TOTAL / PSC REGULATED COUNTIES

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) 7,785 6,764 7,016	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 21,582 19,315 22,156	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 3,126 3,069	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e) 26,241 23,010	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 22,092 25,956 25,758
April May June July August September October November December	5,010 6,296 6,761 6,547 5,599 5,085 5,001 5,870 5,815 6,695	25,595 34,631 26,543 24,654 23,702 24,661 24,711 22,528 20,537	3,573 3,774 4,392 4,389 3,618 4,593 3,983 3,436 3,592 2,809	25,599 28,117 37,000 28,701 26,635 24,194 25,679 27,145 24,751 24,423	23,736 28,781 35,942 31,420 22,735 22,032 19,728 23,956 23,732 26,586
Total for Year	75,234 *	290,615	44,354	321,495	308,718
If water is purchased for resale, indicate the following: Vendor Point of delivery If water is sold to other water utilities for redistribution, list names of such utilities below:					

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ARREDONDO ESTATES / ALACHUA

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 2,165 1,908 2,002 1,519 1,953 2,179 2,237 2,595 2,443	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 4 48 21 16 4 52 54 4 4 3	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)]	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 1,796 1,378 955 1,387 2,052 1,662 1,290 1,330 1,406
November December		1,382 1,395 1,371	11 4 13	1,371 1,391 1,358	1,221 1,078 902
Total for Year	N/A	23,149	234	22,915	16,457
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	chased for resale, indic	ate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is solo		s for redistribution, list N/A	names of such utilities be	low:	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	172,800 172,800		Aquifer Aquifer
Total production from wells		63,422	

ARREDONDO FARMS / ALACHUA

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

		FINISHED	WATER USED	TOTAL WATER	
ļ	WATER	WATER	FOR LINE	PUMPED AND	WATER SOLD
	PURCHASED	PUMPED	FLUSHING,	PURCHASED	TO
	FOR RESALE	FROM WELLS	FIGHTING	(Omit 000's)	CUSTOMERS
MONTH	(Omit 000's)	(Omit 000's)	FIRES, ETC.	[(b)+(c)-(d)]	(Omit 000's)
(a)	` (b)	` (c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
January		2,652	152	2,500	1,760
February		2,473	166	2,307	1,691
March	<u></u>	2,540	194	2,346	1,898
April		2,297	155	2,142	2,088
May	·····	2,432	152	2,280	1,940
June		2,035	161	1,874	1,795
July		1,980	174	1,806	2,447
August		1,969	152	1,817	1,767
September		1,924	148	1,776	1,650
October		1,773	159	1,614	1,534
November		1,818	148	1,670	1,696
December		1,896	167	1,729	1,416
Total					
for Year	N/A	25,789	1,928	23,861	21,682
ioi i cai	18/74	23,763	1,920	23,801	21,002
-	chased for resale, indic				
Vendor		N/A			
Point of del	ivery	N/A			
If water is sold	to other water utilities	for radictribution list	names of such utilities be	lang	
ii watei is soid		N/A	names of such utilities be	iow.	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	360,000 432,000		Aquifer Aquifer
Total production from wells		70,655	

KINGSWOOD / BREVARD

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) 201 287	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 3	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) } (e) 201 284	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 159 217
April May June July August September October November December	238 240 310 254 316 233 250 188 213 208		5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	233 240 310 254 316 233 250 188 213 208	223 209 290 241 225 226 189 199 244 251
Total for Year	2,938 *	N/A	8	2,930	2,673
If water is purchased for resale, indicate the following: Vendor Brevard County Utilities Point of delivery 4" Compound meter at the entrance to Kingswood subdivision If water is sold to other water utilities for redistribution, list names of such utilities below: N/A					

CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
	8,049	Purchase
		CAPACITY PER DAY OF WELL FROM SOURCE

OAKWOOD / BREVARD

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 671 862
February March April May June July August September October November December	901 805 951 958 1,070 1,148 857 1,099 1,101 1,555		40 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	861 799 951 958 1,070 1,148 857 1,099 1,101 1,555 1,614	862 1,124 1,302 1,023 1,526 871 801 749 637 934 766
Total for Year	12,718	N/A	46	12,672	11,266
If water is purchased for resale, indicate the following: Vendor Brevard County Utilities Point of delivery 4" Compound meter at the entrance to Oakwood subdivision If water is sold to other water utilities for redistribution, list names of such utilities below: N/A					

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Interconnect with Brevard County Utilities		34,844	Purchase

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE SUZY / CHARLOTTE AND DESOTO

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) 3,957 3,578 3,759 3,167 2,941 2,138 2,031 1,779 1,936 2,473	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 3,957 3,578 3,759 3,167 2,941 2,138 2,031 1,779 1,936 2,473	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 2,991 4,396 4,001 3,421 3,205 2,753 2,565 1,873 1,933 1,954
November December	2,820 2,786		0 0	2,820 2,786	2,940 3,507
Total for Year	33,365	N/A		33,365	35,539
Vendor Point of de	livery I to other water utilities	DeSoto County Kings Highway	names of such utilities be	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Interconnect with DeSoto County		91,411	Purchase

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE JOSEPHINE / HIGHLANDS

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 3,241 3,138 3,534 3,459 2,957 3,809 4,785	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 1,635 1,486 1,800 0 0 1,913 1,268	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 1,606 1,652 1,734 3,459 2,957 1,896 3,517	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 1,810 2,694 3,736 3,385 2,919 3,097 2,392
August September October November December		4,687 4,509 4,336 4,180 4,180	3,089 2,311 1,876 780 1,428	1,598 2,198 2,460 3,400 2,752	1,894 1,976 2,270 2,235 2,869
Total for Year		46,815	17,586	29,229	31,277
Vendor Point of del If water is sold Note: In Octol providir	livery I to other water utilities ber 2002, the Sebring I ng water to Lake Josep	N/A N/A s for redistribution, list Lakes system was inter-	n column (f) above includ	low: Josephine system, and beg les water received from th	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER ÐAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	360,000 576,000	-	Ground Ground
Total production from wells		128,260	

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LEISURE LAKES / HIGHLANDS

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		675	155	520	162
February		820	145	675	638
March		1,207	575	632	798
April		999	769	230	518
May		1,042	785	257	622
June		922	712	210	481
July		635	545	90	407
August		720	192	528	362
September		892	374	518	360
October		1,075	285	790	415
November		1,375	20	1,355	439
December		1,154	333	821	528
Total for Year	N/A	11,516	4,890	6,626	5,730
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	chased for resale, indic	cate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is solo	I to other water utilitie	s for redistribution, list N/A	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	288,000 72,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		31,551	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SEBRING LAKES/HIGHLANDS

December 31, 2008

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d) [(e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		1.116	840	276	202
February		1.212	1,385	(173)	254
March		1,301	904	397	255
April		1,280	877	403	372
May		2,905	501	2,404	273
June		3,364	949	2,415	271
July		3,412	931	2,481	230
August		2,666	1,369	1,297	203
September		2,820	2.609	211	223
October		1,916	1,585	331	240
November		2,353	1.793	560	221
December		2,353	1,499	854	214
Total for Year	N/A	26,698	15,242	11,456	2,957
Vendor Point of de If water is sole Note: In Octo providi	d to other water utilities ber 2002, the Sebring	N/A N/A s for redistribution, list Lakes system was intereshine customers. Data is		clow: losephine system and bega ter delivered to Lake Jose	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	1,195,200 1,195,200		Ground Ground
Total production from wells		73,145	

YEAR OF REPORT

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

48 ESTATES / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November December	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 612 596 652 741 1,081 856 614 529 617 670 639 596	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 612 596 652 741 1,081 856 614 529 617 670 639 596	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 458 467 507 528 703 961 702 491 555 619 521
Total for Year	N/A	8,203		8,203	7,033
Vendor Point of de	•	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	115,200	22,474	Ground

CARLTON VILLAGE / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October	(Omit 000's) (b)	(Omit 000's) (c) 1,339 1,244 1,406 1,741 2,067 1,629 1,473 1,289 1,377 1,363	FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 1,339 1,244 1,406 1,741 2,067 1,629 1,473 1,289 1,377 1,363	CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 1,011 1,404 1,405 1,374 2,034 1,875 1,404 1,504 1,246 1,286
November December		1,363 1,376 1,301	0 0	1,363 1,376 1,301	1,280 1,470 1,261
Total for Year	N/A	17,605		17,605	17,274
If water is purch Vendor Point of deliv	nased for resale, indic	eate the following: N/A N/A			
lf water is sold t	o other water utilities	s for redistribution, list	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	288,000 288,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		48,233	

December 31, 2008

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

EAST LAKE HARRIS ESTATES / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	766	0	766	455
February		689		689	529
March		747		747	702
April		611		611	624
May		639	0	639	603
June		605	0	605	584
July		658	0	658	517
August		617	4	613	715
September		606	0	606	746
October		554	0	554	477
November		553	0	553	525
December		512	1	511	478
Total for Year	N/A	7,557	5	7,552	6,955
If water is pure Vendor Point of del		cate the following: N/A N/A			
Note: The Eas	st Lake Harris system i	s for redistribution, list is interconnected with t endly Ceter - Group 5-	names of such utilities be he Friendly Center system 6.	low: ı.	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	288,000	13,803	Deep Well
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

FAIRWAYS @ MT. PLYMOUTH / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	648,000 648,000		Aquifer Aquifer
Total production from wells		211,064	

FERN TERRACE / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January	(0)	773	0	773	691
February	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	745	0	745	810
March	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	870	- 0	870	791
April		988		988	830
May		1,253		1,253	933
June	7-1.	1,073		1,073	1,243
July		839	0	839	932
August		831	0	831	822
September		972	0	972	805
October		798	0	798	786
November		818	0	818	781
December		802	18	784	732
Total for Year	N/A	10,762	18	10,744	10,156
If water is purd Vendor Point of del	chased for resale, indic	cate the following: N/A N/A			
lf water is sold	to other water utilitie	s for redistribution, list	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	259,200	29,485	Deep Well
			······································

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY: FRIENDLY CENTER / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)	
June July August September October November December						
Total for Year	<u>N/A</u>					
If water is purchased for resale, indicate the following: Vendor N/A Point of delivery N/A If water is sold to other water utilities for redistribution, list names of such utilities below: Note: The East Lake Harris system is interconnected with the Friendly Center system. Data for Friendly Center is included with East Lake Harris - Group 5-3.						

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	144,000	6,901	Deep Well

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

GRAND TERRACE / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		651	0	651	600
February		732	0	732	625
March		716	0	716	614
April		904	0	904	718
May		1,210	0	1,210	1,147
June		866	0	866	1,110
July		715	0	715	772
August		707	0	707	710
September		791	0	791	678
October		745	0	745	839
November		643	0	643	817
December		619	0	619	615
Total for Year	N/A	9,299		9,299	9,245
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	chased for resale, indi-	cate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is sol	d to other water utilitie	s for redistribution, list	names of such utilities be	elow:	
					···

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	864,000	25,477	Deep Well

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

HAINES CREEK / LAKE

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		525	0	525	389
February		517	0	517	376
March		551	0	551	389
April		674	0	674	474
May		804	0	804	741
June		561	0	561	778
July		485	0	485	594
August		649	0	649	427
September		709	0	709	504
October		582	0	582	587
November		491	0	491	482
December		456	0	456	433
Total for Year	N/A	7,004		7,004	6,174
Vendor		N/A			
Point of deli	very	N/A_			
f water is sold	to other water utilities	for redistribution, list i	names of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well	129,600	19,189	Aquifer

HOBBY HILLS / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 791 699 688 796 927 738 634 646 596 595 522	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 390 634 573 526 633 735 618 760 714 739 538	
Total for Year	N/A	8,153	0	8,153	7,256	
If water is purchased for resale, indicate the following: Vendor N/A Point of delivery N/A If water is sold to other water utilities for redistribution, list names of such utilities below: N/A						

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	216,000 252,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		22,337	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HOLIDAY HAVEN / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) 826 947 688 409 596 492 531	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 30	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e) 826 947 688 409 596 492 531 535	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 416 449 376 422 387 437 403 526
September October November December Total for Year	383 390 383 705 519	N/A	0 0 0 0 155	335 390 383 705 364	370 310 314 301
Vendor Point of del	to other water utilities	Astor - Astor Park Wa 4" Compound Meter a		low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Interconnect with Astor		19,318	Purchase
			·

IMPERIAL MOBILE TERRACE / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) } (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January February March April May June July August September October November December		954 769 835 786 632 468 566 435 436 495 618	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	954 769 835 786 632 468 566 435 436 495 618	579 681 731 743 783 672 507 485 507 462 515
Total for Year	N/A	7,661		7,661	7,322
Vendor Point of de	·	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	·low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	576,000 144,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		20,989	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

KINGS COVE / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January February March April May June July August September October November December		2,270 2,133 2,454 2,929 3,894 3,245 2,028 1,924 2,368 2,840 2,733 2,263	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0	2,270 2,133 2,454 2,929 3,894 3,245 2,028 1,914 2,368 2,840 2,733 2,258	2,151 2,173 2,036 2,072 2,987 3,462 2,551 1,833 1,622 2,224 2,666 2,350
Total for Year	<u>N/A</u>	31,081	15	31,066	28,127
Vendor Point of del	ivery to other water utilities	N/A N/A	names of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	432,000 324,000		Ground Ground
Total production from wells		85,153	

MORNINGVIEW / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		194	0	194	138
February		190	0	190	170
March		229	0	229	183
April		254	0	254	194
May		320	0	320	222
June		251	0	251	358
July		202	0	202	204
August		185	16	169	184
September		238	0	238	150
October		226	0	226	167
November		266	0	266	194
December		251	5	246	222
Total for Year	N/A	2,806	21	2,785	2,386
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	rchased for resale, indi	cate the following: N/A N/A			
	·		names of such utilities be	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	612,000	7,688	Deep Well

