Commissioners: E. LEON JACOBS, JR., CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON LILA A. JABER BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI

DIVISION OF POLICY ANALYSIS & INTERGOVERNMENTAL LIAISON CHARLES H. HILL DIRECTOR (850) 413-6800

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

February 26, 2001

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW - TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

> Florida Public Service Commission Comments Opposing the Multi-Re: Association Group Plan in CC Docket No. 00-256, Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan for Regulation of Interstate Services of Non-Price **Cap Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Interexchange Carriers Universal Service:** CC Docket No. 96-45, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; CC Docket No. 98-77, Access Charge Reform for Incumbent Local **Exchange Carriers Subject to Rate-of-Return Regulation; and** CC Docket No. 98-166, Prescribing the Authorized Rate-of-Return for **Interstate Services of Local Exchange Carriers**

Dear Ms. Salas:

Forwarded herewith are the Florida Public Service Commission Comments opposing the Multi-Association Group Plan in the above-stated dockets.

Sincerely,

/s/

Cynthia B. Miller, Esquire Bureau of Intergovernmental Liaison

CBM:tf

Attachment

Brad Ramsay, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners cc:

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:					
)				
Multi-Association Group (MAG))				
Plan for Regulation of Interstate)				
Services of Non-Price Cap Incumbent	;)				
Local Exchange Carriers and)				
Interexchange Carriers)	CC	Docket	No.	00-256
Universal Service)				
)				
Federal-State Joint Board)				
on Universal Service)	CC	Docket	No.	96-45
)				
Access Charge Reform for Incumbent)				
Local Exchange Carriers Subject to)				
Rate-of-Return Regulation)	CC	Docket	No.	98-77
)				
Prescribing the Authorized Rate-)				
of-Return for Interstate Services)				
of Local Exchange Carriers)	CC	Docket	No.	98-166
)				

COMMENTS OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OPPOSING THE MULTI-ASSOCIATION GROUP PLAN

On January 5, 2001, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking involving the Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan. The plan sets forth an interstate access reform and universal service support proposal for incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs) subject to FCC rate-of-return regulation.

The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) opposes the MAG plan. Although the plan is designed to affect only interstate rates Florida Public Service Commission CC Dockets No. 00-256, 96-45, 98-77, 98-166 Page 3 and charges, the MAG plan would have a direct impact on the monthly rates of Florida consumers and may apply pressure on intrastate rate structures. The FCC's decision in this matter should reflect the interests of all stakeholders involved in access charge reform, including providers, competitors, and customers.

Consumer Benefit

There is insufficient detail in the proposed plan regarding the impact on consumers. The petitioners have not provided sufficient data to gauge the impacts on either consumer rates or ILEC revenues.

We have considerable doubt about realization of consumer benefits under this proposal. Even though the IXCs are not signatories to the MAG plan, the FCC should require that the IXCs pass through savings from access charge reductions to customers and that low usage minimum charges be eliminated.¹ The FCC should consider requiring interexchange carriers (IXCs) to demonstrate that access charge reductions, regardless of their magnitude and the number of plan participants, have in fact flowed through to residential and business customers.

¹NARUC Resolution on CALLS proposal detailing that "companies paying reduced access rates should be encouraged to pass these reductions on to end user customers," NARUC Convention, November 10, 1999.

Unlike the commitment letters that were filed with the CALLS plan,² no such agreements from any of the IXCs have accompanied the MAG plan. To the contrary, there are no reliable, meaningful, or enforceable assurances that access reduction will flow-through to ratepayers. With fewer than 8% of the access lines in the country involved with this plan, it is almost certain that perminute rates for long distance will not be affected. While the IXCs will enjoy reduced expenses, the plan contains no enforceable mechanism to ensure that customers will realize any benefit from these access reductions.

As we have commented before,³ we believe it is critical for consumers, both rural and urban, to have the choice of at least one long distance plan that does not have a minimum charge or monthly fee. In return for reduced access fees, we believe the IXCs should make firm commitments to provide these plans nationwide. To ensure that this is accomplished, the FCC should exact firm commitments from the interstate carriers during this period of negotiation.

<u>Universal Service</u>

 $^{^2}$ AT&T filing on 3/30/00 and ILECs letter on 3/29/00 committing to flow through savings on access charge reductions to consumers.

³ FPSC comments to FCC regarding CALLS plan, April 3, 2000, p. 4.

The FCC should not adopt the Universal Service Fund component of the MAG plan until there is further consultation with or referral to the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service. It is critically important that the FCC engage in analysis to determine the appropriate amount of interstate Universal Service support that is necessary to make explicit any implicit support contained in the current interstate carrier access charges.

