Commissioners: E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON LILA A. JABER BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI

DIVISION OF POLICY ANALYSIS & INTERGOVERNMENTAL LIAISON CHARLES H. HILL DIRECTOR (850) 413-6800

# **Public Service Commission**

January 30, 2001

# **VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

The Honorable David P. Boergers Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426

> Docket No. RT01-67-000, GridFlorida, LLC, Florida Power & Light RE: Company, Florida Power Corporation, Tampa Electric Company

Dear Mr. Boergers:

Forwarded herewith is the Request for Technical Conference and Protest of the Florida Public Service Commission in the above-captioned proceeding.

Sincerely,

/s/

Cynthia B. Miller, Esquire Bureau of Intergovernmental Liaison

CBM:tf Enclosures

Internet E-mail: contact@psc.state.fl.us

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

GridFlorida LLC Florida Power & Light Company Florida Power Corporation Tampa Electric Company

Docket No. RT01-67-000

# REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL CONFERENCE AND PROTEST OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) hereby requests that a technical conference of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the FPSC be held as soon as practical to address the many detailed technical and policy issues relating to the GridFlorida filing as described in this pleading.<sup>1</sup> In this way, the delineation of the contours of the FERC and FPSC jurisdiction could be addressed in an open and noticed forum and set forth in a Memorandum of Understanding. The applicants have filed an application which lacks significant details on the design and makeup of the proposed GridFlorida RTO and how Florida's electric industry will be impacted. Further, the filing lacks data on the costs and benefits of developing and sustaining the RTO. We, therefore, are filing a protest.<sup>2</sup> A technical conference should provide needed details relating to the planning, operation, and oversight of the transmission grid, as well as the delineation of regulatory jurisdiction of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We note that there is FERC precedent for holding technical conferences in RTO-related dockets. Recently, the FERC held a technical conference on the New York ISO. In an order issued November 8, 2000, in the New York Independent System Operator Docket (ER00-3591-000), the FERC directed its staff to convene a technical conference to address issues.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By filing this protest, we wish to make a stronger statement than the mere filing of comments. Also, this is clearly an area of great public importance for the FERC Commissioners themselves to address. We note that the FPSC, through this filing, is not requesting a hearing.

FERC and the FPSC. We look forward to working with the FERC in a spirit of

collaboration.

Initially, the FPSC reaffirms its comments submitted on November 27, 2000, in

response to the initial GridFlorida filing. We continue to believe that:

- -- The geographic scope covered by this RTO, if approved, should be peninsular Florida, as proposed by the Applicants.
- -- The FERC should adopt a more realistic schedule which includes joint consideration by both the FERC and the FPSC to ensure that actions taken will benefit all Florida ratepayers and will avoid scenarios such as those occurring in California.
- -- No cost information has been supplied by the applicants, and therefore, carte blanche cost recovery is not warranted.
- -- The filing raises significant jurisdictional issues that cannot be ignored, and these should be addressed before a final decision is reached. An active and strong state role is essential to facilitating any workable RTO in Florida and should be set out in a FERC/FPSC Memorandum of Understanding.
- -- The FERC should not rule out any alternative RTO model. Until cost information is supplied by the Applicants, there is no way to know if a for-profit Transco is superior to a not-for-profit Transco.
- -- The FPSC needs assurance that it will have full access to records of the RTO and the Market Monitor.

# **TECHNICAL CONFERENCE**

The FPSC believes that there would be significant benefit to holding a technical conference in Tallahassee with the FERC. The purpose of this conference would be to address the many detailed technical and jurisdictional issues relating to the GridFlorida filing. It would be beneficial for the FERC staff and Commissioners to meet in Florida in order to hear from the affected entities outside of the "beltway." It would also provide an

opportunity for the two agencies to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding, in which

the two agencies set out their respective oversight roles over the GridFlorida RTO.

The FPSC has extensive jurisdiction, pursuant to Florida law, but believes that the RTO raises novel jursidictional questions that should be addressed in a technical conference.<sup>3</sup> For example, the FPSC has specific authority under the Florida statutes to ensure the reliability of the grid in Florida. It is our understanding that the FERC does not have reliability authority.<sup>4</sup> The Applicants have indicated an interest in helping delineate

Florida Statutes, Section 366.05(7): The commission shall have the power to require reports from all electric utilities to assure the development of adequate and reliable energy grids.