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALMS MOBILE HOME PARK / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)]	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
				140
				121
				142
-				113
				122
				80
				71
				176
				61
				64
				53
	274	12	262	73
<u>N/A</u>	3,915	24	3,891	1,216
	N/A			
to other water utilities	for redistribution, list n	names of such utilities bel	low:	
	PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) N/A hased for resale, indic very to other water utilities	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) (c) 395 320 337 268 304 306 424 316 316 361 297 313 274 N/A N/A N/A to other water utilities for redistribution, list residue in the content of the conten	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (Omit 000's) (FIGHTING (Omit 000's) (Omit 0

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	187,200	10,726	Deep Well

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PICCIOLA ISLAND / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 1,013 1,262 1,122 1,225 1,615 1,166 914 928 1,130 1,129	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e) 1,013 1,262 1,122 1,225 1,615 1,166 914 928 1,130 1,129	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 867 821 922 848 1,025 1,495 986 818 765
November December Total for Year	N/A	1,134 1,048	2	1,134 1,046	1,004 928 11,452
Vendor Point of de	•	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	216,000 252,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		37,496	

PINEY WOODS / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

(b)	(c)	(4)	[(b)+(c)-(d)]	(Omit 000's)
	1,134	(d)	(e) 1,134	(f) 993
	1,116	$\frac{0}{0}$	1,116	1,018
	1,293	$\frac{0}{0}$	1,293	1,157
1				1,082
				1,294
	1,594	0		1,791
	1,215	0		1,405
	1,228	0	1,228	1,082
	1,470	0	1,470	1,176
	1,405	0	1,405	1,226
	1,339	0	1,339	1,374
	1,160	0	1,160	1,103
N/A	16,616		16,616	14,701
				
other water utilities	for redistribution, list	names of such utilities bel	low:	,
	sed for resale, indic	1,215 1,228 1,470 1,405 1,339 1,160 N/A 16,616 seed for resale, indicate the following: N/A N/A	1,946 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,716 0 1,716 0 1,946 0 1,946 0 1,946 0 1,594 0 1,594 0 1,215 0 1,215 0 1,228 0 1,228 0 1,228 0 1,470 0 1,470 0 1,405 0 1,339 0 1,339 0 1,160 0 1,160

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	432,000 201,600		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		45,523	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY: QUAIL RIDGE / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January	(5)	451	0	451	391
February		419	0	419	391
March		455		455	408
April	····	495	0	495	420
May		587	0	587	540
June		473	0	473	517
July		518	0	518	481
August		487	0	487	447
September		399	0	399	412
October		400	0	400	439
November		426	0	426	403
December		415	0	415	531
Total for Year	N/A	5,525		5,525	5,380
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	chased for resale, indi	cate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is sole	d to other water utilitie	es for redistribution, list	t names of such utilities be	elow:	
		N/A			

SOURCE OF SUPPLY

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	936,000	15,137	Deep Well

W-11 GROUP 5-17 SYSTEM Quail Ridge

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

RAVENSWOOD / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		279	0	279	166
February		251		251	190
March		322		322	139
April		387		387	432
May		535	0	535	432
June		368		368	577
July		318		318	415
August		280	2	278	283
September		254		254	260
October		316	0	316	281
November		314		314	279
December		313	1	312	338
Total for Year	<u>N</u> /A	3,937	3	3,934	3,799
f water is purc	hased for resale, indic	ate the following:			
Point of deli	very	N/A			
f water is sold		for redistribution, list n	ames of such utilities bel	ow:	·

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	93,600	10,786	Aquifer

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY: SILVER LAKE-WESTERN SHORES / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 21,500 21,014 23,718 27,017 38,431 28,692 20,699 19,396 25,501 27,220 26,749	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e) 21,500 21,014 23,718 27,017 38,431 28,692 20,699 19,396 25,501 27,220 26,749 23,922	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 19,166 18,374 17,715 21,871 34,965 34,074 21,843 19,835 15,159 26,728 24,529 24,774
Total for Year	N/A	303,859		303,859	279,033
Vendor Point of de	•	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Silver Lake Estates Well #2 Silver Lake Estates	2,052,000 2,052,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Well #2 Western Shores	864,000		Deep Well
Total production from wells		832,490	

UTILITY NA	ME:
------------	-----

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

VEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SKYCREST / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) { (b)+(c)-(d) }	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's)
January		1,145	(u)	(e) 1,145	<u>(f)</u>
February		939	0	939	<u>482</u> 580
March	-	1,114		1,114	566
April		1,141		1,141	483
May		1,201		1,201	542
June		824		824	706
July		877	0	877	655
August		652	0	652	633
September		662		662	558
October		731	0	731	536
November		721	0	721	597
December		1,032	0	1,032	573
Total for Year	<u>N/A</u>	11,039		11,039	6,911
f water is pure	hased for resale, indic	ate the following:			
Point of deli		N/A			····
		. 7/4 2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
f water is sold	to other water utilities	for redistribution, list n	ames of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	252,000 720,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		30,244	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

STONE MOUNTAIN / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 39
January February March April May June July August September October November December		51 59 57 72 74 49 44 53 81 112 53 67	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	59 57 72 74 49 44 52 81 112 53 66	35 49 40 68 61 31 32 36 64 46 35
Total for Year	N/A	772	2	<u>770</u>	536
Vendor Point of de	·	N/A N/A	t names of such utilities b	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	144,000	2,115	Deep Well
			<u>}</u>

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SUMMIT CHASE / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
	1,599	0		532
	1,513			521
	1,573	0		486
	1,480	0		500
···	2,021	. 0	2,021	571
	1,983	0	1,983	711
		0	1,900	723
		1	1,624	637
		0	1,554	660
		0	1,720	714
				637
N/A	20,057	4	20,053	7,313
	N/A			
very	N/A		- 	
to other water utilities	for redistribution, list n	names of such utilities bel	ow:	7.77
	PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) N/A hased for resale, indic	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) (c) 1,599 1,513 1,573 1,480 2,021 1,983 1,900 1,625 1,554 1,720 1,597 1,492 N/A N/A N/A WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (1,599 1,513 1,573 1,480 2,021 1,983 1,900 1,625 1,554 1,720 1,597 1,492	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) (c) 1,599 (c) 1,513 (1,513) (1,480 (2,021) (1,983) (1,900) (1,625) (1,554 (1,720) (1,597) (1,492) (A) N/A PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (I(b)+(c)-(d) (e) (Omit 000's) (Omit 000's) (Omit 000's) (I(b)+(c)-(d) (I(b)+(c)-(d) (Omit 000's) (I(b)+(c)-(d) (I(b)+(

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	864,000 115,200		Ground Ground
Total production from wells		54,951	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VALENCIA TERRACE / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 887 828 942 981 1,079 875 838 810 807 855 806	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 190 30 350 350 30 190 30 270 30 30 30	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 756 890 830 972 1,059 1,205 793 859 771 807
Total for Year	N/A	732 10,440	1,240	9,200	10,505
Vendor Point of del		N/A N/A	names of such utilities bel	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	1,080,000 360,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		28,603	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VENETIAN VILLAGE / LAKE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		2,010	0	2,010	1,580
February		1,917	0	1,917	2,172
March		1,928		1,928	1,913
April		2,290	0	2,290	1,580
May		2,730	0	2,730	1,937
June		2,488		2,488	2,550
July		1,557		1,557	2,060
August		1,547	4	1,543	1,351
September		1,830	0	1,830	1,517
October		1,996	0	1,996	1,608
November		1,774		1,774	1,886
December		1,593	27	1,566	1,478
Total for Year		23,660	31	23,629	21,632
If water is purc Vendor	hased for resale, indic	ate the following:			***
Point of deli		N/A			
	-				
f water is sold	to other water utilities	for redistribution, list n	names of such utilities bel	ow:	
f water is sold	to other water utilities	for redistribution, list n N/A	names of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	345,600 144,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		64,822	***

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 12,363 10,993	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 90	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 12,363 10,903	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 12,077 13,079
March April May June July August September October November December		13,243 15,791 21,554 14,233 12,796 12,802 13,640 14,311 13,508 11,976	32 15 0 37 0 0 0 0 164 0	13,211 15,776 21,554 14,196 12,796 12,802 13,640 14,147 13,508 11,854	12,377 16,420 22,008 16,836 11,743 11,744 10,550 12,827 11,820 13,955
Total for Year	N/A	167,210	460	166,750	165,436
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	chased for resale, indi	cate the following:	DATA BY SUB SYS	TEM ONLY	
If water is sol	d to other water utilitie	es for redistribution, list	t names of such utilities be		

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
DATA BY SUB SYSTEM ONLY		458,110	
Total production from wells			

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 614 580 821 673 848 280 795 763 728 735	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e) 614 580 821 673 848 280 795 763 728	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
November December		647 612	0 0	647 612	
Total for Year	N/A	8,096		8,096	(A)
If water is purc Vendor Point of del	_	N/A			
	•	N/A for redistribution, list r	names of such utilities belo	OW:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	100,800		Ground Ground
Total production from wells		22,181	

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 191 177 199 230 281 219 207 191 165 179 178	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 191 177 195 227 281 219 207 191 165 179	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
December Total for Year	N/A	2,394	7	2,387	(A)
Vendor Point of de	·	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	100,800	6,559	Ground
	- 		

U	T	П	T	Y	Ν	Ā	M	F.

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)]	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's)
January		243	0	(e) 243	(f)
February	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	219		219	
March		243		219	
April		279	$\frac{}{}$	276	
May		360		360	
June		280		280	
July		249		249	
August		246		246	
September		238		238	
October		250	0	250	
November		295		295	
December		252	7	245	
Total for Year	N/A	3,154	10	3,144	(A)
f water is purc Vendor Point of deli		ate the following: N/A N/A			·
	-		ames of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	100,800	8,641	Ground

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January February March April May June July August September October November December		727 648 778 889 1,277 941 784 787 820 954 831	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	727 648 778 889 1,277 941 784 787 820 954 831 827	
Total for Year	N/A	10,263		10,263	(A)
Vendor Point of de If water is sol	d to other water utilitie	N/A N/A	t names of such utilities b	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	100,800		Ground Ground
Total production from wells		28,118	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		129	0	129	(1)
February		131		131	
March	<u> </u>	133		133	
April		144	0	144	
May		257	0	257	
June		157		157	
July		153	0	153	
August		143	0	143	
September		133	0	133	
October		73	0	73	
November		55	0	55	· · ·
December		74	0	74	
Total for Year	N/A	1,582		1,582	(A)
Vendor		N/A			
Point of del	ivery -	N/A			
f water is sold	to other water utilities	for redistribution, list r	names of such utilities bel	ow:	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
A) SALES I		BLE AT THE SUBS			

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	72,000	4,334	Ground

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January	(0)	274	0	274	
February		261		261	
March	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	300		300	
April		340	0	340	
May		425	0	425	
June		338	0	338	
July		297	0	297	
August		276	0	276	
September		271	0	271	
October		293	0	293	
November		290	0	290 329	
December		329	0	329	
Total for Year	N/A	3,694		3,694	<u>(A)</u>
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	rchased for resale, indi	cate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is sol	d to other water utilitie N/A	es for redistribution, list	t names of such utilities be	elow:	
(A) SALES	S DATA NOT AVAIL	ABLE AT THE SUB	SYSTEM LEVEL		

SOURCE OF SUPPLY

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well#1	100,800	10,121	Ground
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4.60	<u> </u>		
			<u> </u>

W-11F GROUP 7-1 SYSTEM Woodberry Forest

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 4,886 3,916 5,140 6,450 9,202 5,548	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 15 6 0 28	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 4,886 3,916 5,125 6,444 9,202 5,520	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
July August September October November December		4,859 4,784 5,308 5,668 5,280 4,242	0 0 0 56 0 17	4,859 4,784 5,308 5,612 5,280 4,225	
Total for Year	N/A	65,283	122	65,161	(A)
Vendor Point of del	ivery	N/A N/A	names of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	633,600		Ground
Well #2	316,800		Ground
Well #3	475,200		Ground
Total production from wells		178,858	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 1,723 1,617 2,021 2,446 3,154 2,285 1,865 1,791 1,983 2,133 1,980	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 1,723 1,617 2,021 2,446 3,154 2,285 1,865 1,791 1,983 2,133 1,980 1,733	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
Total for Year	N/A	1,743 24,741	10	24,731	(A)
Vendor Point of de	•	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	288,000 288,000		Ground Ground
Total production from wells	-	67,784	

Į	T	П	u	Ŧ	Y	N	Ā	M	E	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's)
January		518	0	(e) 518	(f)
February		657	90	567	
March		343		343	
April		360	3	357	
May		364	0	364	
June		389		389	
July		395	0	395	
August		643	0	643	
September		601	0	601	
October		420	3	417	****
November		388	0	388	
December		524	80	444	
Total for Year	N/A	5,602	176	5,426	(A)
f water is pure Vendor Point of del		ate the following: N/A N/A			
	•		names of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	129,600 129,600		Ground Ground
Total production from wells		15,348	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 607 616 640 770 1,052 751 673 675 808	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
October November		929 638	90	839 638	
December		613	0	613	
Total for Year	<u>N/A</u>	8,772	99	8,673	<u>(A)</u>
lf water is pur Vendor Point of de	rchased for resale, indi	cate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is sol	d to other water utilitie	es for redistribution, list	t names of such utilities be	elow:	
	<u></u>				
(A) SALES	DATA NOT AVAIL	ABLE AT THE SUB	SYSTEM LEVEL		

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	108,000	24,033	Ground
1.11. 1.11.			

