April 1, 2019

Mr. Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

In accordance with Section 186.801, Florida Statutes, Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. hereby submits for electronic filing Seminole's 2019 Ten-Year Site Plan. Pursuant to Commission Staff's request, five (5) hard copies will also be provided.

Please do not hesitate to call me if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

3 any 6

Joseph D. Clay Supervisor of Resource Planning 813-739-1435 (office) jclay@seminole-electric.com

Enclosure

cc: J. Fuller L. Johnson



Ten-Year Site Plan 2019 – 2028 (Detail as of December 31, 2018) April 1, 2019

Submitted To: State of Florida Public Service Commission



| DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING FACILITIES | 1 |
|---|----|
| 1.1 Overview | 1 |
| 1.2 Existing Facilities | |
| 1.2.1 Owned Generation | |
| 1.2.2 Transmission | 5 |
| 1.3 Purchased Power Resources | 7 |
| FORECAST OF ELECTRIC DEMAND AND ENERGY CONSUMPTION | |
| 2.1 Energy Consumption and Number of Customers | |
| 2.2 Annual Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load | |
| 2.3 Monthly Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load | |
| 2.4 Fuel Requirements | |
| 2.5 Energy Sources by Fuel Type | |
| FORECASTING METHODS AND PROCEDURES | 24 |
| 3.1 Forecasting Methodology | |
| 3.1.1 Consumer Model | |
| 3.1.2 Energy Model | |
| 3.1.3 Peak Demand Model | |
| 3.1.4 Alternative-Scenario Model | |
| 3.1.5 Behind-the-Meter Solar | |
| 3.2 Load Forecast Data | |
| 3.2.1 Materials Reviewed and/or Employed | |
| 3.3 Significant Load Forecast Assumptions | |
| 3.3.1 Economic Assumptions | |
| 3.3.2 Weather Assumptions | |
| FORECAST OF FACILITIES REQUIREMENTS | |
| 4.1 Planned and Prospective Generating Facility Additions and Changes | |
| 4.2 Proposed Generating Facilities | |
| | ii |

Table of Contents



| 4.3 | Proposed Transmission Lines | . 39 |
|---------|---|------|
| OTHER] | PLANNING ASSUMPTIONS AND INFORMATION | . 40 |
| 5.1 | Transmission Reliability | . 40 |
| 5.2 | Plan Economics | . 41 |
| 5.3 | Fuel Price Forecast | . 41 |
| 5.3.1 | Coal | .41 |
| 5.3.2 | Fuel Oil | .42 |
| 5.3.3 | Natural Gas | .43 |
| 5.3.4 | Modeling of Fuel Sensitivity | .43 |
| 5.4 | Coal/Gas Price Differential | . 44 |
| 5.5 | Modeling of Generation Unit Performance | . 44 |
| 5.6 | Financial Assumptions | . 44 |
| 5.7 | Resource Planning Process | . 44 |
| 5.8 | Reliability Criteria | . 46 |
| 5.9 | DSM Programs | . 46 |
| 5.10 | Strategic Concerns | 50 |
| 5.11 | Procurement of Supply-Side Resources | 51 |
| 5.12 | Transmission Construction and Upgrade Plans | 51 |
| ENVIRO | NMENTAL AND LAND USE INFORMATION | . 52 |
| 6.1 | Potential Sites | . 52 |
| 6.1.1 | Gilchrist Site – Gilchrist County, Florida | . 52 |
| 6.2 | Preferred Sites | 53 |
| 6.2.1 | Seminole Generating Station Site (SGS) - Putnam County, Florida | . 53 |



