

Antonia Hover

From: John Plescow
Sent: Wednesday, January 8, 2025 9:54 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Subject: FW: storm charge protest
Attachments: FPLs 2014 storm charge information

Please, add to docket 20240149.

From: Consina Griffin-Greaux <CGriffin@psc.state.fl.us>
Sent: Monday, January 06, 2025 4:48 PM
To: John Plescow <JPlescow@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: storm charge protest

John,

Please send to clerk's office.

CGriffin

Antonia Hover

From: Michael Postell <mjftlaud@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 3, 2025 1:22 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FPLs 2014 storm charge information

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Public Service Commission

Today, I received an email from FPL advising they are collecting additional money in 2025 to recoup prior year hurricane storm costs. I don't object to the concept but I have 2 concerns.

I have previously informed the public service commission, FPL disseminates information but does not provide any contact information for their customers to ask follow up questions concerning their notice. Which is why you are receiving this communication instead of FPL.

Now my specific question is about the hurricane restoration surcharge and what if any impact FPLs self proclaimed storm hardening costs have on mitigating restoration surcharges. So with money being funneled on the front end with storm hardening and on the backend with storm surcharge I'd like to know what metrix FPL is using to show what cost savings were achieved in the surcharge as a result of the storm hardening. In other words, can it be shown that storm hardening has resulted in x dollars savings in surcharges? What metrix would be ideal I am uncertain of but perhaps historical data on total storm recovery costs broken down to number of households that lost power yields some insight when compared to recent costs (adjusting for potential factors such as inflation and storm intensity). Or possibly, compare similar neighborhoods (one hardened and one not) to discern a "return on Investment" for these storm hardening dollars spent. At least that way we (and the public service commission) can determine if money is being wisely spent or not on storm hardening. Or perhaps if storm hardening efforts aren't as successful as projected or very successful.

Please email me if you have any questions concerning my inquiry.

Sincerely,

Michael Postell