U	T	LI	T	Y	NA	М	E:
---	---	----	---	---	----	---	----

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

VEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC.	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)]	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's)
January		936	(d)	(e)	<u>(f)</u>
February		735	0	936	
March		932	0	735	
April		903	0	932 903	
May		1,303	0	1,303	
June		864		864	
July		795		795	
August		808		808	
September		779		779	
October		905	0	905	
November		992		992	••• .
December		857	0	857	
Total for Year	N/A	10,809	-	10,809	(A)
Vendor		ate the following:			
Point of del	ivery	N/A		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
f water is sold	to other water utilities	for redistribution, list n	ames of such utilities belo	ow:	
A) SALES I		BLE AT THE SUB SY			

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	266,400 266,400		Ground Ground
Total production from wells		29,614	

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
(a)	(0)	1,515	0	1,515	<u> </u>
January February		1,436	0	1,436	
March		1,693	4	1,689	
April		2,307	0	2,307	
May	·	3,031	0	3,031	,,,,,,,,,,,,,
June		2,181	9	2,172	
July	•	1,724		1,724	
August	·	1,695	0	1,695	
September	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,806		1,806	
October		1,772	15	1,757	
November		1,934	0	1,934	
December		1,726	8	1,718	
Total for Year	N/A	22,820	36	22,784	(A)
Vendor Point of deli	•	N/A N/A			
f water is sold	to other water utilitie N/A	s for redistribution, list	names of such utilities be	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	132,480 132,480		Ground Ground
Total production from wells		62,521	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

TANGERINE / ORANGE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 3,171 2,972 2,918 4,041 6,224 4,817 4,045 2,984 3,966	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 961 824 936 924 1,235 895 341 1,216 906	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 2,210 2,148 1,982 3,117 4,989 3,922 3,704 1,768 3,060	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 1,937 1,847 2,493 2,423 4,194 4,042 2,068 2,258 2,056
October November December		4,372 3,685 3,701	1,115 825 310	3,000 3,257 2,860 3,391	2,056 2,368 2,660 3,751
for Year If water is pure Vendor Point of deli		46,896 ate the following: N/A N/A	10,488	36,408	32,097
	· <u>-</u>	······································	names of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	360,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		128,482	

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE OSBORNE ESTATES / PALM BEACH

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's)
January	3,828		(u)	3,828	<u>(f)</u>
February	3,186		0	3,186	3,666
March	3.257		0	3,257	3,968
April	3,129		0	3,129	4,528
May	3,820		0	3,820	3,943 3,605
June	4,409		0	4,409	3,999
July	3,568	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	3,568	3,939
August	3,306	***************************************	0	3,306	3,289
September	3,065		0	3,065	2,812
October	3,397		0	3,397	2,696
November	2,995		0	2,995	3,357
December	3,909		0	3,909	3,417
Total for Year	41,869	N/A		41,869	42,318
If water is pure Vendor Point of del	thased for resale, indica	ate the following: City of Lake Worth Michigan Drive			
If water is sold	to other water utilities	for redistribution, list n VA	ames of such utilities belo	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Interconnect with City of Lake Worth		114,710	Purchased
		4	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JASMINE LAKES / PASCO

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

November December Total for Year N/A	8,829 7,913	0		17 207
<u> </u>	8,529	414	8,829 7,499 8,529	17,387 6,845 6,420
	104,671	2,233	102,438	100,070
If water is purchased for resale Vendor Point of delivery	n, indicate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is sold to other water u	tilities for redistribution, list r	names of such utilities bel	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	374,400		Aquifer
Well #2	374,400		Aquifer
Well #3	374,400		Aquifer
Well #4	374,400		Aquifer
Total production from wells		286,770	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY: PALM TERRACE / PASCO

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January February March April May June July August September October November	5,962 4,891 5,088 6,346 6,681 4,941 6,663 7,079 5,882 5,827 6,179 4,547		0 408 0 0 418 403 0 365 426 0 387	5,962 4,483 5,088 6,346 6,263 4,538 6,663 6,714 5,456 5,827 5,792 4,547	4,285 6,007 5,067 5,761 6,440 5,373 5,115 4,628 5,731 5,301 4,915 4,257
Total for Year	70,086 *		2,407	67,679	62,880
Vendor Point of de	·	Pasco County Utilitie Palm Terrace Intercor		elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Interconnect with Pasco County Utilities		192,016	Purchase
			
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ZEPHYR SHORES / PASCO

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)]	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's)
January		1,205	26	(e)	(f)
February		1,222	26	1,179	861
March		1,305	26	1,196	1,153
April		811	28	1,279	1,118
May		667	18	<u>783</u>	1,090
June	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	618	38	580	759
July		540	36	504	566
August		520	0		403
September		639	0	520 639	398
October		799	70	729	438
November		948	61	887	485
December		1,011	51	960	686
Total for Year	*	10,285	380	9,905	8,567
If water is purc Vendor	hased for resale, indic	ate the following:			
Point of deli	very	N/A			
f water is sold	to other water utilities	for redistribution, list n	ames of such utilities belo	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	763,200	28,178	Deep Well
		<u></u>	

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

BREEZE HILL / POLK

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January	(-)	339	0	339	439
February		359	25	334	393
March		380	0	380	1,051
April		324	0	324	882
May		313	0	313	608
June		228	0	228	322
July	**********	193	0	193	332
August		270	0	270	240
September		247	0	247	436
October		313	0	313	281
November		374	<u>0</u>	374 404	314
December		419	13	404	340
Total for Year	N/A	3,759	40	3,719	5,646
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	chased for resale, indi-	cate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is solo	l to other water utilitie	s for redistribution, list N/A	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	254,880	30,811	Deep Well

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

GIBSONIA ESTATES / POLK

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		1,523	99	1,424	1,307
February		1,549	49	1,500	1,439
March		1,677	19	1,658	1,356
April		1,655	49	1,606	1,438
May		2,042	49	1,993	1,953
June		2,202	187	2,015	1,672
July		1,925	74	1,851	1,617
August		1,854	69	1,785	1,478
September		1,906	0	1,906	1,386
October		1,840	49	1,791	1,353
November		1,760	49	1,711	1,563
December		1,745	49	1,696	1,714
Total for Year	N/A	21,678	742	20,936	18,276
f water is pure Vendor Point of del		ate the following: N/A N/A			
	to other water utilities	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	names of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	259,200 79,200		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		59,392	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE GIBSON ESTATES / POLK

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
Јапиагу		6,635	178	6,457	5,262
February		6,127	178	5,949	5,560
March		6,463	0	6,463	5,766
April		6,518	108	6,410	5,709
May		8,537	93	8,444	6,492
June		7,275	93	7,182	6,551
July	***	6,369	93	6,276	5,736
August		6,220	119	6,101	5,538
September		7,092	0	7,092	5,251
October		6,660	93	6,567	5,574
November		6,188	233	5,955	5,490
December		6,272	183	6,089	5,271
Total for Year	N/A	80,356	1,371	78,985	68,200
If water is pure Vendor Point of del	. ———	ate the following: N/A N/A			
f water is sold	to other water utilities	for redistribution, list n N/A	ames of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	576,000 1,008,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		220,153	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ORANGE HILL-SUGAR CREEK / POLK

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January February March April May June July August September October November December		1,693 1,551 1,566 1,912 2,915 2,501 2,047 2,195 1,642 2,114 1,799 1,535	81 61 61 61 61 61 59 58 0 58 59	1,612 1,490 1,505 1,851 2,854 2,440 1,988 2,137 1,642 2,056 1,740 1,522	1,544 1,370 1,279 1,435 1,928 2,213 1,594 1,600 1,387 1,468 1,506 1,255
Total for Year	N/A	23,470	633	22,837	18,579
Vendor Point of de	•	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	204,480 154,080		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		64,301	

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ROSALIE OAKS / POLK

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		293	28	265	232
February		280	20	260	266
March	-	289	16	273	228
April		260	0	260	245
May	•	331	16	315	246
June		298	16	282	170
July		289	0	289	132
August		202	46	156	154
September		181	16	165	121
October		175	16	159	126
November		179	16	163	120
December		335	16	319	147
Total for Year	N/A	3,112	206	2,906	2,187
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	rchased for resale, indi	cate the following: N/A			***
	•	N/A		·	
If water is sol	d to other water utilitie	s for redistribution, list	names of such utilities be	elow:	
		N/A			

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	360,000	8,526	Aquifer
			<u></u>

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VILLAGE WATER / POLK

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January	1,983		370	1,613	1,922
February	2,934		780	2,154	3,570
March	2,833		60	2,773	2,039
April	2,615		60	2,555	2,136
May	3,005		410	2,595	3,132
June	3,243		260	2,983	1,934
July	3,349		560	2,789	2,517
August	2,844		60	2,784	2,031
September	2,948		0	2,948	1,994
October	2,945		60	2,885	1,924
November	2,481		60	2,421	1,794
December	2,713		360	2,353	1,757
Total for Year	33,893	N/A	3,040	30,853	26,750
If water is pure Vendor Point of del	***	ate the following: City of Lakeland Reynolds Dr. & Lisa La	ane		
If water is sold		for redistribution, list i	names of such utilities bel	low:	
ii watei is sold			names of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Interconnect with City of Lakeland		92,858	Purchase

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

BEECHER'S POINT / PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) 242 289 266 382 256 355 294 287 325 329 253	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 239 285 263 378 263 378 253 351 291 283 322 325 250	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 161 184 135 166 132 234 290 201 247 253
Total for Year	3,513	N/A	42	3,471	2,381
Vendor Point of de	livery I to other water utilities	Town of Welaka 6" Rockwell Meter at	400 Front Street names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Interconnect with the Town of Welaka		9,625	Purchase
	-		

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HERMITS COVE / PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 631 586 693 637 779 809 767 653 665	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 35	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 631 586 693 637 779 809 767 618 665	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 499 703 615 758 649 825 626 752 589
October November December		678 695 657	0 0 0	678 695 657	585 705 559
Total for Year	N/A	8,250	35	8,215	7,865
If water is purd Vendor Point of del	hased for resale, indic	ate the following: N/A N/A			
f water is sold Note:	This system is inter	connected with and pro-	names of such utilities bel vides water to St. John's H John's Highlands system	lighlands, Group 12-8.	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	216,000 216,000		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		22,603	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

INTERLACHEN LAKE-PARK MANOR / PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		1,870	0	1,870	768
February		1,621	0	1,621	882
March		1,822	0	1,822	817
April		1,670	0	1,670	865
May		1,254	0	1,254	1,051
June		1,199	0	1,199	1,113
July		1,096	0	1,096	1,032
August		1,227	205	1,022	946
September		1,001	0	1,001	879
October		1,068	0	1,068	937
November		969	0	969	868
December		939	0	939	804
Total for Year	N/A	15,736	205	15,531	10,962
If water is pure Vendor Point of del	chased for resale, indic	eate the following: N/A N/A			
lf water is sold	to other water utilitie	s for redistribution, list	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2	259,200 259,200		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		43,112	

U	T	1	L	ı	T	Y	N	Ā	M	F.	:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALM PORT / PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 326	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January February March April May June July August September October November December		326 340 404 404 447 411 533 692 480 475 467 459	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	326 340 404 404 447 411 533 692 480 475 467 459	337 360 358 317 394 429 339 336 323 315 362 343
Total for Year	N/A	5,438		5,438	4,213
Vendor Point of del	ivery to other water utilities	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Weil #1	115,200	14,899	Deep Well

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

POMONA PARK / PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 1,074 1,046 1,169 1,124 1,192 1,145 922 811 923 852 873	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e) 1,074 1,046 1,169 1,124 1,192 1,145 922 811 923 852 873	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 637 694 782 863 693 812 660 689 686 640 798
Total for Year	N/A	877 12,008	0	12,008	8,607
Vendor Point of de	·	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	227,520	32,899	Deep Well

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

RIVER GROVE / PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November December	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 496 429 515 571 881 584 523 510 491 547 539 525	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e) 496 429 515 571 881 584 523 510 491 547 539 525	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 419 493 410 280 498 840 446 473 507 438 588 482
Total for Year	N/A	6,611	-	6,611	5,874
Vendor Point of del	to other water utilities	N/A N/A	names of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	180,000	18,112	Deep Well

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SILVER LAKE OAKS / PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January		125	0	125	107
February		125		125	104
March		147	0	147	164
April		138	0	138	117
May		142	0	142	135
June		140	0	140	142
July		131	0	131	119
August		122	0	122	134
September	·	130	0	130	119
October		128	0	128	126
November	·	97	0	97	125
December	<u> </u>	94	1	93	107
Total for Year	N/A	1,519	1	1,518	1,499
f water is pure Vendor Point of del		cate the following: N/A N/A			
f water is sold		s for redistribution, list N/A	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	108,000	4,162	Deep Well

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ST. JOHN'S HIGHLANDS / PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November December	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
Total for Year	N/A				
Vendor Point of del	to other water utilities	is interconnected with I N/A	Hermits Cove, Group 12- names of such utilities bel	2, and all data above is inc	cluded therein.

