FIPUG OBSERVATIONS WITH RESPECT TO DECOUPLING

Florida Public Service Commission Workshop August 7, 2008

The Regulatory Dilemma

 How to reduce consumption without adversely impacting the electric utility

The Decoupling Solution

 Provide investor owned electric utilities with a guaranteed return irrespective of kilowatt-hour sales.

Increase rates if customers consume less.

 In theory if rates go up it will encourage even greater conservation.

The Decoupling Fallacy when applied to Investor Owned Utilities.

- The criteria used by investors is the potential for growth in stock value and dividend yield. They look for earnings growth.
- Decoupling freezes earnings growth.

Decoupling will discourage utilities from making investment in more efficient Florida power plants

- Earnings in Florida have been essentially frozen for the last fifteen years without decoupling. A disproportionately small portion of the cash flow from base rate profits and depreciation charges generated from Florida customers was reinvested in more efficient power plants in Florida.
- Investment in Florida reduces the profit in base rates.
- IOUs looked for greater returns by diverting the revenue from Florida consumers to the non regulated activities of their holding companies which promise even greater earnings. Decoupling will exacerbate this phenomenon

The Decoupling Fallacy When Applied to Consumers

- Florida Consumers already endure some of the highest monthly bills in America.
- The recent FPL and PEF midcourse correction cases in Docket 080001-EI Provide an example of what will Happen with Decoupling. Reduced consumption resulted in rate increases of over \$400 million in the fuel charge.
- Ironically under decoupling rates will go down if consumption increases.

							Average Monthly KWH	Average Monthly Residential
YEAR	UTILITY_ID	UTILITY_NAME	State	Туре	Res\$ (000)	Res Sales (MWh)	Consumption	Bill
2006	19327	TXU Energy Retail Co LP	TX	Marktr	4,322,018	29,314,580	1,328	\$195.84
2006	15847	Reliant Energy Retail Services, Inc	TX	Marktr	3,573,029	23,431,787	1,171	\$178.49
2006	15871	Direct Energy, LP	TX	Marktr	769,630	5,555,489	1,171	\$162.17
2006			NY	State	1,865,935	9,277,824	782	\$157.30
2006	7806	Entergy Gulf States Inc	TX	IOU	596,272	5,211,126	1,297	\$148.43
2006	3265		LA	IOU	390,891	3,551,702	1,309	\$144.06
2006	7806	Entergy Gulf States Inc	LA	IOU	518,971	4,899,127	1,311	\$138.85
2006	18454	Tampa Electric Co	FL	IOU	956,740	8,720,867	1,264	\$138.63
2006	6452	Florida Power & Light Co	FL	IOU	6,493,585	54,567,510	1,164	\$138.53
2006	6455	Progress Energy Florida In	FL	IOU	2,360,716	20,020,717	1,165	\$137.40
2006	4176	Connecticut Light & Power Co	СТ	IOU	1,682,705	9,623,321	763	\$133.36
2006	12685	Entergy Mississippi Inc	MS	IOU	567,272	5,386,994	1,254	\$132.00
2006	15270	Potomac Electric Power Co	MD	IOU	667,387	5,445,274	1,029	\$126.14
2006	12686	Mississippi Power Co	MS	IOU	214,472	2,118,106	1,196	\$121.05
2006	17539	South Carolina Electric & Gas Co	SC	IOU	749,485	7,598,169	1,203	\$118.64
2006	7801	Gulf Power Co	FL	IOU	510,995	5,425,491	1,253	\$117.98
2006	195	Alabama Power Co	AL	IOU	1,664,304	18,632,935	1,305	\$116.59
2006	9617	JEA	FL	Muni	501,788	5,596,010	1,299	\$116.50
2006	11241	Entergy Louisiana Inc	LA	IOU	784,915	8,512,776	1,263	\$116.46
2006	13407	Nevada Power Company	NV	IOU	975,568	9,033,142	1,075	\$116.07
2006	803	Arizona Public Service Co	AZ	IOU	1,270,412	12,901,612	1,148	\$113.05
2006			AZ	Govt	1,111,827	12,650,175	1,267	\$111.33
2006			PA	IOU	1,779,769		769	\$106.91
2006			NJ	IOU	1,206,843	9,547,719	830	\$104.87
2006			AR	IOU	704,440	7,655,217	1,112	\$102.35
2006		2 27	NC	IOU	1,269,379	14,064,992	1,132	\$102.20
2006			TN	Muni	376,712	4,666,565	1,265	\$102.16
2006		Memphis City of	TN	Muni	441,675	5,675,662	1,292	\$100.52
2006			MA	IOU	1,263,505	8,187,699	640	\$98.70
2006		-	GA	IOU	2,326,191	26,206,170	1,101	\$97.76
2006			VA	IOU	2,309,723	27,049,584	1,142	\$97.53
2006	16604	San Antonio City of	TX	Muni	674,585	8,554,569	1,233	\$97.24