INDEX OF REQUIRED SCHEDULES



| Schedule 4.1: 2-Year Forecast of Peak Dema & Net Energy for Load by Mo | nd nth: High Case |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Schedule 4.2: 2-Year Forecast of Peak Dema & Net Energy for Load by Mo | nd nth: Low Case |
| Schedule 5: Fuel Requirements for Semino | le Generating Stations |
| Schedule 6.1: Energy Sources (GWh) | |
| Schedule 6.2: Energy Sources (Percent) | |
| Schedule 7.1: Forecast of Capacity, Demand & Scheduled Maintenance at 7 | Time of Summer Peak |
| Schedule 7.2: Forecast of Capacity, Demand & Scheduled Maintenance at 7 | Time of Winter Peak |
| Schedule 8: Planned & Prospective Genera | ting Facillity Additions and Changes |
| Schedule 9: Status Report & Specifications | s of Proposed Generating Facilities |
| Schedule 10: Status Report & Specifications Transmission Lines | s of Proposed Associated |



INDEX OF REQUIRED MAPS


| Map | 1: Service Area1 |
|-----|---|
| Map | 2: Transmission Lines |
| Map | 3: Gilchrist Generating Station Site - U.S. Geological Survey Location Map |
| Map | 4: Seminole Generating Station – U.S. Geological Survey Location Map |
| Map | 5: Seminole Generating Station Proposed Facilities Layout |
| Map | 6: Seminole Generating Station and Adjacent Areas Land Uses |
| Map | 7: Seminole Generating Station Existing Land Use Map |
| Map | |



DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING FACILITIES

1.1 **Overview**

Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Seminole) is a generation and transmission cooperative responsible for meeting the electric power and energy needs of its nine distribution cooperative Members (Members). Member service areas are indicated on Map 1 below:







Seminole provides full requirements service (with limited exceptions) under wholesale power contracts with all of its Members. One exception relates to the ability of four of our Members to purchase small amounts of hydroelectric power allocated to them from the Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA). SEPA provides 26 MW or approximately 1% of the total energy required by all Members. Seminole's wholesale power contracts also permit each Member to own or lease renewable generation and/or peak shaving generation up to 5% of their load requirements based on each Member's average annual system peak demands for the prior three calendar years. Seminole serves the aggregate loads of its Members with a combination of owned and purchased power resources. As of December 31, 2018, Seminole had total summer capacity resources of approximately 3,900 MW consisting of owned, installed net capacity of 2,041 MW and the remaining capacity in firm purchased power. Additional information on Seminole's existing resources can be found in Schedule 1 and Table 1.2 below.



1.2 Existing Facilities

1.2.1 Owned Generation

Seminole's existing generating facilities include:

- Seminole Generating Station (SGS) Units 1 & 2 comprise a 1,329 MW (winter) coal-fired plant located in Putnam County near Palatka, Florida.
- Midulla Generating Station (MGS) Units 1–3 comprise a 576 MW (winter) gas-fired two-on-one combined cycle plant located in Hardee County, Florida; and,
- MGS Units 4–8 comprise a 310 MW (winter) peaking plant consisting of five twin-pack gas turbines.



| | 1 | 1 | | LXI | sung dei | lei atili y | | as of Deu | ember 31, Z | 010 | | 1 | |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------|
| Plant | Unit No. | Location | Unit Type | Fu | Fuel Fue Transport | | | Alt Fuel Com In- Days Svc Date | | | Gen. Max Nameplate | Net Capability (MW) | |
| | | | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Pri | Alt | Pri | Alt | Use | (Mo/Yr) | (Mo/Yr) | (MW) | Summer | Winter |
| MGS | 1-3 | Hardee County | CC | NG | DFO | PL | ТК | Unk | 01/02 | Unk | 626 | 511 | 576 |
| MGS | 4-8 | Hardee County | СТ | NG | DFO | PL | ТК | Unk | 12/06 | Unk | 310 | 270 | 310 |
| SGS | 1 | Putnam County | ST | BIT | N/A | RR | N/A | N/A | 02/84 | Unk | 735.9 | 626 | 664 |
| SGS | 2 | Putnam County | ST | BIT | N/A | RR | N/A | N/A | 12/84 | | 735.9 | 634 | 665 |
| | General | | | | Unk – Unknown N/A – Not applicable | | | | | | | | |
| Calcadu | Unit Type | | | Fuel Type | | | | Fuel Transportation | | | | | |
| CC – Combined Cycle | | BIT – Bituminous Coal | | | | PL – Pipeline | | | | | | | |
| | | CC – Comb | ined Cycl | е | NG – Na | Natural Gas | | | RR – Railroad | | | | |
| | | CT – Combi | ustion Tu | rbine | DFO – L | - Ultra low sulfur diesel | | | TK – Truck | | | | |
| | PV – Photovoltaic | | | | Sun – S | olar Enei | rgy | | | | | | |

