BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

DOCKET NO.: 20250001-El

FILED: October 6, 2025

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group ("FIPUG"), by and through undersigned counsel, submits this Prehearing Statement.

APPEARANCES:

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen A. Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: (850)681-3828

Facsimile: (850)681-3828

Facsimile: (850)681-8788

jmoyle@moylelaw.com

kputnal@moylelaw.com

Counsel for FIPUG

1. <u>WITNESSES:</u>

FIPUG does not intend, at this time, to call witnesses, but reserves the right to call and question witnesses identified by other parties as permitted.

2. EXHIBITS:

FIPUG does not intend to introduce exhibits at this time but reserves the right to use and introduce exhibits at hearing as permitted.

3. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

The utilities bear the burden of proof to justify the recovery of costs they request in this docket as reasonable and prudent. The utilities must carry this burden regardless of whether or not FIPUG or other parties introduce evidence to the contrary. The utilities must also carry their burden of proof to support their proposal(s) asking the Commission's adoption of policy statements (whether new or changed) or other affirmative relief sought.

.

4. STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS

I. FUEL ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF's 2026 Risk Management Plan?

FIPUG: No, Duke is contractually precluded from hedging given its rate case settlement

agreement and no hedging activity should be allowed.

ISSUE 1B: What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF's Clean Energy

Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be included

for recovery in 2026?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 1C: What is the appropriate Clean Energy Impact (CEI) credit, approved by Order No.

PSC-2023-0191-TRF-EI, to be included in the fuel clause in 2026?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 1D: What is the appropriate Clean Energy Impact (CEI) credit, approved by Order No.

PSC-2023-0191-TRF-EI, to be included in the fuel clause in 2026?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 2A: What was the total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No.

PSC-2021-0446-A-S-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2024 through December 2024, and how should that gain to be shared between FPL and

customers?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2B: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's

Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446A-S-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and

Hardware costs for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

ISSUE 2C: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to Off-

System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-A-S-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause

for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2D: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to

Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-A-S-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel

clause for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2E: What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL's SolarTogether

Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be included for recovery

in 2026?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2F: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2026 Risk Management Plan?

FIPUG: No, FPL is contractually precluded from hedging given its last rate case settlement

agreement and no hedging activity should be allowed.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 4A: What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by

Order No. PSC-2021-0423-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2024 through December 2024, and how should that gain to be shared between

TECO and customers?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 4B: Should the Commission approve TECO's 2026 Risk Management Plan?

FIPUG: No, TECO should not be permitted to hedge given the overwhelming hedging

losses previously incurred when TECO was engaged in hedging.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 5: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period

January 2024 through December 2024?

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the

period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be

collected/refunded from January 2026 through December 2026?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery

amounts for the period January 2026 through December 2026?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 11A, 11B, 11C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 12: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during

the period January 2023 through December 2023 for each investor-owned electric

utility subject to the GPIF?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 13: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2026 through

December 2026 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 14: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and

Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor

for the period January 2026 through December 2026?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 15: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-

owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2026

through December 2026?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 16: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January

2026 through December 2026?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 17: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating

the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery

voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

II. CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 19A: What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage

Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity

cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement for 2026?

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Florida Power & Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 20A, 20B, 20C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 21A, 21B, 21C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 22: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 23: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 24: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be

collected/refunded during the period January 2026 through December 2026?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 25: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the

period January 2026 through December 2026?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 26: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery

amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2026 through

December 2026?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and

costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2026 through

December 2026?

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2026

through December 2026?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 29: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost

recovery factors for billing purposes?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 30: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment

factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this

proceeding?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 31: Should this docket be closed?

FIPUG: No.

5. <u>STIPULATED ISSUES</u>

FIPUG has not stipulated to any matters in this matter.

6. <u>PENDING MOTIONS</u>

FIPUG has no pending motions at the time.

7. <u>STATEMENT OF PARTY'S PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY</u>

FIPUG has no pending requests for claims for confidentiality.

8. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATION OF WITNESSES AS AN EXPERT

None at this time.

9. <u>SEQUESTRATION OF WITNESSES</u>

FIPUG does not intend to ask that witnesses be sequestered.

10. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which FIPUG cannot comply.

Dated this 6th day of October, 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/__Jon C. Moyle
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Karen A. Putnal
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850)681-3828
Facsimile: (850)681-8788

jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 20250001-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished

by electronic mail on this 6th day of October 2025, to the following:

Suzanne S. Brownless
Ryan Sandy
Special Counsel
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
rsandy@psc.state.fl.us
sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us

Walt Trierweiler
Mary Wessling
Charles J. Rehwinkel
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street – Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
Trierweiler.walt@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us

Dianne M. Triplett
Associate General Counsel
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com
FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com

Matthew R. Bernier Stephanie Cuello Robert Pickels 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 robert.pickels@duke-energy.com matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com Beth Keating Gunster Law Firm 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 bkeating@gunster.com

Michelle D. Napier 1635 Meathe Drive West Palm Beach FL 33411 mnapier@fpuc.com

Maria Jose Moncada David Lee Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 maria.moncada@fpl.com david.lee@fpl.com

Kenneth Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 134 West Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Mike Cassel Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Florida Public Utilities Company Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities 1750 SW 14th Street, Suite 200 Fernandina Beach, FL 32034 mcassel@fpuc.com

Ms. Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company Post Office Box 111 Tampa, Florida 33601 regdept@tecoenergy.com J. Jeffry Wahlen
Malcolm Means
Virginia Ponder
Ausley & McMullen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com
vponder@ausley.com

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. LaVia III 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee FL 32308 schef@gbwlegal.com jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Corey Allain Nucor Steel Florida, Inc. 22 Nucor Drive Frostproof FL 33843 corey.allain@nucor.com James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
Peter J. Mattheis
Michael K. Lavanga
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com
pjm@smxblaw.com
mkl@smxblaw.com

William C Garner Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 3425 Bannerman Road, Unit 105, No. 414 Tallahassee, Fl 32302 bgarner@wcglawoffice.com

/s/ Jon C. Moyle Jon C. Moyle Florida Bar No. 727016