Tristan Davis

From: Tristan Davis on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 12:00 PM

To: 'Ben Nottingham'
Cc: Consumer Contact

Subject: RE: Proposed Rate Increase Docket #20250011 For the Record NO & NO!

Good Morning,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20250011, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance.

Thank you!

Tristan Davis

Commission Deputy Clerk I Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, FL 32399 Phone: (850) 413-6121

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, youremail message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: Ben Nottingham <wewe777bn@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 8, 2025 11:54 AM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>

Subject: Proposed Rate Increase Docket #20250011 For the Record NO & NO!

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Clerk of FPCS:

I have previously written your office about the unneeded consequences of passing FPL's proposed rate increase in Docket #20250011. As a 30-yr. resident & 25-yr homeowner, I strongly urge a NO VOTE this week for this proposal.

•

This proposal is not acceptable because of FPL's overextension in solar power & FLP isn't increasing low-cost energy alternatives (natural gas), nor are they supporting FL's existing long-term economies and wise land use, and they are <u>not promoting a diversity of energy types</u>. The large space needed for this proposal continues to infringe on Florida's cattle production, citrus farming, wildlife habitat, & crop production in the FL landscape. Solar power generation in FL is maximally efficient FOR ONLY 5.1 hrs of the day (DOE Berkeley Natl Lab). And to the detriment of our State & National economy, solar power components are largely made in China & this invariably drives up my overall electric bill. In the last 5 yrs., Europe and Australia have faced rolling "blackouts" due to an overreliance on solar power.

Duke Energy, TECO, and FP&L must be given a clear signal to redevelop a proposal that promotes nuclear & low-carbon emission, fuel sources for power development. This action would fulfill the PSC's own recommendations identified in the April 2025 Feasibility Report to advance Nuclear Power in FL. The 2024 Workshop on Nuclear Feasibility engaged numerous state & federal officials including those with technical expertise in nuclear power and it aligns well with Florida's energy policy (F.S. 377.602 efficient and American made.

Thus, I urge your support to REJECT this Docket proposal (20250011) outright with no compromise and to urge these public utilities to redesign their proposal to develop more reliable and abundant, low-cost power diversity alternatives which will benefit FL businesses and current & future ratepayers.

Sincerely, Ben Nottingham, Jr. 1897 W. Crown Pointe Blvd. Naples, Fl 34112