The MAG plan would eliminate section 54.305 of the Commission's rules, a section which limits the Universal Service support for acquired telephone exchanges to the support received by the seller.⁴ To the extent this rule has helped to curtail increases in the overall fund size of Universal Service, the FPSC believes that this rule should be retained.

Section 254(b)(5) of the Telecommunications Act states that "There should be specific, predictable and sufficient Federal and State mechanisms to preserve and advance universal service." It is incumbent upon the MAG proponents to demonstrate that their proposal will produce sufficient, and only sufficient, federal support. They have failed to do so. Their proposal results in an overall increase in the size of the fund, without a reasonable

⁴ 47 C.F.R. Section 54.305

Florida Public Service Commission CC Dockets No. 00-256, 96-45, 98-77, 98-166 Page 6 showing that such an increase is necessary to meet the goals of universal service.

Economic Impact

The FPSC has concerns over whether or not this proposal is good for competition and consumers, or whether it merely insulates rate-of-return ILECs from market pressures on access rates and preserves ILEC revenues at an unreasonably high level for the next five years. Additionally, the FPSC has concern over what the actual impact of this plan will be on the rural telecommunications industry. With some companies choosing Path A and some choosing Path B, along with the possible effects of any decision to be made regarding the Rural Task Force, state regulators are left with few finite answers on how the MAG proposal will affect consumers. MAG proponents have provided little or no support on such important topics as access reform and Universal Service funding.

The FPSC has concerns regarding the five-year transition period allowed under the plan rules to convert to incentive-based regulation. During this transition period, it appears that it would be possible for a company to buy excessive amounts of equipment knowing that recovery of these expenditures are guaranteed once a

Path A election is made. Little is detailed in the plan on when a company should or should not opt into the two different options. Having two options makes it nearly impossible to predetermine what the probable impact of these plans will be on consumers.

According to the plan, when certain study areas convert to incentive-based regulation, they will no longer be required to report cost data.⁵ For jurisdictions that have intrastate Universal Service funds, this diminished reporting requirement could result in a lack of data from which policy makers can discern the economic performance of regulated telephone companies. When combined with efforts in Congress to decrease reporting and the potential for decreased reporting by certain companies to the FCC, public policy makers may be left with insufficient information from which to make sound judgements regarding the economic performance of regulated utilities.

The MAG plan also proposes to eliminate the limitation on the corporate operations expense account.⁶ The FPSC is concerned over potential abuse of this expense category during the five-year transition period from rate-of-return regulation to incentive-based regulation. Some oversight, possibly review by the National

⁵ FCC NPRM, FCC 00-448, p. 4.

⁶47 C.F.R. Sections 36.601(c) and 36.621

Florida Public Service Commission CC Dockets No. 00-256, 96-45, 98-77, 98-166 Page 8 Exchange Carrier Association, needs to be applied to ensure that reasonable expense levels are reported and recovered.

Consumer Education

It is estimated that some 170,000 access lines in Florida may be affected by adoption of the MAG plan. After the subscriber line charge remained at \$3.50 for eleven years on the customer bill, the FCC has an obligation to alert ratepayers that they have approved this change, which could result in a charge on residential bills to \$5.00 per month by July 1. The multi-line business lines would change from the current \$6.00 per line to \$9.20 per line by July 1, 2003. The FPSC will not defend such Federally-authorized increases in charges.

In addition, as local service becomes more expensive, it is especially important to educate the public about the availability of Lifeline services so that consumers who qualify for this assistance are aware of its availability. The MAG proponents should commit to working with the FCC Consumer Information Bureau and the state commissions to develop and conduct a consumer education plan.

While we are assured that Lifeline support will be expanded to cover the increased cost of local service as a result of the increases to the SLCs, we are not convinced that utilization of Lifeline service will increase without enhanced educational efforts Florida Public Service Commission CC Dockets No. 00-256, 96-45, 98-77, 98-166 Page 9 and streamlined procedures for enrollment. As part of any implementation of the MAG plan, the participants should be cognizant of the importance of informing their customers of the availability of Lifeline support.

<u>Conclusion</u>

In conclusion, the FPSC opposes this proposal. An "all-ornothing" private proposal leaves little room for regulatory oversight. A comprehensive solution to access charge issues and universal service can only be accomplished through open negotiations among all interested parties. A five-year "stop gap" measure may only delay definitive action. In general, the overall aggregate increases imposed on the consumer are not offset with equivalent benefits.

This proposal is not even close to an optimal solution. In lieu of accepting this privately negotiated agreement, the FCC should take advantage of the opportunity to restructure access charges permanently for the entire industry. This could address any identified inequities and imbalances in telecommunications rate structures.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Cynthia B. Miller, Esquire Bureau of Intergovernmental Liaison

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850) 413-6082

DATED: February 26, 2001

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Comments of the Florida Public Service Commission will be furnished to the parties on the attached service list.