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Interconnection with Hermits Cove, Group 11-2			

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

WELAKA-SARATOGA HARBOUR / PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 509 457 526 533 755 322	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d) (e) 509 457 526 533 755 322	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 441 452 394 390 531
July August September October November December		580 668 607 424 414 519	0 0 0 0 0	580 668 607 424 414 519	431 433 587 322 374 311
Total for Year	N/A	6,314		6,314	5,216
Vendor Point of de	•	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	·low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Saratoga Harbour Well #1 Welaka	158,400 109,440		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		17,299	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

WOOTENS/PUTNAM

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
March April May June July August September October November December		113 135 147 204 166 122 108 110 100 90 75	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	113 135 147 204 166 122 108 110 100 90 74	69 70 63 75 114 88 84 72 69 71
Total for Year	N/A	1,480	1	1,479	887
Vendor Point of deli	to other water utilities	N/A N/A	ames of such utilities bel	ow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	28,800	4,055	Deep Well

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

CHULUOTA / SEMINOLE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 11,950 11,775 14,467 18,455	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 538 290 374 851	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e) 11,412 11,485 14,093 17,604	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 10,006 15,425 11,764 15,149
May June July August September October November December		22,448 19,050 15,344 14,356 14,710 14,114 18,615 19,066	1,571 1,737 0 0 0 0 0 0	20,877 17,313 15,344 14,356 14,710 14,114 18,615 19,066	19,902 18,645 15,190 12,444 11,173 11,163 13,537 13,229
Total for Year	N/A	194,350	5,361	188,989	167,627
Vendor Point of de	•	N/A N/A	names of such utilities be	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Chuluota #1 - Well #1	360,000		Deep Well
Chuluota #1 - Well #2	720,000		Deep Well
Chuluota #2 - Well #1	720,000		Deep Well
Chuluota #2 - Well #2	720,000		Deep Well
Total production from wells		532,466	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HARMONY HOMES / SEMINOLE

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
	365	0		322
	328	18		330
	197	13	184	336
345	0	0	345	308
423	0	0	423	424
	0	0	392	396
	0	0	375	348
		0	310	367
	***	0	367	329
321		0	321	327
		0	349	370
576	0	0	576	296
3,109	1,239	31	4,317	4,153
	City of Altamonte Sprin	ngs - backup water suppy ny Homes sub division		
	for redistribution, list n	names of such utilities bel	ow:	
	PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b) (c) 365 328 197 345 0 423 0 392 0 375 0 310 367 321 0 349 576 0 3,109 1,239 * consisted for resale, indicate the following: City of Altamonte Spring Interconnect at Harmonte Spring Interconnect Interconnec	WATER	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (c) (d) (e)

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Interconnect with the City of Altamonte Springs	216,000	3,395 8,518	Deep Well Purchase

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JUMPER CREEK/SUMTER

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January February March April May June July August September October November		187 171 207 194 249 232 206 196 207 262 160	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	185 169 205 192 247 230 204 194 205 260 158	210 402 184 261 203 193 240 234 188 227 221
December Total for Year	N/A	2,401	24	2,377	2,698
Vendor Point of de	•	N/A N/A	t names of such utilities be	elow:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #3	106,000 106,000		Aquifer Aquifer
Total production from wells		6,578	

	Į	T	H	ITY	NA	MI	ď
--	---	---	---	-----	----	----	---

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

THE WOODS/SUMTER

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a) January February March April May June July August September October November December	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c) 518 529 529 718 478 375 406 642 402 386 394 438	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e) 518 529 529 718 478 375 406 642 402 386 394 438	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f) 209 263 294 357 378 318 232 236 226 207 221
Total for Year	N/A	5,815		5,815	3,247
Vendor Point of del	ivery to other water utilities	N/A N/A	names of such utilities bel	low:	

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1	144,000	15,932	Aquifer
		<u></u>	

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY :

JUNGLE DEN / VOLUSIA

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

) 168 234 196 157 153 132 194 162 284		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	168 234 196 157 153 132 194	134 193 184 178 120 102 116
196 157 153 132 194 162 284		0 0 0 0 0	196 157 153 132 194	184 178 120 102 116
157 153 132 194 162 284		0 0 0 0	157 153 132 194	178 120 102 116
153 132 194 162 284		0 0 0	153 132 194	120 102 116
132 194 162 284		0	132 194	102 116
194 162 284		0	194	116
162 284				
284		0	162	
				111
		0	284	119
359		0	359	99
-32		0		120
198		0	198	219
2,205 N	/A		2,205	1,695
Astor - A	stor Park Wat			
ater utilities for redis	tribution, list	names of such utilities be	low:	
	2,205 N * esale, indicate the for Astor - A 4" Kent I	2,205 N/A * esale, indicate the following: Astor - Astor Park Wa 4" Kent Meter at Juno ater utilities for redistribution, list	2,205 N/A * esale, indicate the following: Astor - Astor Park Water Association 4" Kent Meter at Juno Trail and Alice Drive ater utilities for redistribution, list names of such utilities be	198 0 198 2,205 N/A 2,205 * esale, indicate the following: Astor - Astor Park Water Association 4" Kent Meter at Juno Trail and Alice Drive ater utilities for redistribution, list names of such utilities below:

CAPACITY OF WELL	PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
	6,041	Purchase
		
		OF WELL FROM SOURCE

YEAR OF REPORT
December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

TOMOKA-TWIN RIVERS / VOLUSIA

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) (b)+(c)-(d) (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's)
January		2,130	0	2,130	1,945
February		2,026		2,026	2,003
March		2,197	- 0	2,197	1,933
April		2,168		2,168	2,152
May		3,050		3,050	2,566
June	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,277	- 0	1,277	2,611
July		2,192		2,192	1,683
August		1,954	0	1,954	2,096
September		2,039		2,039	1,472
October		2,140	0	2,140	1,621
November		2,037	0	2,037	1,722
December		2,099	0	2,099	1,502
Total for Year	N/A	25,309		25,309	23,306
If water is pure Vendor Point of del		ate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is sold		for redistribution, list i N/A	names of such utilities bel	ow:	

SOURCE OF SUPPLY

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Tomoka View Well #2 Tomoka View	108,000		Deep Well
Well #1 Twin Rivers	288,000 385,920		Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		69,340	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SUNNY HILLS / WASHINGTON

PUMPING AND PURCHASED WATER STATISTICS

MONTH (a)	WATER PURCHASED FOR RESALE (Omit 000's) (b)	FINISHED WATER PUMPED FROM WELLS (Omit 000's) (c)	WATER USED FOR LINE FLUSHING, FIGHTING FIRES, ETC. (d)	TOTAL WATER PUMPED AND PURCHASED (Omit 000's) [(b)+(c)-(d)] (e)	WATER SOLD TO CUSTOMERS (Omit 000's) (f)
January	(-)	6,048	2,165	3,883	1,421
February		5,350	2,155	3,195	2,666
March		5,995	2,605	3,390	2,359
April	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5,763	2,835	2,928	2,574
May		6,853	3,157	3,696	2,930
June		7,493	3,457	4,036	3,790
July		7,813	3,277	4,536	3,321
August		7,916	3,377	4,539	2,868
September		7,055	3,077	3,978	2,377
October		6,028	2,157	3,871	3,111
November		5,335	2,767	2,568	2,955
December		4,860	2,377	2,483	1,956
Total for Year	N/A	76,509	33,406	43,103	32,328
If water is pur Vendor Point of de	chased for resale, indi	cate the following: N/A N/A			
If water is solo	d to other water utilitie	s for redistribution, list N/A	names of such utilities be	elow:	

SOURCE OF SUPPLY

List for each source of supply:	CAPACITY OF WELL	GALLONS PER DAY FROM SOURCE	TYPE OF SOURCE
Well #1 Well #2 Well #3	734,400 744,480 288,000		Deep Well Deep Well Deep Well
Total production from wells		209,614	

ľ	11	ī	ı	1	г١	,	V	Δ	М	F	
۹.			1.					_	171		-

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ARREDONDO ESTATES / ALACHUA

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plan	t (GPD):	68,494		
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead		
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pound per gallon):	s <u>N/A</u>	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

YEAR OF REPORT

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ARREDONDO FARMS / ALACHUA

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (C	GPD):	95,891		
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead		
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
ILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

KINGSWOOD / BREVARD

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant	Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		Brevard County Utilities	
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		N/A		
		N/A		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

_				 				_
	1.1	11	- 4	v	N		N.1	E:
ı		11	-1			$\overline{}$	17.7	L.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OAKWOOD / BREVARD

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GP	Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		Interconnected with Brevard County Utilities			
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		N/A .				
		N/A				
		LIME TREATMENT				
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	·		
FILTRATION						
Type and size of area:						
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	- 		
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A			

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE SUZY / CHARLOTTE AND DESOTO

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		Interconnect with DeSoto County		
Location of measurement of c (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		N/A			
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		N/A		· · · · · ·	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT			
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		
ILTRATION					
Type and size of area:					
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		

ı	111	ľ	11	T	V.	N.	Δ.	м	ŀ.	•

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE JOSEPHINE / HIGHLANDS

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	300,000		
Location of measurement of (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank)	•	Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, ae		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UTILITY	NAME:
---------	-------

YEAR OF REPORT
December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LEISURE LAKES / HIGHLANDS

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	72,000		
Location of measurement of c (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	apacity	Wellhead and/or D	istribution	
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, aer		Chlorination and A	eration	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

l	ITI	I.	H	Y	N.	A	м	F :

YEAR OF REPORT
December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SEBRING LAKES / HIGHLANDS

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant	(GPD):	280,000		
Location of measurement of (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank	• •	Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, a		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pound: per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

_	_									
1	17	11	1	ľ	ΓV	-N	4	1.1	С	

EAR!	OF	REP	ORT
Dece	mbo	er 31,	2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

48 ESTATES / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant	(GPD):	57,600		
Location of measurement of (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank		Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, ac		Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e. CDM mannel		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ı	11	ľ	Ι.	ĮΊ	١, ١	7	N	Α	м	F.	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

CARLTON VILLAGE / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		288,000			
Location of measurement of ca (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	pacity	Wellhead and/or Dis	tribution		
Type of treatment (reverse o (sedimentation, chemical, aera		Chlorination			
		LIME TREATMENT			
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		
FILTRATION					
Type and size of area:					
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	was an	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

EAST LAKE HARRIS ESTATES / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant	(GPD):	144,000		
Location of measurement of (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank	• •	Wellhead and/or Dis	stribution	
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, ac		Chlorination	The state of the s	
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	<u></u>

U	Ti	[[.]	IΤY	ίN	ΑN	AF:

YEAR	OF	REP	ORT
Dece	mbe	er 31.	2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

FAIRWAYS @ MT. PLYMOUTH / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD); Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		250,000		
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ì	: 1	ľ	1 1	T	v	N	A	М	D.

YEAR	OF	RE	PO	RT
Dece	mbe	er 3	1, 2	800

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

FERN TERRACE / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		129,600		
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
		Chlorination	7844	
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
ILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

F	17	٠,	1	11	F١	1	V	Δ	Ń	1	ŀ	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

FRIENDLY CENTER / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		72,000		
		Wellhead and/or Distribution		
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UΉ	П	ÆТ	V	NA	м	E:

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

GRAND TERRACE / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	432,000		
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead and/or Dis	stribution	
		Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

1	T	п	IΠ	ľV	N	٨	14	\mathbf{F}	

YEAR	OF	RE	POR ²
Dece	mbe	er 31	. 200

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HAINES CREEK / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		64,800		
		Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, aer.		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		•
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ľ	T	11	. [T	V	N	4	М	Ç.	
₹.		11					- 1		T .	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HOBBY HILLS / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant	(GPD):	234,000	·	
Location of measurement of (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank		Welihead and/or Di	istribution	
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, ac		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

YEAR OF R	EP	ORT
December	31,	2008

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HOLIDAY HAVEN / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		Interconnected with	Astor	
Location of measurement of (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank				
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, ac	•			
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds	;			
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UTILITY	NAME:
---------	-------

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

IMPERIAL MOBILE TERRACE / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		288,000	 -	
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
		Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ł	TT	П	J l'i	$\Gamma \mathbf{Y}$	N_{ℓ}	١M	F.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

KINGS COVE / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (Gl	PD):	378,000		
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		Wellhead		W-14-
Type of treatment (reverse os (sedimentation, chemical, aerat		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

_			_	_								
1	15	Г	1		11	٠,	/	N	A	м	U	
٠.		L	L.						_		т.	_

Y	EAR	OF	KI.	PU	IK I
	Dece	dm	er 3	1, 2	2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

MORNINGVIEW / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	306,000		
Location of measurement of (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank)		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, aer		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Type and Size of area.				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

r	TO 1		10:37	18.1 A		•
ŧ	111	1	11V	$\sim A$	M	

YEA	R OF	RE	PORT
Do	cemb	or 31	2009

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALMS MOBILE HOME PARK / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		93,600		
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, por per gallon):	unds N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square fe	eet): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PICCIOLA ISLAND / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		198,000		
		Weilhead and/or Dis	tribution	
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UTI	LITY	NAME	:
-----	------	------	---

YEAR ()F F	REP	ORT
Decen	nber	31,	2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PINEY WOODS / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		216,000		
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
		Chlorination	4.444	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ĭ	JTI	1 1	TI	2 N	JA	NЛ	С.
ι		1.1		ľ	٧A	IVI	r.:

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

QUAIL RIDGE / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		468,000		
		Wellhead and/or Dis	tribution	
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds				
per galion):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ı	TI	11	Tν	N	Α	м	г.
ι	,				/4	IVI	г.:

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

RAVENSWOOD / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (C	GPD):	56,160	
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead	
		Chlorination	
Market Company		LIME TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
FILTRATION			
Type and size of area:			
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SILVER LAKE-WESTERN SHORES / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		SLE Plant - 2,202,000 / WS Plant - 432,000			
		Wellhead and/or Distribution			
		Chlorination			
		LIME TREATMENT			
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		
FILTRATION					
Type and size of area:					
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		

r	1T:	fi	ī	ſΥ	N	A	NÆ	D.	
u	<i>)</i>	11.			-13	А	W	т.	•

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SKYCREST / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant	(GPD):	126,000	·	
Location of measurement of (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank	capacity k):	Wellhead and/or Dis	stribution	
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, ac	osmosis, erated, etc.):	Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds	s	LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

