1		BEFORE THE
	FLORIDA P	UBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		
3		
4	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 20190116-SU
5		
6	APPLICATION FOR STAFT ASSISTED RATE CASE II	
7	BREVARD COUNTY, AND I FOR INTERIM RATE INC.	~
0	BY MERRITT ISLAND UT:	
8	COMPANY.	/
9		
10		
11		COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA
12	•	IIEM NO. 4
	COMMISSIONERS	
13		CHAIRMAN GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
14		COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN
15		COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY
16	DATE:	Tuesday, March 3, 2020
17		Betty Easley Conference Center
18	•	4075 Esplanade Way
19		Tallahassee, Florida
20		ANDREA KOMARIDIS WRAY Court Reporter and
21	I	Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
22		
		REMIER REPORTING
23		14 W. 5TH AVENUE LLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
24		(850) 894-0828
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Next up is Item
3	No. 4, application for staff-assisted rate case in
4	Brevard County.
5	MR. BROWN: Good morning, Commissioners. Todd
6	Brown with Commission staff. Item 4 is staff's
7	recommendation addressing Merritt Island's
8	application for a staff-assisted rate case. The
9	utility is a Class C wastewater utility that serves
10	approximately 141 residential customers, and one
11	general-service customer in Brevard County.
12	Rates for this utility were last established
13	in 2008. A customer meeting was held on
14	November 4th, 2019, in which no customers attended.
15	The Commission has not received any correspondence
16	from customers regarding this docket.
17	Representatives from the utility and the
18	Office of Public Counsel are here today. And staff
19	is available for your questions at this time.
20	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. I believe OPC
21	wanted to make some comments.
22	MS. MORSE: Yes, we do. Good morning,
23	Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. My name is
24	Stephanie Morse on behalf of the Office of Public
25	Counsel.

1	We want to briefly address a concern related
2	to Issue 2. The record shows the utility is not in
3	compliance with DEP regulations and, thus, is not
4	in compliance with Rule 25-30
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Ms. Morse, could you pull the
6	mic a little closer, please? Thank you.
7	MS. MORSE: This way. All right. Okay.
8	The record shows the utility is not in
9	compliance with the DEP regulations and, thus, not
10	in compliance with Rule 25-30.225 of the Florida
11	Administrative Code.
12	The record further indicates the utility
13	considered entering a consent order to avoid being
14	fined by DEP for this failure, which is related to
15	routine maintenance.
16	Notwithstanding this evidence, the
17	recommendation does not include a penalty for
18	failing to maintain the system in compliance with
19	DEP's regulations.
20	Where a utility is clearly shown to be out of
21	compliance with applicable regulatory requirements,
22	there should be some consequence in its rate-
23	request proceedings before this body.
24	Commissioners, consistent with the carrot-or-
25	stick approach that several of you discussed at the

January agenda this year, where a utility fails in
performing basic tasks it's obligated to do and for
which the customers have paid, it's important that
there be ramifications for those failures or else
there will be no incentive for any utility to
comply with any regulatory requirements.

The facts in this case are undisputed. The utility is not operating in compliance with DEP requirements. That failure is noted in the staff's recommendation.

The assurance from the utility in this case that it's working on the issues is inadequate support to maintain a finding of satisfactory com- -- performance in an element in a rate case. In short, when you're not doing the job that you're supposed to do and for which ratepayers are paying, there should be a penalty.

In some of its responses and correspondence to the staff, the utility indicated that, before it took over the utility in -- in or about 2017, the utility had been in a state of neglect.

Nonetheless, the warning letter at issue, the DEP warning letter, was issued in November 2019.