2006	13573 Niagara Mohawk Power Corp	NY	IOU	1,534,860	10,247,534	635	\$95.18
2006	15474 Public Service Co of Oklahoma	OK	IOU	506,360	6,021,196	1,129	\$94.91
2006	17609 Southern California Edison Co	CA	IOU	4,739,296	30,048,395	601	\$94.79
2006	3542 Duke Energy Ohio Inc	OH	IOU	667,338	7,049,188	986	\$93.37
2006	13511 New York State Elec & Gas Corp	NY	IOU	778,322	5,648,612	671	\$92.48
2006	1015 Austin Energy	TX	Muni	375,232	4,009,766	983	\$92.01
2006	14063 Oklahoma Gas & Electric Co	OK	IOU	647,066	8,010,314	1,128	\$91.14
2006	14715 PPL Electric Utilities Corp	PA	IOU	1,291,200	13,645,099	947	\$89.57
2006	4922 Dayton Power & Light Co	OH	IOU	490,514	5,217,604	952	\$89.51
2006	15470 Duke Energy Indiana Inc	IN	IOU	713,264	8,707,170	1,091	\$89.35
2006	9417 Interstate Power and Light Co	IA	IOU	432,427	3,750,503	767	\$88.47
2006	17543 South Carolina Pub Serv Auth	SC	State	134,435	1,616,868	1,062	\$88.30
2006	16609 San Diego Gas & Electric Co	CA	IOU	1,266,825	7,500,838	523	\$88.28
2006	4226 Consolidated Edison Co-NY Inc	NY	IOU	2,631,250	12,589,959	415	\$86.76
2006	5416 Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	NC	IOU	1,563,159	19,639,855	1,086	\$86.41
2006	12390 Metropolitan Edison Co	PA	IOU	494,655	5,286,865	922	\$86.29
2006	5416 Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	SC	IOU	447,178	6,089,242	1,174	\$86.21
2006	13998 Ohio Edison Co	OH	IOU	770,042	7,349,003	804	\$84.28
2006	14328 Pacific Gas & Electric Co	CA	IOU	4,523,914	30,957,122	575	\$84.03
2006	1167 Baltimore Gas & Electric Co	MD	IOU	1,092,068	12,816,206	985	\$83.97
2006	9964 Kenergy Corp	KY	Coop	43,955	710,953	1,334	\$82.46
2006	16534 Sacramento Muni Util Dist	CA	Govt	505,544	4,764,852	768	\$81.43
2006	20856 Wisconsin Power & Light Co	WI	IOU	380,126	3,430,535	734	\$81.28
2006	24211 Tucson Electric Power Co	AZ	IOU	343,460	3,778,369	890	\$80.91
2006	15497 Puerto Rico Electric Pwr Authority	PR	State	1,275,239	7,214,533	456	\$80.61
2006	17718 Southwestern Public Service Co	TX	IOU	210,105	2,490,075	952	\$80.31
2006	4062 Columbus Southern Power Co	OH	IOU	632,878	7,270,635	918	\$79.87
2006	18997 Toledo Edison Co	OH	IOU	232,737	2,143,477	726	\$78.80
2006	15477 Public Service Elec & Gas Co	NJ	IOU	1,713,088	13,392,801	616	\$78.77
2006	15248 Portland General Electric Compan	OR	IOU	627,614	7,572,788	912	\$75.59
2006	13756 Northern Indiana Pub Serv Co	IN	IOU	358,214	3,293,908	693	\$75.39
2006	19436 Union Electric Co	MO	IOU	911,002	13,081,168	1,068	\$74.39
2006	14006 Ohio Power Co	OH	IOU	542,405	7,207,804	986	\$74.16
2006	20847 Wisconsin Electric Power Co	WI	IOU	852,990	7,990,314	692	\$73.85
2006	733 Appalachian Power Co	VA	IOU	384,700	6,336,229	1,209	\$73.39
2006	15500 Puget Sound Energy Inc	WA	IOU	798,498	10,654,059	976	\$73.13
2006	9273 Indianapolis Power & Light Co	IN	IOU	363,668	5,027,223	1,008	\$72.95