Schedule 1 Existing Generating Facilities as of December 31, 2018

NOTE: Seminole is currently evaluating which of either SGS U1 or SGS U2 will be removed from service commensurate with the commissioning of the Seminole Combined Cycle Facility.



1.2.2 Transmission


Seminole serves its Members' load primarily in three transmission areas: Seminole Direct Serve (SDS) system, Duke Energy Florida (DEF) system, and Florida Power & Light (FPL) system. Seminole's existing transmission facilities consist of 226 circuit miles of 230 kV and 125 circuit miles of 69 kV lines. Seminole's facilities are interconnected to the grid at twenty-one (21) 230 kV transmission interconnections with the entities shown in Table 1.1.

| Table 1.1 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grid Interconnection | s with Other Entities | | | | | | |
| Voltage (kV) | Number of Interconnections | | | | | | |
| 230 | 7 | | | | | | |
| 230 | 7 | | | | | | |
| 230 | 1 | | | | | | |
| 230 | 2 | | | | | | |
| 230 | 1 | | | | | | |
| 230 | 3 | | | | | | |
| | Grid Interconnection Voltage (kV) 230 230 230 230 230 230 | | | | | | |

Note: This table describes physical facility interconnections, which do not necessarily constitute contractual interconnections for purposes of transmission service or interconnections between balancing areas.

Seminole contracts with other utilities for firm transmission service to serve Member loads that are imbedded in the balancing authority areas of other utilities. Map 2 below depicts Seminole's 230 kV transmission lines, including its interconnections with those entities identified in Table 1.1 above.





SEMINOLE'S BULK GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

Map 2



1.3 Purchased Power Resources

Table 1.2 below sets forth Seminole's purchased power resources.

| | | | | TABLE 1.2 | | | |
|--|-----------|------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| | | act Term | Contract Ca | ,,,, | Primary Fuel | Firm | |
| Seller | Begins | Ends | Summer | Winter | (if Any) | Capacity | Description |
| Hardee Power Partners | 1/1/2013 | 12/31/2032 | 290 | 356 | NG | YES | Hardee CC1 & CT 2B |
| Hardee Power Partners | 1/1/2013 | 12/31/2032 | 70 | 89 | NG | NO ² | Hardee CT 2A |
| Oleander Power Project | 1/1/2010 | 12/31/2021 | 459 | 546 | NG | YES | Oleander CTs 2-4 |
| Florida Power & Light | 6/1/2014 | 5/31/2021 | 200 | 200 | System⁵ | YES | System Intermediate |
| Duke Energy Florida | 1/1/2014 | 12/31/2020 | 100 | 600 | System⁵ | YES | System Peaking |
| Duke Energy Florida | 1/1/2014 | 12/31/2020 | 150 | 150 | System⁵ | YES | System Intermediate |
| Duke Energy Florida | 6/1/2016 | 12/31/2024 | 200-500 | 200-500 | System⁵ | YES | System Intermediate |
| Duke Energy Florida | 1/1/2021 | 3/31/2027 | 0 | 50-600 | System⁵ | YES | System Peaking |
| Duke Energy Florida | 1/1/2021 | 12/31/2030 | 10-450 | | System⁵ | YES | System Intermediate |
| Duke Energy Florida | 1/1/2021 | 12/31/2035 | 10-4 | 450 | System⁵ | YES | System Peaking |
| Farm Credit Leasing Services Corporations | 8/1/2017 | 8/31/2027 | 2.2 ³ | 2.2 ³ | SUN | YES | MGS Solar Facility |
| Shady Hills Energy Center | 12/1/2021 | 11/30/2051 | 546 | 575 | NG | YES | New Combined Cycle Facil |
| Shady Hills Power Company | 6/1/2024 | 5/31/2039 | 328 | 352 | NG | YES | Shady Hills CTs 2-3 |
| Southern Company Services | 6/1/2021 | 5/31/2026 | 100-150 | 100-150 | UNK | YES | System Intermediate |
| Coronal Solar | 1/1/2022 | 12/31/2041 | 40 ⁴ | 40 ⁴ | SUN | YES | Solar Facility |
| Telogia Power, LLC | 7/1/2009 | 5/31/2020 | 13 | 13 | WDS | YES | Telogia Facility |
| Timberline Energy, LLC | 2/1/2008 | 3/31/2020 | 1.6 | 1.6 | LFG | YES | Timberline Landfill |
| Hillsborough County , Florida | 3/1/2010 | 2/28/2025 | 38 | 38 | MSW | YES | Hillsborough WTE |
| City of Tampa, Florida | 8/1/2011 | 7/31/2026 | 20 | 20 | MSW | YES | McKay Bay WTE |
| Individual SECI Member Cooperatives | 1/1/2000 | Evergreen | 124.43 | 124.43 | DFO | YES | Member Distributed Generation |