At a certain point, the fault for lack of maintenance is no longer the prior owner's fault,

1	but is appropriately directed to the current
2	company, which has been in charge for years now.
3	The DEP's warning letter indicates the
4	deficiencies are related to a lack of routine
5	maintenance of vegetation growth and removal of
6	solids. These present legitimate risks to the
7	public.
8	OPC's concerns are that any additional
9	expenses related to extraordinary clean-up caused
10	by the failure to prudently perform routine
11	maintenance should not be borne by the customers
12	and and that the utility's performance or
13	operating conditions should not be considered
14	satisfactory where the record demonstrates it's
15	failed to stay in compliance with DEP's
16	requirements.
17	When the Commission discussed the carrot-or-
18	stick approach in January, the consequence of poor
19	performance was a penalty in the range of 50 to 100
20	basis points. Therefore, we we respectfully
21	request that you impose appropriate penalties for
22	the compliance failures in this case.
23	Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Ms. Morse.
25	Mr. Rendell, would you like to respond?

1	MR. RENDELL: Yes, sir. Troy Rendell on
2	behalf of Merritt Island utility.
3	Since we took over this utility, we have spent
4	over \$118,000 on improvements. Prior to us
5	purchasing it, there was little to no treatment at
6	the plant. The ponds in question have accumulated
7	sludge over numerous years, probably 20, 30 years.
8	We we did meet with DEP last month as a
9	as recently as last week. I believe on Friday,
10	they sent us a long consent form, which we agreed
11	to. And basically what the consent form is
12	does and we have not signed it yet is we
13	we've agreed to do in-kind.
14	What DEP allows is they have a fine I
15	think, in this instance, around 4,000 and we would
16	have to spend about 6,000, but regardless of that,
17	we're already spending additional funds.
18	We put in two new blowers. We're putting in
19	brand-new diffusers. So, we're we're actually
20	spending additional capital that's not included in
21	this rate case. As a result, we may have to come
22	in for a limited proceeding after the conclusion of
23	the staff-assisted rate case.
24	The ponds in question were full of water.
25	They the piping the original piping did not

1	allow for rotations of the ponds, so they were
2	going into one main one.
3	During the period of time they the DEP
4	wanted us to drain it and clean the vegetation,
5	there is tremendous rainfall. As a matter of fact,
6	when we had the customer meeting in that area,
7	there was a huge rainfall and they had eight inches
8	of rain. You can't dry out a pond with rain. And
9	we've indicated that to DEP, which they've agreed.
10	And now it's the dry season, so we've agreed to
11	have it done by April, while while the ponds are
12	dry.
13	So, we we acknowledge that there you
14	know, there are issues that have to be addressed
15	with DEP. We've met with them. They're working
16	very closely with us and we intend to file to
17	sign the consent order very soon.
18	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. Thank you very much.
19	All right. Commissioners, any questions?
20	Commissioner Brown.
21	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Just a follow-up to OPC's
22	comments about the carrot and the stick so,
23	you're recommending that the Commission penalize
24	the utility because of the current status with DEP,
25	but what is your actual recommendation on a

1	penalty?
2	MS. MORSE: The basis points, in in terms
3	of the rate increase, is what we propose is is
4	an adequate within the range, of course, that
5	at your discretion.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, in the in the
7	prior case where the Commission voted on the
8	penalties, though, the circumstances were
9	different. This transfer took place in 2016. And
10	my understanding is that it appears most of the
11	issues, though, took place prior to the transfer?
12	MS. MORSE: I'm sorry. What was what was
13	the beginning of that question?
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Most of the circumstances
15	and issues took place prior to the transfer that
16	occurred in 2016, correct?
17	MR. RENDELL: Correct.
18	MS. MORSE: No well, I'm not sure about
19	that, but it's my understanding that they weren't
20	under a DEP penalty at at the time of the
21	transfer. So, it at the time of the transfer,
22	there wasn't a DEP issue, to my knowledge, as far
23	as the last order entered in this case for this
24	utility.
25	MR. RENDELL: Many of the improvements we made

1	were required by DEP through the permit through
2	the permitting process. When we got issued the new
3	permit, there were spec specific items that we
4	had to do, including some head work, some
5	diffusers, some basic work to the plant, which we
6	did.
7	Some of that has to be redone now. We
8	discovered the blowers were too small. The
9	diffusers, because there's so much sediment and
10	accumulation in the digester, we could the old
11	diffusers didn't work. We had to put new diffusers
12	in, which we're doing now.
13	So, there's additional work that we're doing
14	that was required by DEP in the permit.
15	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Polmann.
17	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you,
18	Mr. Chairman.
19	For staff, the prior owner when was the
20	last time they were in for a rate case? Do you
21	have that information available?
22	MR. BROWN: I believe it was 2008.
23	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.
24	MR. BROWN: There was a SARC.
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