STONE MOUNTAIN / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (G	PD):	144,000	
Location of measurement of ca (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	pacity	Wellhead and/or Dis	tribution
Type of treatment (reverse o (sedimentation, chemical, aera		Chlorination	
		LIME TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
FILTRATION	•		
Type and size of area:			
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A

ι	$ \mathbf{T} $	l. ii	TY	NA	ME	•

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SUMMIT CHASE / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (C	GPD):	489,600		
Location of measurement of ca (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	pacity	Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse o (sedimentation, chemical, aera	smosis, ited, etc.):	Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VALENCIA TERRACE / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Wellhead and/or Dis	stribution
Chlorination	
IME TREATMENT	
Manufacturer:	N/A
Manufacturer:	N/A
Manufacturer:	N/A
}	Manufacturer: Manufacturer:

UTILITY NAME	UTI	LT	ΓV	NA	ME
--------------	-----	----	----	----	----

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VENETIAN VILLAGE / LAKE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		216,000		
Location of measurement of c (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	apacity :	Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, aer.	osmosis, ated, etc.):	<u>Chlorination</u>		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
TILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		DATA BY SUB SYSTEM ONLY
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		
	LIME TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	Manufacturer:	
FILTRATION		
Type and size of area:		
Pressure (in square feet):	Manufacturer:	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	Manufacturer:	

		-								_	
ι	1	1	ı	L.	П	ΓY	N	А	M	F.	•

ľ	EAR	OF	REP	ORT
	Dece	mbe	er 31.	2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	100,000		
Location of measurement of c (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank)	• •	Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, aer		Chlorination	-	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UTH	TV	NA	MF.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		20,000		
		Wellhead		
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

1	רוו	ГВ	I	13	Γ¥	N	A	3/1	D.
١			1,		ľ	- 17	\mathbf{A}	IVI	м,

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		65,000	65,000	
		Wellhead	·	
		Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A_	Manufacturer:	N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		108,000		
		Wellhead		
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pound per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
ILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ı	IT	П	H	Y	NA	М	F.

YEAR	OF	REP	ORT
Dece	mh	21	2006

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plan	Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):			
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead		
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pound per gallon):	s N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet)	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ı	ITI	1	IT	V N	lΔ	м	F .
ı	, ,				-	IVE	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):			
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead	and the state of	
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):			
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead		
		Chlorination	,	
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

$\mathbf{E}^{\prime\prime}$	11	ITY	NA	MAG
U I	ПL	111	-NA	VIP.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plan	Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):			
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		Wellhead		
Type of treatment (revers (sedimentation, chemical, a		Chlorination		
Without Co. One		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pound per gallon):	ls N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet)	: <u>N/A</u>	Manufacturer:	N/A	

1	11	r e	T	17	ГΥ	N	A	14	œ.
Į					1 1		-	IVI	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		259,000		
Location of measurement of capacit (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	у	Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon): N	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

t	T	П.	IT	Y	NA	М	F.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		109,000		
		Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, aer		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		•
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

I	/T	11.	ITV	NΔ	ME:

ľ	LAR	OF	KEP	ORT
	Dece	mbe	er 31.	2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	273,000		
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, ae	•	Chlorination	·	
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	***
FILTRATION				•
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

1	T	н	m	ΓY	N	A	М	Ľ.
ų		11	JI i		1.3	~	171	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS/MARION

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant ((GPD):	132,000		
Location of measurement of (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank)		Wellhead		
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, ac	osmosis, rated, etc.):	Chlorination		78. de
Unit mating (i.e. CDM		LIME TREATMENT	•	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ſ	П	ΓI	E.	[1	ΓY	N	Δ	M	Ę`،
•	, ,			L I		- 1	-		r.:

EAR	OF	RE	PORT	Γ
Dece	mbe	er 31	. 200	R

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

TANGERINE / ORANGE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		360,000		
		Wellhead and/or Dis	stribution	
		Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	White the state of
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE OSBORNE ESTATES / PALM BEACH

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		Purchased	<u> </u>	
Location of measurement of ca (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	pacity	Lake Worth Meter		
Type of treatment (reverse o (sedimentation, chemical, aera		N/A		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

_								
ł	T	И.	.11	ľY	N	A	М	F٠

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JASMINE LAKES / PASCO

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	600,000	
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	Wellhead	
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):	Aeration/Chlorination	
Unit mating (i.e. CDM	LIME TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
FILTRATION Type and size of area:		
Pressure (in square feet): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
Gravity (in GPM/square feet): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALM TERRACE / PASCO

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		NA - Purchased from Pasco County Utilities		
Location of measurement of capa (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	city	NA		1
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Treated by Vendor		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UT	ш.	IT	Υ	N	A	м	E

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ZEPHYR SHORES / PASCO

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	200,000		
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):	Chlorination		
	LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION			
Type and size of area:			
Pressure (in square feet): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

ITH.	ITV	N. A	M/C.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

BREEZE HILL / POLK

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	256,000		
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, aer		Chlorination	Mark Property and	and the second s
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
ILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

U	TI	LIT	Υ	NA	ME:	
---	----	-----	---	----	-----	--

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

GIBSONIA ESTATES / POLK

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	100,000		
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	Wellhead and/or Dis	stribution	
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):	Chlorination		
i	LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	_
FILTRATION Type and size of area:			
Pressure (in square feet): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	-
Gravity (in GPM/square feet): N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	-

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE GIBSON ESTATES / POLK

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		900,000	<u></u>	
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
Type of treatment (reverse (sedimentation, chemical, ae	•	Chlorination	***************************************	
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ORANGE HILL-SUGAR CREEK / POLK

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		79,400		
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ROSALIE OAKS / POLK

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant	(GPD):	100,000	·	
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VILLAGE WATER / POLK

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

			-	
Permitted Capacity of Plant (G	GPD):	N/A		
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Purchased from the City of Lakeland Treated by the vendor		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon): FILTRATION Type and size of area: Pressure (in square feet):	N/A N/A	LIME TREATMENT Manufacturer: Manufacturer:	N/A N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

BEECHER'S POINT / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):	Interconnected with	h the Town of Welaka
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	N/A	
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.): N/A	
	LIME TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon): N/	Manufacturer:	N/A
FILTRATION		
Type and size of area:		
Pressure (in square feet): N/	Manufacturer:	N/A
Gravity (in GPM/square feet): N/	Manufacturer:	N/A

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HERMITS COVE / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		187,000		
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
ILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

INTERLACHEN LAKE-PARK MANOR / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		1,115,000	
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		Wellhead and/or Di	istribution
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, et		Chlorination	
	LIM	E TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon): N/	<u>A</u>	Manufacturer:	N/A
FILTRATION Type and size of area:			
Pressure (in square feet): N/	<u>A</u>	Manufacturer:	N/A
Gravity (in GPM/square feet): N/	Ά	Manufacturer:	N/A

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALM PORT / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		170,000		
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
		Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

POMONA PARK / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		187,000		
Location of measurement of ca (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	• •	Wellhead and/or Dis	stribution	
Type of treatment (reverse of (sedimentation, chemical, aera		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

RIVER GROVE / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		200,000	
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution
		Chlorination	
		LIME TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
FILTRATION			
Type and size of area:			
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SILVER LAKE OAKS / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		100,800		
		Wellhead and/or Dis	stribution	
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION				
Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ST. JOHN'S HIGHLANDS / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

P	Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Interconnected with	Interconnected with Hermits Cove (Group 11-2)		
			N/A			
T (:			N/A			
ι	Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT			
p	per galion):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		
	TRATION Type and size of area:					
P	Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		
G	Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A		

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

WELAKA-SARATOGA HARBOUR / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (C	Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD):		Harbour 200,000
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):		Wellhead and/or Dist	tribution
Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Chlorination	
•		LIME TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A-	Manufacturer:	N/A
FILTRATION Type and size of area:			
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A

11	Ш	n	$\Gamma \mathbf{V}$	N	A	M	г.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

WOOTENS / PUTNAM

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		60,000		
		Wellhead and/or Di	stribution	
		Chlorination	***	34.11
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
ILTRATION Type and size of area:		·		
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	-

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

CHULUOTA / SEMINOLE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant	(GPD):	Plant #1 - 720,000 / Plant	#2 - 1,080,000	
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead and/or Distribution		
		Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pound per gallon):	ls N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet)): <u>N/A</u>	Manufacturer:	N/A	

HTI	ITV	NA	ME:
		\perp	IVI C. :

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HARMONY HOMES / SEMINOLE

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		216,000		
		Wellhead and/or Dis	tribution	
		Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				· · ·
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JUMPER CREEK / SUMTER

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		106,000	
		Wellhead	
		Chlorination	
		LIME TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
FILTRATION Type and size of area:			
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A

UTI	1.1	ΤV	NΔ	м	F.
\mathbf{v}	L	, ,	ч.	IVI	г.:

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

THE WOODS / SUMTER

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GPD): Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		72,000		
		WellheadAeration		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	LIME TREATMENT Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JUNGLE DEN / VOLUSIA

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Provide a separate sheet for each water treatment facility

Permitted Capacity of Plant (GP	'D):	N/A Interconnect w	ith Astor
Location of measurement of cap (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	acity	N/A	
Type of treatment (reverse ost (sedimentation, chemical, aerat		Treated by Vendor	
		LIME TREATMENT	
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
FILTRATION Type and size of area:			
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A

UTILIT	Y	NA	ME
--------	---	----	----

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

TOMOKA-TWIN RIVERS / VOLUSIA

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Provide a separate sheet for each water treatment facility

Permitted Capacity of Plant ((GPD):	Tomoka View - 193,000	/ Twin Rivers - 180 000	
Location of measurement of capacity (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank): Type of treatment (reverse osmosis, (sedimentation, chemical, aerated, etc.):		Wellhead and/or Distribution		
		Chlorination		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds		LIME TREATMENT		
per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:		•		
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SUNNY HILLS / WASHINGTON

WATER TREATMENT PLANT INFORMATION

Provide a separate sheet for each water treatment facility

Permitted Capacity of Plant (Gl	PD):	1,224,000	_	
Location of measurement of cap (i.e. Wellhead, Storage Tank):	pacity	Wellhead and/or Distr	ibution	
Type of treatment (reverse os (sedimentation, chemical, aera	smosis, ted, etc.):	Chlorination		
		LIME TREATMENT		
Unit rating (i.e., GPM, pounds per gallon):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
FILTRATION Type and size of area:				
Pressure (in square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
Gravity (in GPM/square feet):	N/A	Manufacturer:	N/A	
				

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ARREDONDO ESTATES / ALACHUA

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
ıt	10	210	210
Displacement			210
Displacement	1 - 1		
Displacement			
Displacement or Turbine			
Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		T
Displacement	15.0	*****	
Compound			
Turbine			
Displacement or Compound			
Turbine			
Displacement or Compound			
Turbine			
Compound			
Turbine			
Compound			
Turbine			
Turbine			
	Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine Displacement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound	TYPE OF METER (b) (c)	TYPE OF METER (b)

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	16,457 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		129	ERC's	
				,

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ARREDONDO FARMS / ALACHUA

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBEI OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	21	1.0	354	354
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	1	·
3/4"	Displacement	1.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1"	Displacement	2.5	***	
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	2	1
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	37

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	21,682 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		170	ERC's

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

KINGSWOOD / BREVARD

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	61	61
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5	-	
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System Me	eter Equivalents	61

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	2,673 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		21	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OAKWOOD / BREVARD

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	l I	1.0	207	207
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	1	l
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		[
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

					_
Γ	ERC Calculation:				
		ERC=	11,266 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
			88	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE SUZY / CHARLOTTE AND DESOTO

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	489	489
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	14	14
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5	5	13
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	36	180
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	12	96
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
	Turbine	145.0	eter Equivalents	

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	35,539 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		278	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE JOSEPHINE / HIGHLANDS

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	556	556
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	7	
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	1	
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3."	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
12"	i urbine	Total Water System Me	eter Equivalents	5

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	31,277	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by
		365 350	days, divided by gallons per day
		245	ERC's
			

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LEISURE LAKES / HIGHLANDS

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residenti	al	1.0	285	285
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	 	
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10"	Turbine	145.0	eter Equivalents	

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	5,730 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		45	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SEBRING LAKES / HIGHLANDS

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

		(d)	(c x d) (e)
	1.0	79	79
Displacement	1.0		
Displacement	1.5		
Displacement	2.5		
Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		-
Displacement	15.0		<u> </u>
Compound	16.0		
Turbine	17.5		
Displacement or Compound	25.0		
Turbine	30.0	·	
Displacement or Compound	50.0		
Turbine	62.5		
Compound	80.0		
Turbine	90.0		
Compound	115.0		
Turbine	145.0		
Turbine	215.0		
	Displacement Displacement or Turbine Displacement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine	Displacement 2.5 Displacement or Turbine 5.0 Displacement, Compound or Turbine 8.0 Displacement 15.0 Compound 16.0 Turbine 17.5 Displacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 Displacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 90.0 Compound 115.0 Turbine 145.0 Turbine 215.0	Displacement 2.5 Displacement or Turbine 5.0 Displacement, Compound or Turbine 8.0 Displacement 15.0 Compound 16.0 Turbine 17.5 Displacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 Displacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 90.0 Compound 115.0 Turbine 145.0

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	15,243	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by
		365	days, divided by
		350	gallons per day
		119	ERC's
			!