**Florida Statutes, Section 366.055(3):** To assure efficient and reliable operation of a state energy grid, the commission shall have the power to require any electric utility to transmit electrical energy over its transmission lines from one utility to another or as a part of the total energy supply of the entire grid, subject to the provisions hereof.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Florida Statutes, Section 366.04(2)(c): In the exercise of its jurisdiction, the commission shall have power over electric utilities for the following purposes: . . . (c)To require electric power conservation and reliability within a coordinated grid, for operational as well as emergency purposes.

**Florida Statutes, Section 366.04(5):** The commission shall further have jurisdiction over the planning, development, and maintenance of a coordinated electric power grid throughout Florida to assure an adequate and reliable source of energy for operational and emergency purposes in Florida and the avoidance of further uneconomic duplication of generation, transmission, and distribution facilities.

**Florida Statutes, Section 366.055(1):** Energy reserves of all utilities in the Florida energy grid shall be available at all times to ensure that grid reliability and integrity are maintained. The commission is authorized to take such action as is necessary to assure compliance. However, prior commitments as to energy use: (a) In interstate commerce, as approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission; (b) Between one electric utility and another, which have been approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission; or (c) Between an electric utility which is a part of the energy grid created herein and another energy grid shall not be abridged or altered except during an energy emergency as declared by the Governor and Cabinet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In his October 5, 1999, testimony before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Commerce, Subcommittee on Energy and Power, FERC Chairman James J. Hoecker, stated "while the [FERC} has authority to address discrimination in jurisdictional transmission services, it has no explicit statutory role in setting or reviewing particular reliability standards or in ensuring the security of the electrical system or the adequacy of supply. That was left largely to the industry and the States." Also, the May 17, 2000, FERC Notice of Interim Procedures to Support Industry Reliability, stated that "the Commission does not have direct responsibility over reliability matters."

these items relating to oversight and jurisdiction, and have noted the difficulty of "shifting jurisdictions." Other issues relating to the planning and operation of the transmission grid also should be addressed. Finally, the FPSC currently has exclusive authority over the ratemaking for bundled retail transmission services, and that needs to be reconciled with the proposed FERC regulation of rates charged by the RTO. Through a technical conference, these difficult and cloudy issues may be addressed in a publicly noticed and open forum.<sup>5</sup> We would suggest that a date be scheduled as soon as practical, pursuant to the statutory noticing requirements for this technical conference.

### **DECEMBER 15, 2000, SUPPLEMENTAL FILING**

With respect to the December 15, 2000, supplemental filing, the Applicants have made little progress to "flesh out" the details of the proposed GridFlorida RTO. Taken together with the initial filing, the Applicants have provided a rough framework with little detail on how Florida's electric industry will be impacted. An adequate supply of electricity at reasonable cost is of paramount importance to the well-being of Florida's citizens.<sup>6</sup> Due care must be taken to ensure that whatever is approved for Florida does not lead to these results. Indeed, the lessons to be learned in California that exist in Florida include the fact

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 209 of the Federal Power Act sets out Use of Joint Boards; Cooperation with State Commissions. It states that the FERC may refer matters to a board to be composed of a member or members, as determined by the FERC, from the state or each of the states affected. The board shall be vested with the same power as is a member of FERC when designated to hold hearings. The Section also authorizes the FERC to confer with any state commission regarding the relationship between rate structures, costs, accounts, charges, practices, classifications, and regulations of public utilities, subject to the jurisdiction of such state commission and of the FERC. Also, the FERC is authorized to hold joint hearings with any state commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Rolling blackouts, sustained high prices, and resulting economic disruption such as are occurring in California, are not acceptable in Florida.

that there are too few wholesale competitors to facilitate a robust wholesale generating market. Plainly and simply, peninsular Florida does not currently support a fully competitive wholesale generation market. To date, Florida wholesale sales continue to be a relatively small portion of investor-owned utility sales and are predominantly conducted between Florida's utilities. As a result of legal challenges,<sup>7</sup> initiated and principally supported by the Applicants in this filing, the development of merchant generation in the state has largely been restricted.<sup>8</sup> Based on its current structure, the GridFlorida Transco will initially do little to foster further competition in wholesale generation markets.