Notes: 1) Seminole Electric Cooperative may sell a portion of the renewable energy credits associated with its renewable generation to third parties. The third parties can use the credits to meet mandatory or voluntary renewable requirements.

2) The capacity for Hardee CT2A is not being recognized as 'firm' to reflect current transmission limitations. This will be re-evaluated pending the results of ongoing transmission studies.

3) MGS Solar Unit 2.2 MW solar nameplate rating. Dispatch subject to 0.05% yearly degradation. Seminole assumes 32% capacity applies towards summer reserve margin and 0% capacity towards winter reserve margin.

4) Coronal Solar Center 40 MW solar nameplate rating. Seminole assumes 100% capacity towards summer reserve margin and 0% capacity towards winter reserve margin.

5) System PPAs are not tied to one specific resource or fuel type although they are primarily natural gas.



FORECAST OF ELECTRIC DEMAND AND ENERGY CONSUMPTION

2.1 Energy Consumption and Number of Customers

Residential consumer growth is projected to increase at an average annual rate of 1.4 percent from 2019 through 2028. Similarly, commercial consumer growth is projected to increase at an average annual rate of 1.4 percent during the same period. Residential energy sales are projected to grow at an average annual rate of 1.0 percent, and commercial energy sales are projected to grow at an average annual rate of 1.6 percent from 2019 through 2028. Schedules 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 below show the aggregate number of customers and energy consumption by customer classification of Seminole's nine Members, including other sales and purchases.



Schedule 2.1

History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class

| | | Residential | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Year | Estimated Population Served by Members | People per Household | GWh | Average Number of Customers | Average Consumption Per Customer (kWh) | |
| 2009 | 1,748,408 | 2.15 | 11,293 | 811,767 | 13,912 | |
| 2010 | 1,692,257 | 2.22 | 11,369 | 761,993 | 14,920 | |
| 2011 | 1,716,516 | 2.24 | 10,412 | 765,279 | 13,605 | |
| 2012 | 1,723,920 | 2.24 | 9,979 | 769,591 | 12,967 | |
| 2013 | 1,749,359 | 2.25 | 10,018 | 777,493 | 12,885 | |
| 2014 | 1,636,117 | 2.47 | 8,808 | 662,626 | 13,293 | |
| 2015 | 1,669,738 | 2.48 | 9,068 | 673,215 | 13,470 | |
| 2016 | 1,702,834 | 2.49 | 9,310 | 683,672 | 13,618 | |
| 2017 | 1,721,244 | 2.48 | 9,097 | 692,699 | 13,133 | |
| 2018 | 1,742,578 | 2.48 | 9,645 | 703,331 | 13,713 | |
| 2019 | 1,761,524 | 2.46 | 9,519 | 715,591 | 13,302 | |
| 2020 | 1,781,411 | 2.45 | 9,588 | 728,160 | 13,167 | |
| 2021 | 1,802,165 | 2.43 | 9,673 | 740,249 | 13,067 | |
| 2022 | 1,819,676 | 2.42 | 9,768 | 751,259 | 13,002 | |
| 2023 | 1,836,477 | 2.41 | 9,881 | 761,673 | 12,973 | |
| 2024 | 1,853,405 | 2.40 | 9,992 | 771,840 | 12,946 | |
| 2025 | 1,870,123 | 2.39 | 10,108 | 781,817 | 12,929 | |
| 2026 | 1,887,097 | 2.38 | 10,214 | 791,629 | 12,903 | |
| 2027 | 1,904,463 | 2.38 | 10,334 | 801,211 | 12,898 | |
| 2028 | 1,921,950 | 2.37 | 10,447 | 810,526 | 12,889 | |