								Average
							Average	Monthly
							Monthly KWH	Residential
YEAR	UTILITY ID	UTILITY NAME	State	Туре	Res\$ (000)	Res Sales (MWh)	Consumption	Bill
2006		Union Electric Co	MO		911,002	13,081,168	1.068	\$74.39
2006		Ohio Power Co	ОН	IOU	542,405	7,207,804	986	\$74.16
2006		Wisconsin Electric Power Co	WI	IOU	852,990	7,990,314	692	\$73.85
2006		Appalachian Power Co	VA	IOU	384,700	6,336,229	1,209	\$73.39
2006		Puget Sound Energy Inc	WA	IOU	798,498	10,654,059	976	\$73.13
2006		Indianapolis Power & Light Co	IN	IOU	363,668	5,027,223	1,008	\$72.95
2006		Kansas Gas & Electric Co	KS	IOU	237,001	3,081,078	945	\$72.71
2006		Kentucky Utilities Co	KY	IOU	355,896	5,907,821	1,202	\$72.41
2006		Cleveland Electric Illum Co	OH	IOU	538,040	4,985,554	667	\$71.95
2006		Omaha Public Power District	NE	Govt	249,931	3,375,561	971	\$71.89
2006		Wisconsin Public Service Corp	WI	IOU	306,182	2,803,458	641	\$70.04
2006		Appalachian Power Co	WV	IOU	310,440	5,541,907	1,250	\$70.04
2006		PacifiCorp	OR	IOU	379,367	5,553,588	1,014	\$69.28
2006		Central Illinois Pub Serv Co	IL	IOU	274,851	3,783,958	950	\$68.99
2006		MidAmerican Energy Co	IA	IOU	440,372	5,086,363	792	\$68.59
2006	5109	Detroit Edison Co	MI	IOU	1,609,458	15,768,800	665	\$67.84
2006	20387	West Penn Power Co	PA	IOU	494,665	6,903,375	938	\$67.24
2006	9208	Illinois Power Co	IL	IOU	436,336	5,658,054	869	\$67.02
2006	22500	Westar Energy Inc	KS	IOU	249,107	3,374,963	904	\$66.70
2006	9324	Indiana Michigan Power Co	IN	IOU	315,780	4,580,373	958	\$66.03
2006	4254	Consumers Energy Company	MI	IOU	1,237,118	12,975,047	689	\$65.66
2006	14711	Pennsylvania Electric Co	PA	IOU	395,124	4,350,840	722	\$65.57
2006	11249	Louisville Gas & Electric Co	KY	IOU	271,520	4,017,524	957	\$64.68
2006	9191	Idaho Power Co	ID	IOU	289,069	4,868,384	1,083	\$64.32
2006	13781	Northern States Power Co	MN	IOU	812,792	8,876,544	700	\$64.10
2006	17609	Southern California Edison Co	CA	IOU	10,669	140,777	839	\$63.58
2006		Monongahela Power Co	WV	IOU	237,858	3,280,823	845	\$61.29
2006	4110	Commonwealth Edison Co	IL	IOU	2,453,065	28,330,120	698	\$60.43
2006		PacifiCorp	UT	IOU	458,966	6,139,297	770	\$57.57
2006		Public Service Co of Colorado	CO	IOU	756,701	8,557,673	646	\$57.14
2006		Niagara Mohawk Power Corp	NY	IOU	59,310	898,753	793	\$52.33
2006		Los Angeles City of	CA	Muni	778,672	7,609,278	510	\$52.20
2006		Minnesota Power Inc	MN	IOU	71,520	1,011,699	717	\$50.68
2006	16868	Seattle City of	WA	Muni	201,450	3,060,651	751	\$49.43
2006	4226	Consolidated Edison Co-NY Inc	NY	IOU	89,238	1,044,698	432	\$36.88

Regulatory Fallacy of Decoupling

- The Utility Return on its Rate Base should be Based on the Risk Investors Assume
- The Commission and Legislature have Already Shifted the Risk on all Cost Recovery Items to Customers. Cost Recovery Clauses now Provide up to 75% of Utility Revenue
- Shifting the Return Risk to Customers Will offset most of the remaining Risk and Should Result in a Lower ROE

A Better Solution to the Regulatory Dilemma Created by Reduced Sales Growth

 The solution suggested by Professor Paul Sotkiewicz, Director of Energy Studies, Public Utility Research Center, University of Florida at the workshop held last November recognizes that electric utilities are capital Intensive with large fixed costs. In this circumstance trying to recover fixed costs with a variable consumption charge is counterproductive. IOUs are discouraged from promoting sales reduction. He proposed a rate structure with a fixed charge to recover fixed costs and a consumption charge to recover fuel and other costs that vary with consumption. Reduced sales will then not be harmful to IOUs. FIPUG joins in recommending this approach.

How does this solution encourage investors looking for growth in value and dividend yield?

- The solution recognizes that traditional investors in public utilities are seeking stability and secure income. Enlightened regulation with proper rate structures will provide this stability and secure income without undue hardship on captive customers.
- Companies that seek greater returns through the greater leverage and the income tax benefits a public utility holding company provides will be able to obtain greater profits from the greater risk they assume without shifting more risk to customers.