1	ET OD T D7	BEFORE THE A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	t HOK I DE	Z TODUTO SEKATOE COMMISSION
3		
4	T 11 M 11	
5	In the Matter of:	
6		DOCKET NO. 20190168-WS
7	Application for wa	
8	wastewater service Baker, and Nassau	Counties, by
9	First Coast Regior	nal Utilities, Inc. /
10		
11		
12	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 5
13	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
14	PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER GARY CLARK COMMISSIONER MIKE LA ROSA
16	DATE:	Tuesday, May 3, 2022
	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
17		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
18	DEDODEED DV	Tallahassee, Florida
19	REPORTED BY:	DANA W. REEVES Court Reporter and
20		Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
21		PREMIER REPORTING
22		112 W. 5TH AVENUE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
23		(850) 894-0828
24		
25		

L	Ρ	R	O	C	E	E	D	Ι	Ν	G	S

2 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Item No. 5. Who's walking us through it?

MS. WATTS: Commissioners, Item 5 is staff's recommendation on First Coast Regional Utility, Inc's application for original certificates providing water and wastewater service in Baker, Duval and Nassau County. AEA filed a protest of First Coast's application claiming that, one, AEA should be the provider of water and wastewater service in the City of Jacksonville and in Nassau County. And, two, that the Commission does not have jurisdiction to grant certificates to First Coast.

An evidentiary hearing was held on February
1st and 2nd, 2022 in Tallahassee. There is no
development and no existing customers in the
proposed service territory. Therefore, no service
hearings were held. Based on the evidence
developed in the record in Section 367.011 in
Florida Statutes, which gives the Commission
exclusive jurisdiction over this matter, staff
recommends First Coast's application for water and
wastewater certificates be approved and the rates
and charges shown on schedules four and five be

1	approved. Staff is available to answer questions.
2	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, staff.
3	Commissioners, any questions of staff?
4	All right. I don't have any questions, but I
5	have I actually was the one who pulled this. I
6	had a couple of things I wanted to say. There was
7	a lot of a lot of questions, a lot of issues
8	that came up on this, in this hearing. I thought a
9	lot of the issues were a lot of the questions
10	were kind of ridiculous. I thought they were just
11	obvious, but I guess it's what we have to go
12	through from time to time.
13	But the two questions I thought were relevant
14	were issues I'm sorry issues three and issues
15	nine. Issues three talks about if it's consistent
16	with a comprehensive plan. And if you read the
17	staff's conclusion, bottom of page 11, it says,
18	application is consistent with Nassau County's
19	comprehensive plan, but may not be consistent with
20	Baker and Duval's comprehensive plan. I argue that
21	it is not consistent with Duval's comprehensive
22	plan. It is basically where JEA is based and
23	owned.
24	Now, granted, staff goes on and says that
25	we go over the comprehensive plan for knowledge,

1 but we're not bounded by the comprehensive plan. 2. And I realize that, and that's been brought up 3 several times before. I can tell you, just from my 4 own personal knowledge, because I was part of --5 one of the elected officials in Duval County. Part of Jacksonville's strategy decades ago was to unite 6 7 the water companies. And we had many issues with 8 polluting of the St. John's. We had many issues 9 with a lot of mom-and-pop shops that were doing 10 poor jobs when it came to water, wastewater. 11 so the county took it upon itself to reach out and 12 start acquiring those water and wastewater systems. 13 For the most part, they've bought up all the 14 systems, except for maybe one or two that may be 15 still out there, but those are very small. And as 16 you guys know, probably never seen come before us. 17 I'll tell you, in 12 years, I think I've seen one 18 or two that come before us. 19 My point being is this is the strategy that 20 the county came up with. This is something they 21 decided they want to do. I can tell you from being 22 six years on a land use and zoning committee out 23 there, we've dealt with these issues many times. Ι 24 don't know if you know this bit of trivia, but 25 Jacksonville is actually the largest city in United

1 States when it comes to square miles. So there's a 2. lot of challenges we have there. There's a lot of 3 green-belting that developers want to develop. the past, one of the things we did -- because there 4 5 was no sewer lines going all the way out there. And sometimes these developments were 6 7 cost-prohibitive, that we would allow them to put 8 in septic tanks. And I know over the years they've 9 gotten away from doing the septic tanks and 10 requiring more and more people to use sewer And so I can understand how some of this 11 systems. 12 stuff may be pushed to the point where it's 13 cost-prohibitive.

But I think in this case, the comprehensive plan does tell you what the county is trying to do. The gray area here in my opinion is dealing with Baker and dealing with Nassau County, and this utility or this development being -- straddling the three different counties. I'm not going to belabor on this issue. I see the staff recommendation. I see the facts that I have here. I won't be voting with the staff recommendation, but I thought -- I thought I owed it to my two colleagues on this panel with me and to the staff as a whole, because it's a rarity that I go against that, but there's

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 always time, from time to time, that I feel like I 2. have to, and I thought I wanted to take the time to 3 explain to you why I wasn't voting on staff's And I'll leave that for a motion. 4 recommendation. 5 Commissioner La Rosa. Thank you, Chairman. 6 COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: 7 If you don't mind, I'm just going to add a few 8 quick comments, because staff did do a lot of work 9 on this, and I certainly wanted to recognize their 10 And they helped me to kind of frame hard work. 11 this in a way that really truly kept me in my lane. 12 And we talked a lot about other comprehensive plans 13 And, you know, I was able to in different areas. 14 dive, you know, a little bit deep, and at the end 15 of the day understand the perspective of what maybe 16 Duval County is trying to do. But at the end, the 17 way I saw it was that they had their opportunity to 18 come forward during the certification process. 19 They had -- it doesn't necessarily spell out that 20 we cannot do what it is that's being requested for 21 us. 22 But I did want to acknowledge staff's hard 23 work on this. And I do agree with the 24 recommendation that they've given before us. 25 will make a motion to approve all the issues before

1	us in the way the staff has recommended.
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll second the motion,
3	Mr. Chairman, with just a brief comment. This kind
4	of came down to a jurisdictional issue for me and
5	the request for the certificate. And what this
6	Commission's authority is, I think is pretty clear.
7	The case was I was trying it was two months
8	ago it seemed like I sat down and was trying to
9	remember a lot of the testimony from the case and
10	the points that were made and went back and read
11	the briefs again. And I think that there were some
12	great arguments and great points made on both
13	sides, but I think at the end of the day, this
14	really comes down, to me, to a request or a need
15	for service and a company's ability. I think the
16	company clearly proved that they have the ability
17	to provide the service. And I respect the
18	comprehensive planning issue, but when you deal
19	with a multi-county jurisdictional issue, I think
20	that threw kind of a little bit of a caveat into
21	the equation for me. So I'm certainly in favor and
22	agree with staff's recommendation, as well.
23	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Sorry. We have a motion and
24	a second. Any further discussion?
25	(No comments made.)

```
1
                                   Seeing none, all in favor
               CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:
2
          say, aye.
 3
               (Chorus of ayes.)
 4
               CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:
                                   Any opposed? Aye.
 5
               By your vote, two for, one against, your
 6
          motion passes.
                           And I think that concludes our
7
          agenda.
                    So we are adjourned. Thank you very much.
8
               (Agenda item concluded.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA )
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	I, DANA W. REEVES, Professional Court
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
6	proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
7	stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED THIS 18th day of May, 2022.
19	Jame Reeves
20	your
21	DANA W. REEVES NOTARY PUBLIC
22	COMMISSION #GG970595 EXPIRES MARCH 22, 2024
23	
24	
25	