Notes: 1) 2019 Sales include actual data for January.

2) Actual value for 2013 and prior includes Lee County Electric Cooperative

3) Includes Sales from SEPA



Schedule 2.2

History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class

| | | Commercial ¹ | | | |
|-------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Year | GWh | Average Number of Customers | Average Consumption Per Customer (kWh) | Other Sales (GWh) ² | Total Member Sales to Ultimate Consumers (GWh) ³ |
| 2009 | 4,776 | 84,318 | 56,643 | 167 | 16,236 |
| 2010 | 4,525 | 78,788 | 57,433 | 158 | 16,052 |
| 2011 | 4,366 | 78,828 | 55,386 | 160 | 14,938 |
| 2012 | 4,456 | 80,598 | 55,287 | 164 | 14,599 |
| 2013 | 4,482 | 82,302 | 54,458 | 166 | 14,666 |
| 2014 | 4,001 | 72,632 | 55,086 | 151 | 12,960 |
| 2015 | 4,155 | 73,290 | 56,689 | 151 | 13,374 |
| 2016 | 4,311 | 74,411 | 57,935 | 152 | 13,773 |
| 2017 | 4,322 | 76,118 | 56,780 | 144 | 13,563 |
| 2018 | 4,445 | 78,044 | 56,955 | 145 | 14,235 |
| 2019 | 4,473 | 79,168 | 56,500 | 125 | 14,117 |
| 2020 | 4,542 | 80,376 | 56,509 | 125 | 14,255 |
| 2021 | 4,633 | 81,544 | 56,816 | 125 | 14,431 |
| 2022 | 4,706 | 82,747 | 56,872 | 126 | 14,600 |
| 2023 | 4,779 | 83,934 | 56,938 | 127 | 14,787 |
| 2024 | 4,852 | 85,102 | 57,014 | 127 | 14,971 |
| 2025 | 4,926 | 86,237 | 57,122 | 128 | 15,162 |
| 2026 | 5,000 | 87,342 | 57,246 | 128 | 15,342 |
| 2027 | 5,074 | 88,409 | 57,392 | 129 | 15,537 |
| 2028 | 5,145 | 89,452 | 57,517 | 129 | 15,721 |
| NOTEC | 1) Includes Indus | strial and Interrupt | ible Custeman | | |

NOTES: 1) Includes Industrial and Interruptible Customers.

2) Includes Lighting Customers.

3) Excludes Sales for Resale.

4) 2019 Sales include actual data for January.

5) Actual value for 2013 and prior includes Lee County Electric Cooperative.

6) Includes Sales from SEPA.