The regulatory goals of ensuring safe, adequate, and reliable electric service to the public at the lowest possible cost should not be sacrificed in favor of experimenting with competition for competition's sake, particularly in light of the limited competition which currently exists in Florida under the current legal framework. It is vital to the State's economy and security that stability and affordability are maintained in the provision of electric services to the citizens of Florida. Although there is insufficient detail in the Applicant's filing, particularly the lack of any cost or benefits data, to warrant dramatic changes to the transmission services currently provided in the state, we believe that certain aspects of the filing should be supported if the GridFlorida moves forward. These would

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Tampa Electric, Florida Power Corp. and Florida Power & Light vs. Garcia, et al. vs. the Florida Public Service Commission, Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach Power Company, 25 Fla. Law Weekly (Fla. April 20, 2000, rehearing denied September 20, 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The status of wholesale generation competition is a topic being discussed by the Florida Energy 2020 Study Commission.

ensure that transmission service to retail loads is sustained. The FPSC supports, in concept

and at least initially, the proposed GridFlorida requirements for:

- balanced schedules of resources and load to be provided by all Scheduling Coordinators, with incremental and decremental energy bids for all scheduled resources and quick-start units;
- the grand-fathering and allocation of physical transmission rights to transmit electricity through identified congestion areas (flowgates) to retail serving electric utilities; and
- Installed Capacity and Energy (ICE) requirements for each retail load serving utility to ensure that adequate levels of generating reserves are maintained. The FPSC does not, at this time, support the market clearing price style of auction.

Such pricing may result in highly volatile energy prices during peak periods and may also lead to gaming and windfall profit taking. The FPSC believes that until more experience is obtained with clearing price auctions in the face of a limited number of suppliers, actual bid quotes should be used in all energy markets, including the ancillary service markets.

Further, additional work is needed in the GridFlorida proposal to ensure the development of transmission reliability measures for the RTO that will preserve and improve the current performance and operation of the system without undue discrimination between urban and rural areas. These new jurisdictional questions need to be addressed in a technical conference. The state role needs to be preserved and could be delineated in a Memorandum of Understanding.

The FPSC may explore initiating rulemaking to establish statewide policy under the Grid Bill on the issues of balanced schedules, physical transmission rights, installed capacity and energy, energy pricing, and transmission reliability. In addition, it remains unclear whether the RTO will qualify as an applicant under the Florida Transmission Line Siting Act

or have the power of eminent domain. These are issues that can only be resolved at the state legislative level. While the Applicants argue that a joint filing with a retail serving utility may mitigate this limitation, the FPSC continues to question the prudence of GridFlorida seeking FERC approval to be the primary organization responsible for transmission planning and expansion without express legal authority to carry out these charges. Moreover, this defeats the very purpose of an RTO--to achieve independence for market participants in the provision of transmission service.

The FPSC also questions whether disputes arising with regard to the Final Transmission Expansion Plan as adopted by GridFlorida can be delegated to a FERC approved dispute resolution process as outlined in the proposed Planning Protocol (Exhibit N.1 of the filing). Florida's statutes give extensive authority to the FPSC to ensure an adequate, reliable, and coordinated statewide electric grid. To the extent that the FPSC believes that inadequacies exist in the transmission expansion plans of GridFlorida, the FPSC must take action to require appropriate remedies.

The FPSC continues to be concerned that the market monitoring function, as currently proposed, may not have sufficient scope or ample authority to address market abuses. We continue to believe that enforcement may ultimately be the responsibility of the FPSC working in concert with the FERC. At a minimum, the Market Monitor should be required to report its activities to the FPSC and respond to inquiries and concerns raised by the FPSC. The FPSC should have unrestricted access to all RTO records. To the greatest extent possible, information provided by the RTO should not be held confidential. Also, the

additional layer of the market monitor may lead to additional time involved in resolution of complaints.

Finally, the FPSC believes that participation in GridFlorida by Florida's retail serving electric utilities should be purely voluntary. Utilities that do not elect to participate in the GridFlorida RTO should not be penalized through the imposition of discriminatory or higher rates. The treatment and pricing of "wheeling through" transmission services provided by utilities such as the City of Tallahassee and the Jacksonville Electric Authority have not been adequately addressed and need significant additional work.