/s/

Cynthia B. Miller, Esquire Bureau of Intergovernmental Liaison

DATED: February 26, 2001

The Honorable Michael Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder, Commissioner South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capital Avenue Pierre, SD 57501

The Honorable Bob Rowe Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue P.O. Box 202601 Helena, MT 59620-2601

Rowland Curry, Chief Engineer Texas Public Utility Commission Post Office Box 13326 Austin, TX 78701-3326

Lorraine Kenyon Alaska Public Utilities Commission 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501-1693

Joel B. Shifman, Esq. Maine Public Utilities Commission 242 State Street State House Station No. 18 Augusta, Maine 04333-0018

Charles Bolle, Policy Adviser Nevada Public Utilities Commission 1150 E. Williams Street Carson City, NV 89701-3105

Lori Kenyon Common Carrier Specialist Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Sutie 400 Anchorage, AK 99501

Tom Wilson, Economist Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504-7250 The Honorable Harold Furchtgott-Roth Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Gloria Tristani Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Martha S. Hogerty Public Counsel for the State of Missouri P. O. Box 7800 Harry S. Truman Building, Room 250 Jefferson City, MO 65102

The Honorable Patrick H. Wood, III Chairman Texas Public Utility Commission Post Office Box 13326 Austin, Texas 78711-3326

The Honorable Nanette G. Thompson, Chair Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501-1693

Mary E. Newmeyer, Federal Affairs Advisor Alabama Public Service Commission P. O. Box 991 Montgomery, AL 36101

Peter Bluhm, Director of Policy Research Vermont Public Service Board Drawer 20 112 State Street, 4th Floor Montpieller, VT 05620

Carl Johnson, Telecom Policy Analyst New York Public Service Commission 3 Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1350

Susan Stevens Miller Assistant General Counsel Maryland Public Service Commission 16th Floor, 6 Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Philip F. McClelland Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate 555 Walnut Street Forum Place, 5th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923 Barbara Meisenheimer Missouri Office of Public Counsel Truman Bldg, 301 West High St, Ste 250 P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Ann Dean, Assistant Director Maryland Public Service Commission 16th Floor, 6 Saint Paul Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202-6806

Michael A. McRae D.C. Office of the People's Counsel 1133 15th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005

The Honorable Samuel Loudenslager Arkansas Public Service Commission P. O. Box 400 Little Rock, AR 72203-0400

Robert S. Tongren, Andrea M. Kelsey, David C. Bergmann, Richard W. Pace Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel 77 South High Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550

Honorable Albert Vann Ntl Black Caucus of State Legislators Telecommunications & Energy Committee New York State Assembly Legislative Office Building #422 Albany, New York 12248

Virginia J. Taylor, Richard A. Elbrecht California Department of Consumer Affairs 400 R Street, Suite 3090 Sacramento, CA 95814-6200

R. Glenn Rhyne, ManagerResearch DepartmentSouth Carolina Public Service CommissionP. O. Drawer 11649Columbia, S.C. 29203

David F. Johnson, Scott Sawyer State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations Public Utilities Commission 100 Orange Street Providence, R.I. 02903

Karen Finstad Hammel, Staff Attorney Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue P. O. Box 202601 Helena, MT 59601-2601 Earl Poucher, Legislative Analyst Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Eileen Benner Idaho Public Utilities Commission P. O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0074

Terry Monroe New York Public Service Commission Three Empire Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Richard J. Johnson, Brian T. Grogan Minnesota Independent Coalition Moss & Barnett 4800 Northwest Center, 90 South 7th St Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

William H. Smith, Jr., Chief Bureau Rate & Safety Evaluation Iowa Utilities Board Lucas State Office Building Des Moines, IA 50319

Illona A. Jeffcoat-Sacco, Director Public Utilities Division State of North Dakota 600 E. Boulevard Bismarch, North Dakota 58505-0480

Deborah S. Waldbaum Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel 1580 Logan Street, Suite 610 Denver, Colorado 80203

Donald L. Howell, II Deputy Attorney General Idaho Public Utilities Commission P. O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0074

Edward C. Addison, Director Division of Communications Virginia State Corporation Commission 1300 East Main Street - 9th Floor P. O. Box 1197 Richmond, VA 23218

William J. Janklow, Governor State of South Dakota Executive Office - State Capitol 500 East Capitol Pierre, South Dakota 57501-5070 Kenneth Stofferahn, Chairman James A. Burg, Vice Chairman South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 East Capitol Avenue Pierre, SD 57501

