

STATE OF FLORIDA



COMMISSIONERS:  
JULIE I. BROWN, CHAIRMAN  
ART GRAHAM  
RONALD A. BRISÉ  
DONALD J. POLMANN  
GARY F. CLARK

DIVISION OF ECONOMICS  
GREG SHAFER  
DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6410

# Public Service Commission

December 8, 2017

James D. Beasley, Esquire  
J. Jeffry Wahlen, Esquire  
Ausley McMullen  
Post Office Box 391  
Tallahassee, Florida 32302  
jbeasley@ausley.com  
jwahlen@ausley.com

## STAFF'S THIRD DATA REQUEST

*Via E-mail*

**Re: Docket No. 20170198-EI: Petition of Tampa Electric Company to close to New Businesses all Existing Lighting Rates and Approve new LED Lighting Rates and Tariffs for a Street and Outdoor Lighting Conversion Program.**

Dear Mr. Beasley:

By this letter, Commission staff respectfully requests the following information from Tampa Electric Company (TECO).

1. In the letter dated November 20, 2017, Tampa Electric refers to a streetlight conversion program approved in the past for Florida Power & Light Company (FPL). Please confirm that Tampa Electric is referring to Docket No. 160245-EI, Petition for approval of a new optional pilot LED streetlight tariff, by Florida Power & Light Company. If Tampa Electric is referring to a different FPL docket, please provide the docket number.
2. Under the approved FPL conversion program in Docket No. 160245-EI, customers choosing to convert from HPSV to LED lighting under the LED Lighting Pilot (rate schedule LT-1) tariff are assessed a \$0.97 per fixture LED Conversion Recovery Fee. Please provide a discussion if a program similar to FPL's would work for Tampa Electric. If not, please explain why.
3. Please provide a calculation of a Conversion Recovery Fee for the 209,821 non-LED fixtures Tampa Electric is proposing to convert, similar to the calculation FPL provided in response to Staff's First Data Request No. 14, in Docket No. 16024-EI. The Conversion Recovery Fee would allow Tampa Electric to recover the \$37,780,595 unamortized depreciation value of the non-LED lights (as opposed to recovering the \$37M through the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause).

James D. Beasley, Esquire

Page 2

December 8, 2017

4. Please refer to TECO's petition, Exhibit D, in Docket No. 20170199-EI, and TECO's response to Staff's 1<sup>st</sup> Data Request, No. 10, in Docket No. 20170198-EI.
  - a) Are the bill impacts of the proposed DSM program for lighting customers shown in Exhibit D inclusive of the proposed DSM program cost recovery (cost recovery of MH and HPS luminaires (e.g. for residential customers, \$0.533 cents per month at 1200 kwh; presumably different for lighting customers)?
  - b) If not, why not?
  - c) If not, what are the Exhibit D bill impacts including the proposed DSM program cost recovery?

Please file all responses electronically no later than, December 13, 2017 via the Commission's website at [www.floridapsc.com](http://www.floridapsc.com) by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please feel free to call me at 850.413.6204 if you have any questions.

Sincerely

*/s/Sevini Guffey*

Sevini Guffey

Utility Analyst

[sguffey@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:sguffey@psc.state.fl.us)

cc: Office of Commission Clerk