## CONCLUSION

Wherefore, the FPSC requests that a technical conference be convened in Tallahassee, Florida, in which the FPSC and FERC may work together on a Memorandum of Understanding on our roles in overseeing an RTO in Florida. Currently, the jurisdiction is muddled.

In addition, the FPSC reaffirms its previous comments in this docket:

- -- The geographic scope covered by this RTO, if approved, should be peninsular Florida, as proposed by the Applicants.
- -- The FERC should adopt a more realistic schedule which includes joint consideration by both the FERC and the FPSC to ensure that actions taken will benefit all Florida ratepayers and will avoid scenarios such as those occurring in California.
- -- No cost information has been supplied by the applicants, and therefore, carte blanche cost recovery is not warranted.
- -- The filing raises significant jurisdictional issues that cannot be ignored, and these should be addressed before a final decision is reached. An active and strong state

role is essential to facilitating any workable RTO in Florida and should be set out in a FERC/FPSC Memorandum of Understanding.

- -- The FERC should not rule out any alternative RTO model. Until cost information is supplied by the Applicants, there is no way to know if a for-profit Transco is superior to a not-for-profit Transco.
- -- The FPSC needs assurance that it will have full access to records of the RTO and the Market Monitor.

Lastly, the FPSC raises some additional concerns with, as well as states its

preliminary support for, certain aspects of the filing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Cynthia B. Miller, Esquire Bureau of Intergovernmental Liaison

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0872 (850) 413-6082

DATED: January 30, 2001

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

GridFlorida LLC Florida Power & Light Company Florida Power Corporation Tampa Electric Company

) ) )

)

Docket No. RT01-67-000

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Request for Technical Conference and Protest of the Florida Public Service Commission will be sent today by U.S. Mail to all parties on the attached service list.

/s/

Cynthia B. Miller, Esquire Bureau of Intergovernmental Liaison

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

DATED: January 30, 2001

Margaret M. Schaff, Esquire Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians 29754 Fir Drive, Suite B Evergreen CO 80439-8716

Robert W. Claussen, Gen. Manager Alabama Municipal Electric Authority P.O. Box 5220 Montgomery, Alabama 36103-5220

David Friedman, Director American Forest & Paper Association 1111 Nineteenth Street NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-3603

Robert Berry Automated Power Exchange, Inc. 5201 Great America Parkway Santa Clara, CA 95054-1122

Alycia L. Goody, Esquire Calpine Eastern The Pilot House 2nd Floor Lewis Wharf Boston, MA 02110

Mr. Kendall Bowman Carolina Power & Light Co. P O Box 1551 Raleigh, N.C. 27602-1551

Mr. Harvey Reed Constellation Power Source Generation, Inc. 111 Market Place Baltimore, M.D. 21202-4035

Jennifer L. Key Steptoe & Johnson 1330 Connecticut Avenue Washington, DC 20036

Leslie J. Paugh Landers & Parsons 310 West College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301-1406

Edward A. Ross, Senior Director Dynegy, Inc. 1000 Louisana Street, Suite 5800 Houston, TX 77002-5006

Ms. Christi L. Nicolay, Director Enron Corporation **j** Enron Power Marketing, Inc. P.O. Box 1188 Houston, TX 77251-1188 Joe N. Linxwiler, Jr. Fred Saffer & Associates 2111 East Michigan Street, Suite 219 Orlando, FL 328006-4983

Randolph L Elliott, Esquire Miller Balis & O'Neil, P.C. 1140 Nineteenth Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036-6601

Keith R. McCrea Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004-2404

James R. Crossen Automated Power Exchange, Inc. 5201 Great America Parkway Santa Clara, CA 95054-1122

Michael J. Zimmer, Esquire **j** Calpine Eastern Baker & McKenzie 815 Connecticut Ave NW Washington, DC 20006-4004

Ms. Lida Decker Constellation Power Source Generation, Inc. 111 Market Place Baltimore, M.D. 21202-4035

Paul R. Newton PBO5E Duke Energy Corporation P O Box 1244 Charlotte, NC 28242-0001

Lee E. Barrett, Esquire Duke Energy North American LLC 5400 Westheimer Court Houston, TX 77056-5310

Mary J. Doyle, Dir of Regulatory Policy Dynegy, Inc. 805 15th Street NW, Suite 510-A Washington, DC 20005-2207

