-04	02846-04	03400-04
11356-03	01013-04	02432-04	02867-04	03402-04
11433-03	01016-04	02528-04	02870-04	03405-04
11699-03	01130-04	02619-04	02886-04	03458-04
13053-03	01609-04	02716-04	02919-04	03476-04
13335-03	01661-04	02721-04	02923-04	03858-04
13575-03	01665-04	02727-04	02927-04	03860-04
13633-03	01667-04	02729-04	02930-04	03862-04
13647-03	01677-04	02731-04	02940-04	03864-04
00349-04	01747-04	02746-04	02958-04	03866-04
00482-04	01899-04	02748-04	02960-04	03926-04
00527-04	01901-04	02754-04	03066-04	03928-04
00598-04	01910-04	02788-04	03069-04	03930-04
00609-04	01940-04	02796-04	03082-04	03932-04
00763-04	01948-04	02803-04	03100-04	
00853-04	02018-04	02808-04	03105-04	
00907-04	02107-04	02822-04	03108-04	
00912-04	02232-04	02826-04	03192-04	

03716-04 030851-TP and 030852-TP

"NOI filed; awaiting request"

00282-04 030851-TP

00284-04

00286-04

00287-04

02592-04

12346-03 030852-TP

00222-04

01141-04

02396-04

02963-04

"Confidential claim"

01805-04 030851-TP

02271-04

02529-04

02656-04

00289-04 030852-TP

00548-04

01424-04

01488-04

02243-04

02539-04

02583-04

02744-04

02883-04

03009-04

03097-04

03131-04

03237-04

03292-04

12780-03 030851-TP and 030852-TP

01913-04

"Filed by staff"

02435-04 030851-TP

02441-04

02523-04

02185-04 030852-TP

"Filed by OPC; awaiting co request"

03701-04 030851-TP
