1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4	In the Matter of:	
5		DOCKET NO. 20200263-TX
6	Petition for expedas an eligible tel	_
7	_	ate of Florida or,
8	expedited declarate by Conexon Connect	tory statement,
9		/
10		
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 3
12	COMMISSIONERS	
13	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY COMMISSIONER MIKE LA ROSA
15	DATE:	Tuesday, March 2, 2021
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
17		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
18		Tallahassee, Florida
19	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter and
20		Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
21		PREMIER REPORTING
22		114 W. 5TH AVENUE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
23		(850) 894-0828
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. We will move next
3	to Item No. 3.
4	Ms. Helton, would you introduce the item?
5	MS. HELTON: Certainly. Did we want to do 3,
6	4 and 5 together or did we want to do just do No.
7	3?
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Is that okay with you,
9	Commissioner Fay?
10	COMMISSIONER FAY: Sure. That's fine.
11	CHAIRMAN CLARK: We will do them all together.
12	MS. HELTON: Commissioner Fay's caught me a
13	bill bit flatfooted. I was prepared with an
14	introduction for Item 3 so I am going to kind of
15	wing it here.
16	Items 3, 4 and 5 are staff's recommendations
17	to deny applications that were filed here seeking
18	the destination of an eligible communications
19	carriers, or ETCs. The Federal Communications
20	Commission, or the FCC, selected these three
21	entities as winners in its Rural Digital
22	Opportunity Fund option to provide high cost
23	support funded through the Federal Universal
24	Service Fund in Florida. And they to meet these
25	option requirements, they have a deadline by which

1	they have to receive their designation as an ETC.
2	Staff is recommending that the Commission deny
3	these three applications for the reasons expressed
4	in staff's recommendation they do not fall under
5	our jurisdiction. The staff is recommending that
6	you do not have jurisdiction to approve the
7	applications. And instead, staff is recommending
8	that these entities apply directly to the ETC I
9	mean, the FCC for ETC designation.
10	Staff is available to answer any questions you
11	might have on these three.
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Ms. Helton.
13	Commissioner Fay.
14	COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	And I will start with Item 3. I did have a
16	lot of questions with legal on these items as a
17	whole, and I think what was concerning to me is the
18	idea that the Commission is denying the
19	certification for these entities when the idea is
20	that they are applying to the Rural Digital
21	Opportunity Fund for distribution of these funds to
22	help high cost areas, to help service for high cost
23	areas.
24	After talking with staff a good bit, it's my
25	understanding that the denial is needed and the

1 recommendations touched on this a little bit, but it's needed for the FCC to then make their 2. 3 determination as to what they can distribute. 4 so it's a little concerning to me because on its 5 face of the recommendation, it looks like our denial could be potentially impeding, or at a 6 7 minimum slowing down that distribution, and it's 8 really doing the opposite. So that the quicker we 9 are able to get these orders out, the guicker these 10 entities are able to go to the FCC to get that 11 certification.

And I believe the filing for this one, I think, was the end of December, and the other ones were beginning of January. So this is really -- there is 180-day period that they are allowed to do it, but I just want to make sure -- and I won't -- I won't put on there and, but I just want to make sure it's my understanding that if approved today in today's hearing, we will be able to quickly get the orders out making it clear that we don't have the legal authority to provide this certification or designation, and the FCC will then have plenty of time to approve these so Florida doesn't lose its funding.