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

48 ESTATES / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	87	87
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0	····	
12	1 uroine	Total Water System Mo	eter Equivalents	8

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	7,033 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		55	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

CARLTON VILLAGE / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	ıl	1.0	255	255
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		•
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	17,274 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
	=	135	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

EAST LAKE HARRIS ESTATES / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	174	174
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	1	1
3/4"	Displacement Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5	-	
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System Mo	eter Equivalents	175

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	6,955 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		54	ERC's	

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

FAIRWAYS @ MT. PLYMOUTH / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

NUMBEI 1ETER ALENTS x d) (e)	OF MI EQUIVA (c x	NUMBER OF METERS (d)		EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	TYPE OF METER (b)	METER SIZE (a)
238		238		1.0		All Residential
			-	1.0	Displacement	5/8"
			l —	1.5	Displacement	3/4"
			l —	2.5	Displacement	1"
				5.0	Displacement or Turbine	1 1/2"
			—	8.0	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	2"
			-	15.0	Displacement	3"
			l —	16.0	Compound	3"
			<u> </u>	17.5	Turbine	3"
				25.0	Displacement or Compound	4"
				30.0	Turbine	4"
			l —	50.0	Displacement or Compound	6"
				62.5	Turbine	6"
				80.0	Compound	8"
				90.0	Turbine	8"
		-		115.0	Compound	10"
				145.0	Turbine	10"
				215.0	Turbine	12"
		uivalents	/leter Ec	115.0 145.0	Compound Turbine	10" 10"

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	51,242	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by
		365	days, divided by
		350	gallons per day
		401	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

UTILITY NAME:

FERN TERRACE / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	123	123
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	1	8
3".	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0	<u> </u>	
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	131

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	10,156 365	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by	
		350	gallons per day	
		79	ERC's	į

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

FRIENDLY CENTER / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	26	26
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	4	4
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5	-	
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System Me	eter Equivalents	30

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	0 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
	=	0	ERC's

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

GRAND TERRACE / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
	1.0	111	. 111
Displacement			
	1.5		
	2.5		
Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
Displacement	15.0		
Compound	16.0		
Turbine	17.5		
Displacement or Compound	25.0		
Turbine	30.0		
Displacement or Compound	50.0		
Turbine	62.5		
Compound	80.0		
Turbine	90.0		
Compound	115.0		
Turbine	145.0		
Turbine	215.0		
	Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine Displacement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine	TYPE OF METER	Type Of Meter

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	9,245 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		72	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HAINES CREEK / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residenti	al	1.0	107	107
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	· · ·	
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		***************************************
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5	·	
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
			ter Equivalents	

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC= ——	6,174 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		48	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HOBBY HILLS / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
		1.0	101	101
All Residenti		1.0		
5/8"	Displacement	1.5		
3/4"	Displacement	2.5		
1"	Displacement	5.0		
1 1/2" 2"	Displacement or Turbine Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	 1	
3"	Displacement Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0	·	
3"	Turbine	17.5		
<u>3</u> 4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0	-	
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	109

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	7,256 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		57	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HOLIDAY HAVEN / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBE OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	118	118
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	1	110
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		<u> </u>
1"	Displacement	2.5	1	3
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		<u></u>
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0	 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		<u> </u>
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12"	Turbine	215.0		
10" 10"	Compound Turbine	115.0 145.0	ter Equivalents	

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC). Use one of the following methods:

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	4,711 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		37	ERC's	

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY: IMPERIAL MOBILE TERRACE / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	ıl	1.0	246	246
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	246

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family (a) residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- If no historical flow data are available, use: (b) ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	7,322 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
	ent autorite de la constitución	57	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

KINGS COVE / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residenti	al	1.0	205	205
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3"	Displacement	15.0		·············
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
			ter Equivalents	

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	28,127 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		220	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

MORNINGVIEW / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	31	1.0	34	34
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	34

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC= 	2,386 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		19	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALMS MOBILE HOME PARK / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	60	60
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5	-	-
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		<u> </u>
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5	······	
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		•
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System Me	ter Equivalents	60

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:		·		
	ERC=	1,216 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		10	ERC's	

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PICCIOLA ISLAND / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia		1.0	145	145
5/8"	Displacement Displacement	1.0		- ····································
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	14.

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	11,452 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		90	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME/COUNTY:

PINEY WOODS / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBEI OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
	1.0	173	173
Displacement		173	
Displacement	1.5		
Displacement	2.5		
Displacement or Turbine	4 1		
Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
Displacement	15.0		
Compound	16.0		
Turbine	17.5		
Displacement or Compound	25.0		
Turbine			••••
Displacement or Compound	50.0		
Turbine	62.5		
Compound	80.0		
Turbine	90.0		
Compound	115.0		
Turbine	145.0		
Turbine	215.0		
	Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine Displacement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound	TYPE OF METER	TYPE OF METER (b)

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC). Use one of the following methods:

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	14,701 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		115	ERC's

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

QUAIL RIDGE / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	96	96
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5	-	
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	96

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC). Use one of the following methods:

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SER politons cold (Omit 200) / 365 days / 35

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	5,380	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by	ļ
		365	days, divided by	
	·	350	gallons per day	ļ
		42	ERC's	
				 ·

UT	H.	ITV	NΛ	ME:

EAK	OF	REP	ORT
Dece	mhe	er 31	2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

RAVENSWOOD / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
<u>I</u>	1.0	45	45
Displacement			
Displacement			
Displacement			
Displacement or Turbine			
Displacement, Compound or Turbine			
Displacement			
Compound			 -
Turbine			
Displacement or Compound			
Turbine			
Displacement or Compound		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Turbine			
Compound			
Turbine			
Compound			
Turbine	145.0		
Turbine			
	Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine Displacement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound	TYPE OF METER (b)	Type Of Meter

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC). Use one of the following methods:

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	3,799 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		30	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SILVER LAKE-WESTERN SHORES / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	1,603	1,603
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	2	7
3/4"	Displacement	1.5	<u></u>	
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	1	5
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	2	16
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0 Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	1,6

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	279,033 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		2,184	ERC's

UTILITY NAI	MI	
-------------	----	--

YEAR	OF	REP	ORT
Dece	mbe	er 31,	2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SKYCREST / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	OF METERS (d)	EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
	1.0	118	118
Displacement	1 1	110	110
Displacement	1.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Displacement	2.5		
Displacement or Turbine	5.0	1	5
Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
Displacement	15.0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Compound	16.0		
Turbine	17.5		
Displacement or Compound	25.0		······································
Turbine	30.0		
Displacement or Compound	50.0		
Turbine	62.5		
Compound	80.0		
Turbine	90.0		
Compound	115.0		
Turbine	145.0		
Turbine	215.0		
	Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine Displacement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound	1.0 1.0 Displacement 1.0 Displacement 1.5 Displacement 2.5 Displacement 5.0 Displacement 15.0 Displacement 15.0 Compound 16.0 Turbine 17.5 Displacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 Displacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 7 15.0 Compound 15.0 Turbine 145.0 Turbine 145.0 Turbine 145.0 Turbine 145.0	1.0

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	6,911 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		54	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

STONE MOUNTAIN / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	TYPE OF METER (b)	METER SIZE (a)
10	10	1.0		All Residential
		1.0	Displacement	5/8"
		1.5	Displacement	3/4"
		2.5	Displacement	1"
		5.0	Displacement or Turbine	1 1/2"
		8.0	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	2"
		15.0	Displacement	3"
		16.0	Compound	3"
		17.5	Turbine	3"
		25.0	Displacement or Compound	4"
		30.0	Turbine	4"
		50.0	Displacement or Compound	6"
		62.5	Turbine	6"
		80.0	Compound	8"
		90.0	Turbine	8"
		115.0	Compound	10"
		145.0	Turbine	10"
		215.0	Turbine	12"
	leter Equivalents	80.0 90.0 115.0 145.0	Compound Turbine Compound Turbine	8" 8" 10"

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	536 365 350 4	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day ERC's	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SUMMIT CHASE / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBEI OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al .	1.0	213	213
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	213	213
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		·
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0	<u></u>	
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
	Turonic	Total Water System Me	ter Equivalents	215

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	7,313 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		57	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VALENCIA TERRACE / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

	l l	(d)	(e)
1	1.0	334	334
Displacement	1.0	6	- 6
Displacement	1.5		
		7	18
		3	15
		1	8
	I		
	16.0		
	17.5		
	25.0		
	50.0		
Turbine	62.5		
Compound	80.0		
Turbine	90.0		
Compound	115.0		
Turbine	145.0		
Turbine	215.0		
	Displacement Displacement or Turbine placement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine	Displacement 2.5 Displacement or Turbine 5.0 placement, Compound or Turbine 8.0 Displacement 15.0 Compound 16.0 Turbine 17.5 Displacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 Displacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 90.0 Compound 115.0 Turbine 145.0 Turbine 215.0	Displacement 2.5 7 Displacement or Turbine 5.0 3 Displacement, Compound or Turbine 8.0 1 Displacement 15.0 Compound 16.0 Turbine 17.5 Displacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 Displacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 90.0 Compound 115.0 Turbine 145.0

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:
 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC≃	21,632 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		169	ERC's	

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VENETIAN VILLAGE / LAKE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	160	160
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	1	100
3/4"	Displacement	1.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1"	Displacement	2.5		<u></u>
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		·
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		-
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		·
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0	 	
12"	Turbine	215.0		

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC). Use one of the following methods:

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	21,632	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by	
		365	days, divided by	
		350	gallons per day	
		169	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	1,802	1,802
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	0	
3/4"	Displacement	1.5	0	
1"	Displacement	2.5	0	
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	0	
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	1	8
3"	Displacement	15.0	0	
3"	Compound	16.0	0	
3"	Turbine	17.5	0	
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0	0	
4"	Turbine	30.0	0	
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0	0	
6"	Turbine	62.5	0	
8"	Compound	80.0	0	
8"	Turbine	90.0	0	
10"	Compound	115.0	0	
10"	Turbine	145.0	0	
12"	Turbine	215.0	0	<u> </u>
12	i uroine	Total Water System M		1,81

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC). Use one of the following methods:

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

			•		
Γ	ERC Calculation:				
		ERC=	165,436	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by	
l			365	days, divided by	
1			350	gallons per day	

Ì			1,295	ERC's	
ļ					

U.	П	LI	T	Y	N.	A	М	F.:

í	LAK	OF	KŁ	PO	Κľ
	Dece	mbe	er 31	1. 2	008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

TANGERINE / ORANGE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	ıŧ	1.0	262	262
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	10	10
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
Ι"	Displacement	2.5	 1	3
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	*	
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	•	
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4"	Turbine	30.0	·	
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		-
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		<u> </u>
12"	Turbine	215.0		

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	32,097 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		251	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE OSBORNE ESTATES / PALM BEACH

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
A 11 D 1145		1.0	464	464
All Residentia	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	1	
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	leter Equivalents	47

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC≃ 	43,318 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		339	ERC's
			·

UTILITY NAM	н	٠
-------------	---	---

Y	EAR	OF	REF	ORT
	Dece	mbe	er 31	2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JASMINE LAKES / PASCO

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	1	1.0	1,505	1,505
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	1,505	1,303
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5	2	
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	3	15
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	2	16
3"	Displacement	15.0	<u></u>	15
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ERC=	100,070 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		783	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALM TERRACE / PASCO

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	.1	1.0	1,151	1,151
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	3	3
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	1	5
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3 "	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	leter Equivalents	1,159

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC). Use one of the following methods:

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	62,880 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		492	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT
December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ZEPHYR SHORES / PASCO

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	500	500
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	2	2
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5	1	3
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	<u> </u>	5
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		16
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		·
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		·
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System Me	ter Equivalents	526

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			•	
	ERC=	8,567 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		67	ERC's	

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

BREEZE HILL / POLK

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	124	124
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	124

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	5,646 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		44	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

GIBSONIA ESTATES / POLK

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	168	168
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	24	24
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5	4	10
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System Me	eter Equivalents	202

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:
 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	18,276	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by
Í		365	days, divided by
		350	gallons per day
		143	ERC's

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE GIBSON ESTATES / POLK

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	OF METERS (d)	EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
	10	817	817
Displacement		7	7
		3	8
	· ı	1	- 5
	8.0	1	8
Displacement	15.0		
Compound	16.0		
Turbine	17.5		
Displacement or Compound	25.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Turbine	30.0		
Displacement or Compound	50.0		
Turbine	62.5		
Compound	80.0		
Turbine	90.0		
Compound	115.0		
Turbine	145.0		
Turbine	215.0		
	Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine	Displacement 1.5 Displacement or Turbine 5.0 Displacement, Compound or Turbine 8.0 Displacement 15.0 Compound 16.0 Turbine 17.5 Displacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 Displacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 90.0 Compound 115.0 Turbine 145.0	Displacement 1.0 7 Displacement 1.5 Displacement 2.5 3 Displacement or Turbine 5.0 1 Displacement, Compound or Turbine 8.0 1 Displacement 15.0 Compound 16.0 Turbine 17.5 Displacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 Displacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 90.0 Compound 115.0 Turbine 145.0

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:		 		
	ERC=	68,200 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		534	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ORANGE HILL-SUGAR CREEK / POLK

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	238	238
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5	······································	
1"	Displacement	2.5		
I 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5	·	
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8"	Turbine	90.0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0	 	
12"	Turbine	215.0		-
12"	Turbine		ter Equivalents	238

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	18,579 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		145	ERC's	

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ROSALIE OAKS / POLK

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	94	94
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System Mo	eter Equivalents	94

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			· ·
	ERC=	2,187 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		17	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VILLAGE WATER / POLK

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (2)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	.1	1.0	140	140
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	26	26
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5	2	5
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	4	20
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	3	24
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0	1	25
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0	1	80
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	320

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:	·		
	ERC=	26,750 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		209	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

