

**Yvette Gillespie**

---

**From:** Office of Chairman La Rosa  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 11, 2024 9:35 AM  
**To:** Commissioner Correspondence  
**Subject:** Docket Correspondence - 20240032  
**Attachments:** RE. Docket #20240032-SU; #20240032-SU

Good morning,

Please place the attached emails in CORRESPONDENCE – Consumers & Representatives in docket 20240032.

Thank you.

## Yvette Gillespie

---

**From:** Dave Irby Sr. <gpirby75@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 10, 2024 3:17 PM  
**To:** Records Clerk  
**Cc:** mfreidman@deanmead.com; Office of Chairman La Rosa; Commissioner.Clark@psc.stste.fl.us; Commissioner.Passimodo@psc.stste.fl.us; Office of Commissioner Graham; Office of Commissioner Fay  
**Subject:** RE. Docket #20240032-SU

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Am I mistaken or has the PSC previously rejected this application after homeowner public hearings indicated serious negative issues with this applicant and the project as a whole? Considerable expense has already been encountered by homeowners objecting to the proposed Waste Water Certificate award to EU.

Has D.E.P. determined that a sewer system is the only way to manage the Island's sanitation problem? Home owners have not experienced problems or requested changes to the current system.

In my opinion it would be a major disservice to Island residents to award a project of this magnitude to a private contractor. Let alone a contractor with no experience in an undertaking of this scope and effect on homeowner financial responsibility. Approval of a waste water only approach itself is a major mistake when attempting to install and maintain such a system on a barrier island with only access by barge.

The inherent installation costs for replacing old septic systems and future maintenance pumping are prohibitively expensive for Island residents as preliminary estimates have shown. If sanitation issues cannot be avoided by enforcing the current requirements for safe septic systems, the only sensible approach would be full sewage disposal under the auspices of Charlotte county with a one time hookup fee and use rates comparable to those on the mainland. The technical design, installation and financial requirements must be under the control of Charlotte County.

We are 30 year residents and homeowners who have had no problems with our septic system. Please don't price us off the Island. We strongly request that this application for a waste water certificate application be denied.

William D. and Melba J. Irby  
[Gpirby75@gmail.com](mailto:gpirby75@gmail.com)  
175 N. Gulf Blvd, Palm Island  
Placida, FL 33946  
(941) 662 5583

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

## Yvette Gillespie

---

**From:** Leslie Scaletta <ldscaletta@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 10, 2024 12:57 PM  
**To:** Office of Chairman La Rosa  
**Subject:** #20240032-SU

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Commissioners La Rosa, Clark, Passidomo, Graham, Fay,

I am a 26 year resident of Don Pedro Island. My husband and I worked very hard to afford our very modest dream retirement home. I find my home and my rights as a property owner in dire jeopardy from the proposed private central sewer system.

As a teacher I used analogies to clarify concepts. Please read the following analogy and put yourself in my shoes.

I'm sure you live in a well maintained community as do I. Every dwelling has and needs a roof just as I need to process my sewage and have a functioning septic system. Now you have been put in a situation. A roofing contractor with a proven poor business acumen petitions that he replace all the roofs in your community regardless of necessity, age or condition. You will be required to allow access to your property, replace your roof whether it needs it or not, and to pay whatever this contractor charges. In addition he will be given a contract to charge whatever he pleases for service and maintenance. You ask yourself. Can this possibly be legal? What about my property rights? How will I budget my retirement for this mandatory replacement and blank check service and maintenance proposal? REALLY? How could my elected officials even consider this?

PUT YOURSELF IN MY SHOES. Would you be happy if it was your property rights being given away to a private contractor's greed?

PLEASE DENY THIS PETITION.

Respectfully,  
Leslie Scaletta  
184 N. Gulf Blvd  
Don Pedro Island  
Ldscaletta@gmail.com

Sent from my iPad

Sent from my iPad