MS. HELTON: That is my understanding,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Commissioner Fay.
2	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great.
3	And then, Mr. Chairman, if I could just ask a
4	question specific to 4 and 5, is that okay with
5	you?
6	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes, sir.
7	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you.
8	So Item 4, when reviewing the docket, it
9	looked like Starlink, which is another entity
10	receiving this distribution under the RDOF, that
11	they actually put they actually submitted a
12	letter in the docket, and I think it was maybe
13	placed in the docket on Friday, I didn't originally
14	see it in there, but it essentially is saying that
15	if we are if we are denying their certification,
16	that they will be able to go back to the FCC and
17	amend their application that relates to other
18	states and distributions in other states, and so I
19	want to see if maybe staff could clarify that
20	that's the same process, that Starlink will be able
21	to go to the FCC, amend their petition within that
22	180 days to receive this funding?
23	MS. HELTON: I am going to defer that question
24	to Ms. Weisenfeld, if that's okay.
25	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Ms. Weisenfeld, are you on

1	the line?
2	MS. WEISENFELD: Yes, I am. Can you hear me?
3	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes, ma'am.
4	MS. WEISENFELD: Great.
5	Thank you for the question, Commissioner Fay.
6	Yes, that's my understanding, that Starlink
7	has put the FCC on notice that the Commission could
8	potentially issue a denial, and it's my
9	understanding that the FCC is ready to process the
10	Starlink Services, LLC's, application
11	expeditiously.
12	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Great.
13	And then, Mr. Chairman, just one more
14	question, I appreciate it.
15	On item so it's on Item 5, we have
16	essentially it's a little bit different,
17	essentially a denial of a revocation or
18	cancellation, let's just say, of a certificate to
19	allow an entity to move forward for us to deny
20	their certification.
21	I have the same question on this one. I just
22	want to make sure we are canceling their
23	certificate which provides them as a designation of
24	a telecommunications company, but by doing that, we
25	are allowing them to then go to the FCC for

1	approval to receive these funds? And I don't know
2	if it's a Mary Anne or maybe a question for staff.
3	MS. HELTON: I am not the expert here, so I am
4	going to defer to Ms. Passidomo or staff if that's
5	more appropriate.
6	MS. TAN: Hi, this is Lee Eng Tan for legal
7	staff.
8	I was not because we just took this up and
9	with staff, I will just go ahead and handle it.
10	No, but that is correct. In fact, Hotwire has
11	filed concurrently with the FCC. It is actually, I
12	believe, available potentially, if you wish to ask
13	the company itself, but we are aware that they have
14	filed concurrently with the FCC and we not see it
15	as being a obstruction to their filing with the
16	FCC.
17	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. And that's all I
18	need. The party doesn't have to respond. I just
19	want to make sure that all although all three
20	are somewhat different, those denials will allow
21	them to go to the FCC and get certification to get
22	those funds.
23	It's a lot of money that's coming out of those
24	funds for those services, and I just wanted to make
25	sure that the Commission wasn't doing anything to

1	potentially slow that down or impede that, and so
2	staff has appropriately answered my questions.
3	Mr. Chairman, I am prepared to move, unless my
4	colleagues have anything they want to add.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Any other
6	questions on these items?
7	Thank you, Commissioner Fay. I shared the
8	same concerns that you did with these matters. I
9	didn't want to slow the process down, but I looked
10	every way possible. I think the conclusion is
11	correct, the jurisdiction is just not there for us
12	to make these interpretations, and I think it's in
13	our best interest to move these forward. I am like
14	you, I don't want to see Florida lose these funds
15	that are available. That's very important.
16	I will entertain a motion.
17	COMMISSIONER FAY: All right. Thank you, Mr.
18	Chairman.
19	I would move for approval on Items 3, 4 Its
20	I on all the issues on Items 3, 4 and 5.
21	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN CLARK: I have a motion and a second
23	to approve all issues on Items 3, 4 and 5.
24	Any discussion?
25	On the motion, all in favor say aye.

```
1
                (Chorus of ayes.)
 2
                CHAIRMAN CLARK:
                                   Opposed?
 3
                (No response.)
 4
                CHAIRMAN CLARK:
                                   Motion carries.
 5
                Thank you very much.
                                         Thank you, staff, for
 6
          that thorough evaluation.
 7
                (Agenda item concluded.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA )
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 15th day of March, 2021.
19	
20	
21	Deblie R. Lruce
22	DEBRA R. KRICK
23	NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #HH31926
24	EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2024
25	