BEECHER'S POINT / PUTNAM

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	43	43
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	,3	
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0	1	25
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5	·	
8"	Compound	80.0		
. 8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
12	lurbine	Total Water System Me	ter Equivalents	68

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	2,381 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		19	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY: HER

HERMITS COVE / PUTNAM

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine acement, Compound or Turbine Displacement	1.0 1.0 1.5 2.5 5.0 8.0 15.0	177 1	177
Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine acement, Compound or Turbine	1.0 1.5 2.5 5.0 8.0	1	
Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine acement, Compound or Turbine	1.5 2.5 5.0 8.0		
Displacement Displacement or Turbine acement, Compound or Turbine	2.5 5.0 8.0		
Displacement or Turbine acement, Compound or Turbine	5.0 8.0	***************************************	
acement, Compound or Turbine			
	15.0		
	13.0		
Compound	16.0		
Turbine	17.5		
Displacement or Compound	25.0		
Turbine	30.0		
Displacement or Compound	50.0		
Turbine	62.5		
Compound	80.0		
Turbine	90.0		
Compound	115.0		
Turbine	145.0		
Turbine	215.0		
	isplacement or Compound Turbine isplacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Turbine Compound	isplacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 isplacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 90.0 Compound 115.0 Turbine 145.0 Turbine 215.0	isplacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 isplacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 90.0 Compound 115.0 Turbine 145.0

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:	, <u>, , ,</u>		
	ERC=	7,865 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		62	ERC's
			Please see Note (1) on page W-11

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

INTERLACHEN LAKE-PARK MANOR / PUTNAM

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1.0	271	271
Displacement	1 ————————————————————————————————————	***	271
Displacement	1		4
Displacement	1	1	3
Displacement or Turbine			
Displacement, Compound or Turbine			
Displacement			
Compound	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Turbine			
Displacement or Compound			
Turbine			. <u></u>
Displacement or Compound			
Turbine			
Compound			
Turbine	90.0		
Compound			
Turbine			
Turbine			<u></u>
	Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine Displacement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine	TYPE OF METER	TYPE OF METER (b)

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	10,962 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	~ 1
	-	86	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALM PORT / PUTNAM

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
	•	1.0	107	107
All Residentia		1.0		
5/8" 3/4"	Displacement Displacement	1.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	 	
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5	····	
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0	·····	
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		•
10"	Turbine	145.0		<u> </u>
12"	Turbine	215.0		J
12"	Turbine	Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	1

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

	ERC Calculation:			
		ERC=	4,213	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by
			365	days, divided by
ŀ			350	gallons per day
ŀ			33	ERC's
		 		
ŀ				

U	T	\mathbf{IL}	ľ	T	Υ	N	A	М	E :

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

POMONA PARK / PUTNAM

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

Displacement Displacement	1.0	(d)	
] 1.0		(e)
	1.0	145	145
LACIDIAL CIDENT	1.0	14	14
Displacement	1.5		
Displacement or Turbine	2.5	1	3
splacement, Compound or Turbine			
Displacement		1	8
Turbine			
Displacement or Compound			
Turbine			
Displacement or Compound			
Turbine			
Compound			
Turbine			
Compound			
Turbine			
Turbine			
	splacement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine	Splacement, Compound or Turbine 8.0	Splacement, Compound or Turbine 8.0 1

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC). Use one of the following methods:

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			ganons per day)	
	ERC=	8,607 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
	- 1 - 1 - 1	67	ERC's	

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

RIVER GROVE / PUTNAM

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
		1.0	107	107
All Residentia	nl	1.0		
5/8"	Displacement	1.5		
3/4"	Displacement	2.5		
1"	Displacement			
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	1		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System I	Meter Equivalents	10

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:
 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	ERC=	5,874 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		46	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SILVER LAKE OAKS / PUTNAM

December 31, 2008

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

1.0 1.0 1.5 2.5 e 5.0 Γurbine 8.0 15.0	40	40
1.0 1.5 2.5 e 5.0 Γurbine 8.0		
2.5 e 5.0 Γurbine 8.0		
e 5.0 Furbine 8.0		
Turbine 8.0		
15.0		
16.0		
17.5		
ınd 25.0		
30.0		
and 50.0		
62.5		
80.0		
90.0		
115.0		
145.0		
215.0		
	17.5 nd 25.0 30.0 nd 50.0 62.5 80.0 90.0 115.0 145.0 215.0	17.5 nd 25.0 30.0 nd 50.0 62.5 80.0 90.0 115.0 145.0

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family (a) residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	1,499	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by
		365	days, divided by
		350	gallons per day
	<u></u>	12	ERC's

YEAR OF REPORT

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ST. JOHN'S HIGHLANDS / PUTNAM

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

TYPE OF METER (b)	FACTOR (c)	OF METERS (d)	EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
	1.0	97	97
Displacement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 7/
Displacement	f		
Displacement			·
Displacement or Turbine	4 i		
Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		*****
Displacement	15.0		
Compound	16.0		
Turbine	17.5		
Displacement or Compound	25.0		
Turbine	30.0		
Displacement or Compound	50.0		
Turbine	62.5		
Compound	80.0		
Turbine	90.0		
Compound	115.0		
Turbine	145.0		
Turbine	215.0		
	Displacement Displacement Displacement or Turbine Displacement, Compound or Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine	Displacement 1.5 Displacement 2.5 Displacement or Turbine 5.0 Displacement, Compound or Turbine 8.0 Displacement 15.0 Compound 16.0 Turbine 17.5 Displacement or Compound 25.0 Turbine 30.0 Displacement or Compound 50.0 Turbine 62.5 Compound 80.0 Turbine 90.0 Compound 115.0 Turbine 145.0	1.0 97

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	0 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
	<u> </u>	0	ERC's
			Please see Note (1) on page W-11

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

WELAKA-SARATOGA HARBOUR / PUTNAM

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
		1.0	149	149
All Residentia		1.0	2	
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5	·	
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		***
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	feter Equivalents	15

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:	•		
	ERC=	5,216 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		41	ERC's

UTILITY NAME	t	TI	L	TY	N.	١M	Ю:
--------------	---	----	---	----	----	----	----

ľ	EAR	OF	KE	PO	RT
	Dace	mbe	ar 7	1 2	ഹം

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

WOOTENS/PUTNAM

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0	28	28
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		·
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		-
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	··
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

Provide a calculation used to determine the value of one water equivalent residential connection (ERC). Use one of the following methods:

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC≔	887 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		7	ERC's

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

CHULUOTA / SEMINOLE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

Displacement Displacement	1.0	1,450	1
			1,450
		8	
	1.5		
	2.5	$ \overline{6}$	1:
Displacement Displacement	5.0		10
Displacement or Turbine		4	3:
		1	1
			
	! 		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	, 1		
	145.0		
	Displacement of Turbine Displacement Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Displacement or Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Compound Turbine Turbine Turbine Turbine Turbine	Society	Section Sect

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	167,627 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		1,312	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HARMONY HOMES / SEMINOLE

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBET OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	al	1.0		
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	62	62
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
l"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		<u> </u>
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:		## F**	
	ERC=	4,153 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
		33	ERC's

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JUMPER CREEK / SUMTER

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
		1.0	46	46
All Residentia		1.0	·	
5/8"	Displacement	1.5		-
3/4"	Displacement	2.5		
1"	Displacement Displacement	5.0		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	8.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	15.0		
3"	Displacement	16.0		
3"	Compound			
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		<u> </u>
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		<u> </u>
12"	Turbine	215.0		
	Turone	Total Water System M	eter Equivalents	4

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
 - (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	2,698 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		21	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

THE WOODS / SUMTER

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	d	1.0	73	71
5/8"	Displacement	1.0		73
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5		····
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0	***	
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:			
	ERC=	3,247 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day
	-	25	ERC's

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JUNGLE DEN / VOLUSIA

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	-1	1.0	110	110
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	3	3
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0		
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		
		Total Water System M	leter Equivalents	113

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	1,695 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		13	ERC's	

YEAR OF REPORT

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

TOMOKA-TWIN RIVERS / VOLUSIA

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
All Residentia	ıl .	1.0	262	
5/8"	Displacement	1.0	263	263
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		2
1"	Displacement	2.5		
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0		
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	<u></u>	8
3"	Displacement	15.0	······································	
3"	Compound	16.0	**	
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use: ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	23,306 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		182	ERC's	

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SUNNY HILLS / WASHINGTON

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM METER EQUIVALENTS

METER SIZE (a)	TYPE OF METER (b)	EQUIVALENT FACTOR (c)	NUMBER OF METERS (d)	TOTAL NUMBER OF METER EQUIVALENTS (c x d) (e)
4 11 D: 3 4:	. 1	1.0	571	571
All Residentia	Displacement	1.0	4	4
3/4"	Displacement	1.5		
1"	Displacement	2.5	6	15
1 1/2"	Displacement or Turbine	5.0	2	10
2"	Displacement, Compound or Turbine	8.0	3	24
3"	Displacement	15.0		
3"	Compound	16.0		
3"	Turbine	17.5		
4"	Displacement or Compound	25.0		
4"	Turbine	30.0		
6"	Displacement or Compound	50.0		
6"	Turbine	62.5		
8"	Compound	80.0		
8"	Turbine	90.0		
10"	Compound	115.0		
10"	Turbine	145.0		
12"	Turbine	215.0		<u> </u>
8" 10" 10"	Turbine Compound Turbine	90.0 115.0 145.0	eter Equivalents	

CALCULATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM EQUIVALENT RESIDENTIAL CONNECTIONS

- (a) If actual flow data are available from the preceding 12 months, divide the total annual single family residence (SFR) gallons sold by the average number of single family residence customers for the same period and divide the result by 365 days.
- (b) If no historical flow data are available, use:

 ERC = (Total SFR gallons sold (Omit 000) / 365 days / 350 gallons per day)

ERC Calculation:				
	ERC=	32,328 365 350	gallons sold (omit 000), divided by days, divided by gallons per day	
		253	ERC's	

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ARREDONDO ESTATES / ALACHUA

1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve	Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should be supplied where necessary.
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines	the system can efficiently serve. 212
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout	per of ERCs * which can be served
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *	connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines 253
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	on capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities. 8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements of this system: None 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? None 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? N/A c. When will construction begin? N/A d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? N/A 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID # 2010041	I increase in ERCs * None
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements of this system: None 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? None 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? N/A c. When will construction begin? N/A d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? N/A 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID # 2010041	w much capacity is required? No
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? None 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? N/A c. When will construction begin? N/A d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? N/A 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID # 2010041	tion of the fire fighting facilities. N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? None 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? N/A c. When will construction begin? N/A d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? N/A 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID # 2010041	None
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	mpany last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? None
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	stem does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:
c. When will construction begin?	a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? N/A 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	hese plans been approved by DEP? N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? N/A 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	will construction begin? N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID # 2010041	plans for funding the required upgrading.
	system under any Consent Order with DEP? N/A
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit # 11364	nvironmental Protection ID # 2010041
	ent District Consumptive Use Permit # 11364
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP? Yes	system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP? Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance? N/A	•

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ARREDONDO FARMS / ALACHUA

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should be	be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve	371
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	398
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	398
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	398
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	
If so, how much capacity is required?	19/74
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	3 .
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	2010042
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	11364
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

KINGSWOOD / BREVARD

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	61
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	XI.
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	_ None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
). If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	i.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	. N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
	No 3054101
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	3054101
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3054101 Unknown

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OAKWOOD / BREVARD

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	208
Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	238
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	238
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	238
Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No N/A
. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improven	None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3054100
Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	Unknown
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE SUZY / CHARLOTTE AND DESOTO

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page shown	uld be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	792
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	806
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	806
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	806
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required? 1,000 -	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impro	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rul	les.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	6144856
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	6144856 N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE JOSEPHINE / HIGHLANDS

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	571
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	592
Maximum number of ERCs * Which can be served.	37.
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	592
. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	592
Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
s. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
3. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements	nts of this system: None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	N/A
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	6280162
	204167.003
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit # a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LEISURE LAKES / HIGHLANDS

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page sho	ould be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	285
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	N
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impro	ovements of this system: None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru	les.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	6280064
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SEBRING LAKES / HIGHLANDS

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	79
Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	92
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	92
·	92
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements	s of this system: None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? On the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	N/A N/A N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
	5284137
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	
Department of Environmental Protection ID # Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	Unknown
•	Unknown Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

48 ESTATES / LAKE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	d be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve	87
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	
	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	i.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	. N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	. N/A
	. No
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	No 3350005
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	No 3350005 N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

CARLTON VILLAGE / LAKE

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	255
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	264
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	264
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	264
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improven	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3350152
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2605
	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

EAST LAKE HARRIS ESTATES / LAKE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page sho	uld be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	175
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	
11 56, now mach capacity is required?	N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impro	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	N/A
 When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule 	N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rul b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rul b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	N/A les. N/A N/A
 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rul b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. 	N/A les. N/A N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rul b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 3350322
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rul b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 3350322 2607

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

FAIRWAYS @ MT. PLYMOUTH / LAKE

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	238
Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	241
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	241
. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	241
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No N/A
. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvem	ents of this system: None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
). If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
	N/A
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	N/A
	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	N/A
c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A 3354945

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

FERN TERRACE / LAKE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page sho	ould be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	131
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	
If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impro	ovements of this system: None
	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: 11. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 1. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: 2. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. 3. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A les. N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru	N/A les. N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 1. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: 1. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. 1. Have these plans been approved by DEP? 2. When will construction begin?	N/A les N/A N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A les N/A N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: 11. a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. 12. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? 13. c. When will construction begin? 14. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. 15. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 16. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	N/A les N/A N/A N/A 3350370
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A les. N/A N/A N/A N/A 3350370 2611