Schedule 2.3

History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class

| Year | Sales for Resale (GWh) | Utility Use & Losses Less SEPA (GWh) | Net Energy for Load (GWh) | Other Customers | Total Number of Consumers (GWh) |
|------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2009 | 0 | 1,217 | 17,453 | 5,036 | 901,121 |
| 2010 | 0 | 1,294 | 17,346 | 4,956 | 845,737 |
| 2011 | 157 | 942 | 16,037 | 4,954 | 849,061 |
| 2012 | 134 | 1,036 | 15,769 | 4,818 | 855,007 |
| 2013 | 137 | 1,009 | 15,812 | 5,185 | 864,980 |
| 2014 | 170 | 724 | 13,854 | 5,308 | 740,566 |
| 2015 | 16 | 714 | 14,104 | 5,343 | 751,848 |
| 2016 | 56 | 642 | 14,471 | 5,384 | 763,468 |
| 2017 | 64 | 698 | 14,325 | 5,539 | 774,356 |
| 2018 | 40 | 637 | 14,912 | 5,680 | 787,055 |
| 2019 | 23 | 676 | 14,816 | 5,704 | 800,463 |
| 2020 | 26 | 658 | 14,939 | 5,731 | 814,267 |
| 2021 | 7 | 677 | 15,115 | 5,766 | 827,559 |
| 2022 | 0 | 686 | 15,286 | 5,792 | 839,798 |
| 2023 | 0 | 698 | 15,485 | 5,811 | 851,418 |
| 2024 | 0 | 723 | 15,694 | 5,823 | 862,765 |
| 2025 | 0 | 737 | 15,899 | 5,836 | 873,890 |
| 2026 | 0 | 745 | 16,087 | 5,848 | 884,819 |
| 2027 | 0 | 759 | 16,296 | 5,857 | 895,477 |
| 2028 | 0 | 768 | 16,489 | 5,869 | 905,847 |

Notes: 1)

1) 2019 Sales include actual data for January.

2) Actual value for 2013 and prior includes Lee County Electric Cooperative

3) Includes Sales from SEPA

2.2 Annual Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load

Winter net firm demand is projected to increase at an average annual rate of 1.4 percent from the 2019/2020 season through the 2028/2029 season. Summer net firm demand is estimated to increase by 1.2 percent from 2019 through 2028. Net Energy for Load is



projected to grow at an average annual rate of 1.2 percent from 2019 through 2028. Schedules 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 provide Seminole's summer peak demand, winter peak demand, and net energy for load, respectively.

| Schedule 3.1 |
|---|
| History and Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW) |

| | | | | | | Residential | | Com | mercial | |
|-------|-------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------|------------------|---------|----------|
| | | | | Interruptible | Distributed | Load | Cons. | Load | Cons. | Net Firm |
| Year | Total | Wholesale | Retail | Load ¹ | Generation ² | Mgmt. ³ | | Mgmt. | | Demand |
| 2009 | 3,987 | 3,987 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 101 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,824 |
| 2010 | 3,714 | 3,714 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 99 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,548 |
| 2011 | 3,829 | 3,829 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 97 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,653 |
| 2012 | 3,525 | 3,525 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,428 |
| 2013 | 3,665 | 3,665 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,566 |
| 2014 | 3,155 | 3,155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,088 |
| 2015 | 3,072 | 3,072 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,021 |
| 2016 | 3,299 | 3,299 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,243 |
| 2017 | 3,187 | 3,187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | N/A | 19 | N/A | 3,114 |
| 2018 | 3,196 | 3,196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,122 |
| 2019 | 3,332 | 3,332 | 0 | 35 | 67 | 59 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,151 |
| 2020 | 3,377 | 3,377 | 0 | 37 | 67 | 60 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,193 |
| 2021 | 3,409 | 3,409 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 61 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,225 |
| 2022 | 3,451 | 3,451 | 0 | 37 | 67 | 62 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,265 |
| 2023 | 3,494 | 3,494 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 62 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,309 |
| 2024 | 3,541 | 3,541 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 63 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,355 |
| 2025 | 3,583 | 3,583 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 64 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,396 |
| 2026 | 3,624 | 3,624 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 66 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,435 |
| 2027 | 3,665 | 3,665 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 66 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,476 |
| 2028 | 3,705 | 3,705 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 67 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,515 |
| NOTEC | 1) Evaluate | a whalacala in | torrupt | ible nurchacoc | | | | | | |

NOTES: 1) Excludes wholesale interruptible purchases.