1	Are we aware that they had a valid did they
2	have valid operating permits at that time? Do
3	we do you recall, we had that
4	MR. BROWN: My engineer to the left of me is
5	saying yes.
6	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. To the utility,
7	Mr. Rendell, when you took over the utility, did
8	they have valid operating permits at that time?
9	Because there was a long period of time between
10	2008 and when you acquired this; is that correct?
11	MR. RENDELL: I believe that the permit was
12	was up for renewal when we bought it. And that's
13	how it came about. When we when we transferred
14	the permit to us and renewed the permit, it was
15	right probably about the time we bought it.
16	That's when DEP put the requirements to make the
17	additional upgrades to the plant, which they had
18	not enforced on the previous owner.
19	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So, to your
20	understanding, there were deficiencies that DEP had
21	not identified when the prior owner had that. And
22	then, when you acquired it and and transferred
23	the permit, they identified those deficiencies.
24	MR. RENDELL: That's correct.
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Chairman,

1	I based on what's indicated in the staff item,
2	under Issue 2, I and what I've heard here today,
3	I believe that the utility is taking the
4	appropriate actions to remedy the situation.
5	I'm I'm quite satisfied that they're on the
6	right path.
7	And as Mr. Rendell indicated, they've made
8	some substantial capital improvements. Now, how
9	that relates back to the operating expenses, that's
10	not not immediately obvious to me, but I do
11	anticipate there will be some additional operating
12	expenses.
13	So, the question to the staff, again, is: Is
14	your expectation that there's adequate additional
15	funding in here for adequate I'm sorry
16	operating expenses or are you expecting additional
17	costs for operating in addition to capital
18	improvements going forward in in another case?
19	MR. BROWN: Based on what we had before us, I
20	believe there is adequate operating expenses to
21	cover the utility. I'm not sure what Mr. Tr
22	Mr. Rendell has has planned and how that may
23	impact operating expenses going forward, though,
24	but that's something we would clearly look at
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.

1	MR. BROWN: if they brought a limited
2	proceeding to us.
3	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. In our in our
4	briefing, you made a distinction yesterday between
5	quality-of-service penalties and then permit-
6	violation penalties. Could you could you
7	elaborate or clarify that, again, for me?
8	MS. WATTS: Each one would be evaluated
9	separately and there could be penalties associated
10	with both. And with the Issue 2, we noted that,
11	you know, this utility has been acting continuously
12	since they acquired this utility to make the
13	improvements that are necessary, as opposed to, you
14	know, just waiting for something else to happen
15	or they've been proactive in doing that.
16	So, in that respect, we don't believe that a
17	penalty is warranted at this time.
18	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.
19	Mr. Chairman, that's all I have.
20	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. No other lights
21	on. I'll entertain a motion.
22	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Chairman, I believe
23	the utility is acting appropriately to bring
24	bring this facility back into good quality of
25	service. I don't have any issue with their
i .	

1	performance here, and I would move approval of
2	staff recommendation on all issues.
3	
	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Motion and second to approve
5	the item as presented. Any discussion?
6	On the motion, all in favor, say aye.
7	(Chorus of ayes.)
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Opposed?
9	Motion is approved.
10	Thank you very much.
11	(Agenda item concluded.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	I, ANDREA KOMARIDIS WRAY, Court Reporter, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard
6	at the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
8	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
9	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
10	and that this transcript constitutes a true
11	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
13	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
14	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
15	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
16	financially interested in the action.
17	DATED THIS 11th day of March, 2020.
18	
19	
20	()/ ()
21	Munic
22	ANDREA KOMARIDIS WRAY NOTARY PUBLIC
23	COMMISSION #GG365545 EXPIRES February 9, 2021
24	
25	