Julie Simon, Director Electric Power Supply Association 1401 H Street NW, Suite 760 Washington, DC 20005-2110

Jeffrey Watkiss, Esquire Bracewell & Patterson, LLP **j** Enron Power Marketing, Inc. 2000 K St., N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20006-1809 Sarah G. Novosel, Esquire Enron Corporation 1775 First Street NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006-2418

Mr. Albert B. Malmsjo R. W. Beck Olympia Place, Suite 300 800 N Magnolia Avenue Orlando, FL 32803-3252

Robert A. Jablon Spiegel & McDiarmid 1350 New York Avenue NW, Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005-4710

C. Martin Mennes Florida Power & Light Company 4200 West Flagler Street Miami, FL 33134-1606

W. G. Walker, III Florida Power &nLight Company 215 S Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1839

James P. Fama Leboeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, LLP P O Box 3621 Portland, Oregon 97208-3621

Douglas F. John, Esq. John & Hengerer 1200 17th St., NW, Ste 600 Washington, DC 20036-3013

William D. DeGrandis Paul, Hastings, Janosky & Walker 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 10 Washington, DC 20004-2400

Gary D. Bachman, Esquire Van Ness Feldman 1050 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, FL 7 Washington, DC 20007

Paul H. Elwing, Manager Lakeland Electric & Water Utilities Dept of Electric and Water Utilities 501 E. Lemon St. Lakeland, FL 33801-5050

Stephen G. Kozey, V.P. and General Counsel Midwest Independent Transmission System 5517 W 74th Street Indianapolis, IN 46208-4184 Scott A. Goorland Florida Dept of Environmental Protection 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard MS 35 Tallahassee, FL 32399-3000

Claude L'Engle Florida Municipal Power Agency 8553 Commodity Circle Orlando, Florida 32819-9002

Frederick M. Bryant, General Counsel Florida Municipal Power Agency 2061 Delta Way Tallahassee, FL 32303-4227

Mike Naeve, Esquire Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP 1440 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-2111

Henry L. Southwick, Director Florida Power Corporation 6565 38TH Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33710-1628

Darrell Dubose, Assistant General Manager Gainesville Regional Utilities Post Office Box 147117 Gainesville, FL 32614-7117

Julian Brix Georgia Transmission Corporation Post Office Box 1349 Tucker, GA 30085-1349

Mr. Ted Hobson Jacksonville Electric Authority 21 W. Church St., 3rd Fl. Jacksonville, FL 32201

Robert G. Miller, Manager Kissimmee Utility Authority Post Office Box 423219 Kissimmee, FL 34742-3219

Mace Hunter, Manager Lakeland Electric & Water Utilities Dept of Electric and Water Utilities 501 E. Lemon St. Lakeland, FL 33801-5050

Stephen L. Teichler Duane, Morris & Heckscher 1667 K Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20006-1643 Donna M. Sauter McDermott, Will & Emery for Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc. 600 13th Street NW Washington DC 20005-3005

Mr. Dallas M. Peavey, Jr. Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia 1470 Riveredge Parkway, N.W. Atlanta, GA 30328-4640

Mr. Gary Schaeff Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia 1470 Riveredge Parkway NW Atlanta, GA 30328-4640

Wallace F. Tillman, General Counsel National Rural Electric Cooperative Assn. 4301 Wilson Blvd Arlinton, Virginia 22203-1867

Mr. Ronald L. Vaden Director of Utilties City of New Smyrna Beach Post Office Box 100 New Smyrna Beach, FL 32170-0100

Mr. Paul Savage NRG Energy, Inc. 6 Lydon Street Concord, NH 03301-4450

George B. Taylor, Jr. Oglethorpe Power Corporation Post Office Box 1349 Tucker, GA 30085-1349

Gus R. Cepero Okeelanta Corp. P.O. Box 86 South Bay, FL 33493-8600

Mr. Thomas E. Washburn Vice President, Transmission Business Unit Orlando Utilities Commission P.O. Box 3193 Orlando, FL 32802-3193

John K. Hawks PG&E National Energy Group 7500 Old Georgetown Rd., Suite 1300 Bethesda, MD 20814-6133 Doron F. Ezickson McDermott, Will & Emery for Mortan Stanley Capital Group, Inc. 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109-1775

