FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION SPECIAL COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA

CONFERENCE DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, May 21, 2024, following Hurricane Preparedness Workshop.

LOCATION: Betty Easley Conference Center, Joseph P. Cresse Hearing Room 148

DATE ISSUED: May 13, 2024

NOTICE

Conference agendas, staff recommendations, and vote sheets are available from the PSC website, https://www.floridapsc.com/, by selecting *Conferences & Meeting Agendas* and *Commission Conferences of the FPSC*. Once filed, a verbatim transcript of the Commission Conference will be available from this page by selecting the conference date, or by selecting *Clerk's Office* and the Item's docket number (you can then advance to the *Docket Details* page and the Document Filings Index for that particular docket). If you have any questions, contact the Office of Commission Clerk at (850) 413-6770 or Clerk@psc.state.fl.us.

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing a special accommodation to participate at this proceeding should contact the Office of Commission Clerk no later than five days prior to the conference at 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, 1-800-955-8770 (Voice) or 1-800-955-8771 (TDD), Florida Relay Service. Assistive Listening Devices are available at the Office of Commission Clerk, Gerald L. Gunter Building, Room 152.

The Commission Conference has a live video broadcast the day of the conference, which is available from the PSC website. Upon completion of the conference, the archived video will be available from the website by selecting *Conferences & Meeting Agendas*, then *Audio and Video Event Coverage*.

1

ITEM NO. CASE

Docket No. 20240025-EI – Petition for rate increase by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners

Prehearing Officer: Passidomo

Staff: ECO: Draper

AFD: Maurey ENG: Ballinger

GCL: J. Crawford, Stiller, Thompson

(Motion for Reconsideration - Oral Argument Requested; Participation is at the Discretion of the Commission)

<u>Issue 1:</u> Should OPC's Request for Oral Argument on its Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-2024-0092-PCO-EI be granted?

Recommendation: No. Staff believes that the pleadings are sufficient on their face for the Commission to evaluate and rule on the Motion. However, if the Commission wants to exercise its discretion to hear oral argument, staff recommends that 5 minutes per side is sufficient.

<u>Issue 2:</u> Should Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-2024-0092-PCO-EI or a continuance of this proceeding be granted?

Recommendation: No. Reconsideration should be denied because the request for reconsideration fails to raise a point of fact or law that the Prehearing Officer overlooked or failed to consider in rendering their decision. A continuance should not be granted as OPC has failed to provide adequate grounds for doing so, in contravention of the statutory time frames established by Section 366.06(3), F.S.

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open pending the Commission's final resolution of DEF's requested permanent base rate increase.

ITEM NO. CASE

2 **Docket No. 20240026-EI** – Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: All Commissioners

Prehearing Officer: Clark

Staff: ECO: Draper

AFD: Maurey ENG: Ballinger

GCL: Harper, Sparks, Marquez

(Motion for Reconsideration - Oral Argument Requested; Participation is at the Discretion of the Commission)

<u>Issue 1:</u> Should OPC's Request for Oral Argument on its Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-2024-0096-PCO-EI be granted?

Recommendation: No. Staff believes that the pleadings are sufficient on their face for the Commission to evaluate and rule on the Motion. However, if the Commission wants to exercise its discretion to hear oral argument, staff recommends that 5 minutes per side is sufficient.

<u>Issue 2:</u> Should Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-2024-0096-PCO-EI or a continuance of this proceeding be granted?

Recommendation: No. Reconsideration should be denied because the request for reconsideration fails to raise a point of fact or law that the Prehearing Officer overlooked or failed to consider in rendering their decision. A continuance should not be granted as OPC has failed to provide adequate grounds for doing so, in contravention of the statutory times frames established by Section 366.06(3), F.S.

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: This docket should remain open pending the Commission's final resolution of TECO's requested permanent base rate increase.