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

FRIENDLY CENTER / LAKE

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve	30
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	31
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	31
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	31
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	ments of this system: None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3350426
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	N/A
I de la	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

GRAND TERRACE / LAKE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should be	be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve	111
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	111
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	111
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	111
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvem	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3354697
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2488
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HAINES CREEK / LAKE

	107
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	107
Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	111
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	111
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	111
Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvement	s of this system: None
. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? D. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	*
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3350481
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	N/A
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HOBBY HILLS / LAKE

1 Propert CDC - tall	
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	_ 113
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	113
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	113
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No
	N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	_ None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	3.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	. N/A
	N/A 3350544
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3350544
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	3350544 2613

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HOLIDAY HAVEN / LAKE

resent ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	122
faximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	128
resent system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	128
uture connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	128
stimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
s the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvem	ents of this system: None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3354886
Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2612
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

IMPERIAL MOBILE TERRACE / LAKE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should b	e supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	246
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	248
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	248
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	248
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements	None
	N/A
	N/A
	N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? 	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	N/A
 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. 	N/A N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A N/A No
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A N/A No 3350584

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

KINGS COVE / LAKE

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	205
Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	209
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	209
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	209
Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	Yes 500 GPM
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3350655
Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

MORNINGVIEW / LAKE

1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	34
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	40
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	40
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	40
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	_ No _ N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improv	ements of this system: None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	s.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	_ N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3350852
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2610
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALMS MOBILE HOME PARK / LAKE

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	60
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	63
. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	63
. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	63
Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	N 1
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	5.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3350981
Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	_ Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PICCIOLA ISLAND / LAKE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page sho	ould be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	145
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	Nt-
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impr	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
to describe the second of the	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru	ıles.
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rub.b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rub. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? 	N/A
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rub. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. 	N/A N/A
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rub. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID # 	N/A N/A N/A 3351009
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rub. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 	N/A N/A N/A N/A 3351009 2609

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PINEY WOODS / LAKE

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	174
Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	180
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	180
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	180
Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No N/A
If so, how much capacity is required?	
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improven	None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3351021
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2604
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

QUAIL RIDGE / LAKE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page shou	ld be supplied where necessary.	
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	96	
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	97	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	97	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.		
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.		
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	Van	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants	
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:		
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule	es.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	_ N/A	
c. When will construction begin?		
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.		
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A	
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3354867	
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #		
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?		
	<u> </u>	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

RAVENSWOOD / LAKE

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	45
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	46
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	46
. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	46
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No N/A
. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improver	ments of this system: None
. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
). If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3351062
	Unknown
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit # a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SILVER LAKE-WESTERN SHORES / LAKE

December 31, 2008

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	be supplied where nece	essary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	1,626	
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	1,642	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	1,642	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	1,642	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	Yes 500 GPM	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants	
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improven	None	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:		
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.		
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A	
c. When will construction begin?	N/A	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.		
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A	
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	SLE - 3351182	WS - 3351464
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2644	
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes	
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SKYCREST / LAKE

. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	124
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	127
. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	127
. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	127
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
3. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
). If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	s.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	_ N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
	N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
	_ 3351205
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	_
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID # 2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit # a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	_ 2614

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

STONE MOUNTAIN / LAKE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve	10
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	10
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	10
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	10
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improven	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3351282
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2606
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SUMMIT CHASE / LAKE

. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	215
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	221
. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	221
. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	221
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	Yes 500 GPM
. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
3. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements	None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	N/A
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3354112
	4555
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit # a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VALENCIA TERRACE / LAKE

1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	381
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	388
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	388
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	388
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	Yes 500 GPM
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	·
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3351421
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2632
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VENETIAN VILLAGE / LAKE

1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	161
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	171
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	171
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	171
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improver	NI
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	N.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3351426
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2608
	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	_ 103

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	1,810
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	1,846
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	1,846
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	1,846
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *. DATA BY SUB SYSTEM	1 ONLY FOR BALANCE OF THIS PAGE
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	,
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	
c. When will construction begin?	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	<u>i</u>

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should be supplied where necessary.	
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AN	VAILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impro-	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru	les.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424042
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	Unknown
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AVA	ILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No
If so, how much capacity is required?	_ N/A
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
B. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	-
	_ None
. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	_ N/A
. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	s.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
	No
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	_
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424036
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424036 Unknown

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AVA	ILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	N.
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improver	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424029
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	Unknown
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AVA	ILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
5. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424030
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	4582
	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AVA	ILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No No
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	3.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424001
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	Unknown
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page shou	ld be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AV	AILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impro-	vements of this system: None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rul	es.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424646
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	Unknown
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AVA	ILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	N.T.
. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	. N/A
. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	3.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3421560
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	3043
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	d be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AV	AILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	•
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A None
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improv	
or bearing and estimated completion dates for any chargements of improv	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	-
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule	÷s.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424839
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	Unknown
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	_ Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AVA	AILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No
If so, how much capacity is required?	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improv	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule	es.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	6424591
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	Unknown
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	d be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AVA	AILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	ements of this system: None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	s,
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424631
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	_ 3060
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	_ Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AVA	ILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
3. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
D. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424685
Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	3095
To the southern in second in second in the second s	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

OCALA OAKS / MARION

1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve ERC DATA NOT AN	VAILABLE BY SUB SYSTEM
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	None
3. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impro	wements of this system.
The supplemental and the supplemental of impro	None
. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
). If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule	les.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3424000
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	Unknown
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

TANGERINE / ORANGE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	275
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	297
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	297
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	297
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	Yes 500 GPM
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule	s.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	_ N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	•
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3481329
	_ 3101327
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	_
	51073

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE OSBORNE ESTATES / PALM BEACH

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served	472
The state of the s	477
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	477
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	477
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	4500768
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	N/A
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JASMINE LAKES / PASCO

	be supplied where necessary.
. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	1,572
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	1,612
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	1,612
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	1,612
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	Built out
5. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required? 500 to 1.	Yes 000 GPM x 2 hours
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	**
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
O. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP-rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule	s.
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	N/A N/A
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 	N/A N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	N/A N/A No 6512070
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A N/A No 6512070 20000279.01

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALM TERRACE / PASCO

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	d be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	1,159
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	1,200
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	_ 1,200
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	_ 1,200
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	_ None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required? 500 to 1	·
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	_ None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	5.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	6511331
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	20003759.003
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ZEPHYR SHORES / PASCO

. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	526
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	547
. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	547
. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	547
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required? 500 to	Yes 1,000 GPM x 2 hours
. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
3. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impro	None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru	les.
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rub. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	N/A N/A
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 	N/A N/A N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rub. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	N/A N/A N/A 6512018
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP ru b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A N/A N/A N/A 6512018 2011082.001

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

BREEZE HILL / POLK

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	d be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	124
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	_ 129
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	_ 129
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	129
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	Unknown
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	_ N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	_ N/A s.
 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules 	N/A sN/A
 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? 	N/A sN/A
 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? 	N/A s N/A N/A
 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. 	N/A s N/A N/A
 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID # 	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 3532355
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Unknown

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

GIBSONIA ESTATES / POLK

. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	202
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	205
. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	205
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	205
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
B. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	4.1
. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	6530079
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	209336.01
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

LAKE GIBSON ESTATES / POLK

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page shou	ld be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve	845
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	864
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rule	?S.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	_ N/A
c. When will construction begin?	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	_ 6532347
	207878.02
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit # a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ORANGE HILL-SUGAR CREEK / POLK

. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	238
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	246
. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	246
. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	246
. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	
Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	6531305
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	2076502
	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ROSALIE OAKS / POLK

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	d be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	94
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	_ 98
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	_ 98
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	_ None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	N/A N/A
 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? 	N/A N/A
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	N/A N/A
 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. 	N/A N/A N/A
 9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 	N/A N/A N/A No 3531546
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A N/A N/A No 3531546 Unknown

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

VILLAGE WATER / POLK

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	320
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	335
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	335
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	335
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	Yes 500 GPM
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impro-	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
 Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rul Additional efflueent disposial capacity. 	les.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	No
c. When will construction begin?	Within 2 years.
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	(1)
(1) A Consent Order is being negotiated at this time.	6532779
1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	
	N/A
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	·

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

BEECHER'S POINT / PUTNAM

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should be	e supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	68
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	91
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	91
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	91
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	2540070
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	N/A
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HERMITS COVE / PUTNAM

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	178
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	185
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	185
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	185
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improven	nents of this system: None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	2540482
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	8357
	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	1 03

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

INTERLACHEN LAKE-PARK MANOR / PUTNAM

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page shoul	ld be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	278
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	297
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	297
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	_ None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	s.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	_ N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	_ No
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	2540545
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	7986
- I. d	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	_ 165

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

PALM PORT / PUTNAM

. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	107
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	111
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	111
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	111
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
5. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improver	nents of this system: None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
	2540865
1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	
Department of Environmental Protection ID # Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	8127
	8127 Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

POMONA PARK / PUTNAM

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should l	be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve	170
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	191
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	191
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	191
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improven	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	2540905
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	N/A
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

RIVER GROVE / PUTNAM

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	be supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	107
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	108
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	108
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	108
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	s.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
	=
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	-
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	N/A 2540959
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A 2540959 N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SILVER LAKE OAKS / PUTNAM

December 31, 2008

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should be	e supplied where necessary.
Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	40
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	46
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	46
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	46
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvem	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	2544258
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	N/A
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

ST. JOHN'S HIGHLANDS / PUTNAM

OTHER WATER SYSTEM INFORMATION

	ould be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	97
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	100
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	100
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or imp	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? 10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP	rules.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	
c. When will construction begin?	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	

* An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

WELAKA-SARATOGA HARBOUR / PUTNAM

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should be	supplied where neces	ssary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	151	
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	159	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	159	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	159	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A	
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements	None	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:		
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.		
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A	
c. When will construction begin?	N/A	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.		
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A	
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	W - 2541242	SH - 2541008
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	N/A	
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes	
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

YEAR OF REPORT December 31, 2008

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

WOOTENS / PUTNAM

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	28
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	. 29
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	29
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	29
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	,
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rulesb. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	
·	N/A
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	N/A
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	N/A N/A
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A N/A No 2541280
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	N/A N/A No 2541280 N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

CHULUOTA / SEMINOLE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should be	supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	1,530
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	1,570
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	1,570
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	1,570
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	Yes 750 GPM
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improvements	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. Unknown - An engineering study on a Ion Exchange System is in progress. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? 	No
 c. When will construction begin? Anticipated to start in approximately 9 months pending approval by the DEF) <u> </u>
 d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. To be provided by Respondent's Parent Company. \$800,000 is budgeted for e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 	
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3590186
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	8362
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes
b. If not, what are the utility's plans to gain compliance?	N/A

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

HARMONY HOMES / SEMINOLE

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	d be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	62
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	65
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow canacity?	NI-
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	_ None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	s.
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	_ N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	_ N/A
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	_ N/A
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 	N/A
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 11. Department of Environmental Protection ID # 	N/A N/A N/A N/A 3590497
 a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 	N/A N/A N/A N/A 3590497 8357

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JUMPER CREEK / SUMTER

1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	46
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	47
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	47
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	47
Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
3. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	6600347
	Unknown
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit # a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

THE WOODS / SUMTER

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page should	d be supplied where necessary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	73
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	81
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	81
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	N.
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	_ N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	s.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	_ N/A
c. When will construction begin?	
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	No
11. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	6600347
12. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	Yes

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

JUNGLE DEN / VOLUSIA

Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	113
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	115
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	115
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	115
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	No N/A
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improver	None
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
10. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
Department of Environmental Protection ID #	3644127
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	N/A
	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

TOMOKA-TWIN RIVERS / VOLUSIA

Furnish information below for each system. A separate page sho	ould be supplied where nec	essary.
1. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	273	
2. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	279	
3. Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.		
4. Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.		
5. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.		
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity?		
If so, how much capacity is required?	N/A	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	N/A	
8. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or impr	None	
9. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?		
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?		
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A	
O. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? O. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	N/A	
D. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? O. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A	
O. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? O. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules.	N/A	
D. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? O. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin?	N/A ules. N/A N/A	
O. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? O. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A N/A N/A N/A	
D. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? O. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP? 1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	N/A N/A N/A N/A TV - 3641373	TR - 3641399
O. When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP? O. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules: a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules. b. Have these plans been approved by DEP? c. When will construction begin? d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading. e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A N/A N/A N/A TV - 3641373 N/A	

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.

UTILITY NAME:

AQUA UTILITES FLORIDA, INC.

SYSTEM NAME / COUNTY:

SUNNY HILLS / WASHINGTON

. Present ERCs * the system can efficiently serve.	624
. Maximum number of ERCs * which can be served.	658
Present system connection capacity (in ERCs *) using existing lines.	658
Future connection capacity (in ERCs *) upon service area buildout.	658
6. Estimated annual increase in ERCs *.	None
6. Is the utility required to have fire flow capacity? If so, how much capacity is required?	
7. Attach a description of the fire fighting facilities.	Hydrants
3. Describe any plans and estimated completion dates for any enlargements or improve	None
When did the company last file a capacity analysis report with the DEP?	N/A
0. If the present system does not meet the requirements of DEP rules:	
a. Attach a description of the plant upgrade necessary to meet the DEP rules	i.
b. Have these plans been approved by DEP?	N/A
c. When will construction begin?	N/A
d. Attach plans for funding the required upgrading.	
e. Is this system under any Consent Order with DEP?	N/A
1. Department of Environmental Protection ID #	1670647
2. Water Management District Consumptive Use Permit #	19842730
	Yes
a. Is the system in compliance with the requirements of the CUP?	-

^{*} An ERC is determined based on the calculation on the bottom of Page W-13.