Mark Savage, Stefan Rosenzweig, Carmela Castellano Public Advocates, Inc. 1535 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Peter Arth, Jr., Edward W. O'Neil, Mary Mack Adu California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Steve Ellenbecker, Chairman Wyoming Public Service Commission 700 West 21st Street Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002

Kristin H. Lee, Commissioner Wyoming Public Service Commission 700 West 21st Street Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002

Terry D. Blackwood Billy Jack Gregg West Virginia Consumer Advocate 700 Union Building Charleston, West Virginia 25301

John G. Strand, Chairman David A. Svanda, Commissioner Michigan Public Service Commission 6545 Mercantile Way Lansing, Michigan 48909-7721

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Suite E-306 302 W. Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204

Oklahoma Corporation Commission P. O. Box 5200-2000 Oklahoma City, OK 73152-2000

Robert S. Tongren, Andrea M. Kelsey, David C. Bergman, Richard W. Pace, Karen J. Hardie Ohio Consumers Counsel 77 South High Street, 15th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43266-0550 Lawrence C. St. Branc, Secretary Gayle T. Kellner Louisiana Public Service Commission P. O. Box 91154 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-9154

Suzi Ray McClellan Laurie Pappas Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel Post Office Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326

National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates (NASUCA) c/o PA Office of Consumer Advocate Attn: Philip F. McClelland 555 Walnut Street 5th Floor, Forum Place Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

Doug Doughtty, Deputy Chairman Wyoming Public Service Commission 700 West 21st Street Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002

Maureen O. Helmer, General Counsel New York State Dept of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 1223-1350

Elizabeth A. Noel Office of the People's Counsel District of Columbia 1133 Fifteenth Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005-2710

Lisa M. Zaina Ken Johnson OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

David A. Beckett Colorado Public Utilities Commission 1580 Logan Street, Office Level 2 Denver, CO 80203

Gayle T. Kellner Louisiana Public Service Commission P. O. Box 91154 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-9154

Kevin J. Donnellan, Acting Director, Legislation and Public Policy
Bradley C. Stillman, Director, Telecommunications Policy
American Association of Retired Persons
601 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20049 Vicki Oswalt Director-Office of Policy Development Public Utility Commission of Texas Post Office Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326

Joan H. Smith Oregon Public Utility Commission 550 Capitol Street, N.E. Salem, OR 97310-1380

Bob Rowe, Commissioner Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue P. O. Box 202601 Helena, Montana 59620-2601

Maureen A. Scott, Assistant Counsel Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission P. O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Deonne Bruning Nebraska Public Service Commission 300 The Atrium Post Office Box 94927 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4927

The Honorable David Baker Commissioner Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington Street, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334-5701

Public Utility Commission of Oregon 550 Capitol Street, NE Salem, Oregon 97310-1380

Ronald J. Binz, Debra R. Berlyn John Windhausen, Jr. Competition Policy Institute 1156 15th Street, NW, Suite 310 Washington, DC 20005

Sarah A. Naumer Illinois Commerce Commission 160 N. LaSalle, Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois 60601

James Maret Office of Consumer Advocate Lucas State Office Building, 4th Floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Eric B. Witte Assistant General Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

B.B. Knowles, Director Utilities Division Georgia Public Service Commission 244 Washington Street, S.W. Atlanta, GA 30334-5701

Virginia J. Taylor Richard A. Elbrecht California Department of Consumer Affairs 400 R Street, Suite 3090 Sacramento, CA 95814-6200

Amy E. Dougherty Kentucky Public Service Commission Post Office Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Barry Payne Indiana Office of the Consumer Counsel 100 North Senate Avenue Room N501 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2208

Nancy C. Garrison Catherine O'Sullivan U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 3224 Washington, DC 20530-001

Lawrence D. Crocker, III Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia 717 14th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

John Rother, Esqure American Association of Retired Persons 601 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20049

Mike Travieso Office of People's Counsel 6th St. Paul Street, Suite 2102 Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Eric Swanson Office of Attorney General 445 Minnesota Street Suite 1200 WCL Tower St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2130 Anne Becker Office of Utility Consumer Counselor 100 North Senate Avenue, Rm. N501 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2208

Richard Hemstad, William R. Gillis Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604-4027

Regina McNeil, Richard A. Askoff National Exchange Carrier Association 80 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07981 Laurie Pappas Office of Public Utility Counsel 1701 N. Congress Avenue, 9-180 Post Office Box 12397 Austin, Texas 78711-2397

Christopher Klein Tennessee Regulatory Authority Staff 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

David L. Deming Senecom Voice Processing Systems 6 Blossomwood Court St. Louis, Missouri 63033-5202