Glen R. Ortman Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard, Et.al. for Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia 901 15th Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005-2327

Richard Wakefield KEMA Consulting for Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia 4400 Fair Lake Court Fairfax VA 22033-3801

Susan N. Kelly, Esquire Miller, Balis, O'Neil, P.C. for National Rural Electric Cooperative Assn. 1140 19th Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036-6607

Mr. Harvey L Reiter Morrison & Hecker, LLP 1150 18th Street NW, Suite 800 Washington DC 20036-3845

Steven A. Weiler Leonard, Street & Deinard Suite 900, South Building 601 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 2004-2601

William D. DeGrandis Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 10 Washington, DC 20004-2400

Randolph Q. McManus Baker & Botts, L.L.P. The Warner 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004-2400

Wallace Duncan, Esquire Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Pembroke, P.C. 1615 M Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-3213

Mr. John L. Giddens Utility Business Affairs Reedy Creek Energy Services, Inc. P.O. Box 10000 Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830-1000 William H. Penniman Sutherland Asbil & Brennan LLP 1275 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington, DC 20004-2404

Mr. John Meyer Reliant Energy Northeast Generation, Inc 1111 Louisiana Street, 10th Floor Houston, TX 77002-5200

Mr. William T. Miller Miller, Balis & O'Neil, P.C. 1140 19th Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036-6607

Ms. Amy Gold Shell Energy Services Company, LLC 1221 Lamar Street, Suite 1000 Houston, TX 77010-3000

Mr. Charles A. White South Carolina Electric & Gas Company 1426 Main Street Columbia, SC 29201-2834

Mr. Stephen R. Pelcher South Carolina Public Service Authority P. O. Box 2946101 Moncks Corner, South Carolina 29461-6101

Lawrence A. Gollomp US Department of Energy 1000 Independence Avenue SW Washington, DC 20585-0001

Denver Rampey, Jr. Asst Administrator Southeastern Power Administration U.S. Dept of Energy 2 S Public Square Elberton, GA 30635-2448

Patrick B. Pope Southern Natural Gas Company Post Office Box 2563 Birmingham, AL 35202-2563

Angela Llewellyn Tampa Electric Company Post Office Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Scott Helyer, Manager Tenaska, Inc. 2000 E. Lamar Blvd., Suite 430 Arlington, TX 76006-7346 Michael C. Briggs, Senior Counsel Reliant Energy Incorporated 801 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 620 Washington, DC 20004-2615

Timothy Woodbury, V.P. Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. 16313 No. Dale Mabry Highway P. O. Box 272000 Tampa, FL 33688-2000

Mr. Peter I. Trombley Shell Energy Services Company, LLC 1221 Lamar Street, Suite 1000 Houston, TX 77010-3000

Catherine D. Taylor, Esquire SCANA Corporation 1426 Main Street Columbia, SC 29201-2834

Greg H. Williams Esquire Cameron McKenna LLP 2175 K Street NW, 5th Floor Washington, DC 20037-1831

Jonathan D. Schneider, Esquire Huber Lawrence & Abell 1001 G Street NW, Suite 1225 Washington, DC 20001-4545

Leon Jourolmon, Jr. Southeastern Power Administration U.S. Dept of Energy 2 S Public Square Elberton, GA 30635-2448

Glenn A. Sheffield, Director Southern Natural Gas Company Post Office Box 2563 Birmingham, AL 35202-2563

Pete N. Koikos City of Tallahassee Wholesale Energy Services 100 West Virginia Street, Floor 5 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1143

Geoffrey Bestor, Esquire Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP 2101 L Street NW Washington, DC 20037

Neil Levy Kirkland & Ellis 655 15th Street NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005-5701 Andrew N. Chau Tractebel, Inc. 1177 West Loop S, Suite 900 Houston, TX 77027-9083

Garson Knapp, Administrator Williams Energy Services Company Post Office Box 2848 Tulsa, OK 74101-2848

Secretary Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington Street, SW Atlanta, GA 30334-5799 Tim W. Muller Williams Companies, Inc. 1 One Williams Center, Suite 4100 Tulsa, OK 74172-0140

Secretary Alabama Public Service Commission 100 N. Union Street P.O. Box 991 Montgomery, AL 36130

Jack Shreve,Esq.,Public Counsel Florida Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-6588