2) Distributed generation reflects customer-owned self-service generation.

3) Actual values for 2013 and prior includes Lee County Electric Cooperative.

4) Reduced demands associated with Member Cooperative coincident demand billing are not reflected, although reductions are reflected in net firm demand.



Schedule 3.1.1

| | | | | | | Resid | dential | Com | mercial | |
|------|-------|-----------|--------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|----------|
| | | | | Interruptible | Distributed | Load | Cons. | Load | Cons. | Net Firm |
| Year | Total | Wholesale | Retail | Load ¹ | Generation ² | Mgmt. | | Mgmt. | | Demand |
| 2019 | 3,439 | 3,439 | 0 | 35 | 67 | 59 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,258 |
| 2020 | 3,487 | 3,487 | 0 | 37 | 67 | 60 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,303 |
| 2021 | 3,518 | 3,518 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 61 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,334 |
| 2022 | 3,561 | 3,561 | 0 | 37 | 67 | 62 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,375 |
| 2023 | 3,603 | 3,603 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 62 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,418 |
| 2024 | 3,651 | 3,651 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 63 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,465 |
| 2025 | 3,693 | 3,693 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 64 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,506 |
| 2026 | 3,737 | 3,737 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 66 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,548 |
| 2027 | 3,776 | 3,776 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 66 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,587 |
| 2028 | 3,817 | 3,817 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 67 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,627 |

High Case Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW)

NOTES: 1) Excludes wholesale interruptible purchases

2) Distributed generation reflects customer-owned self-service generation.

Schedule 3.1.2 Low Case Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW)

| | | | | | | Resid | dential | Com | mercial | _ |
|------|-------|-----------|--------|------------------------------------|--|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|--------------------|
| Year | Total | Wholesale | Retail | Interruptible Load ¹ | Distributed Generation ² | Load Mgmt. | Cons. | Load Mgmt. | Cons. | Net Firm Demand |
| 2019 | 3,193 | 3,193 | 0 | 35 | 67 | 59 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,012 |
| 2020 | 3,240 | 3,240 | 0 | 37 | 67 | 60 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,056 |
| 2021 | 3,271 | 3,271 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 61 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,087 |
| 2022 | 3,314 | 3,314 | 0 | 37 | 67 | 62 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,128 |
| 2023 | 3,354 | 3,354 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 62 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,169 |
| 2024 | 3,401 | 3,401 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 63 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,215 |
| 2025 | 3,443 | 3,443 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 64 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,256 |
| 2026 | 3,484 | 3,484 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 66 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,295 |
| 2027 | 3,524 | 3,524 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 66 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,335 |
| 2028 | 3,564 | 3,564 | 0 | 36 | 67 | 67 | N/A | 20 | N/A | 3,374 |

NOTES: 1) Excludes wholesale interruptible purchases

2) Distributed generation reflects customer-owned self-service generation.



Schedule 3.2

History and Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW)

| | | | | | | Resid | lential | Com | mercial | _ |
|---------|-------|-----------|--------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|----------|
| | | | | Interruptible | Distributed | Load | Cons. | Load | Cons. | Net Firm |
| Year | Total | Wholesale | Retail | Load ¹ | Generation ² | Mgmt. ³ | | Mgmt. | | Demand |
| 2009-10 | 5,263 | 5,263 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 152 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 5,047 |
| 2010-11 | 4,476 | 4,476 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 106 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 4,315 |
| 2011-12 | 4,118 | 4,118 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 134 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,918 |
| 2012-13 | 3,839 | 3,839 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,707 |
| 2013-14 | 3,333 | 3,333 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | N/A | N/A ⁴ | N/A | 3,240 |
| 2014-15 | 3,672 | 3,672 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,593 |
| 2015-16 | 3,377 | 3,377 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | N/A | 14 | N/A | 3,307 |
| 2016-17 | 3,069 | 3,069 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | N/A | 14 | N/A | 3,018 |
| 2017-18 | 4,024 | 4,024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | N/A | 17 | N/A | 3,939 |
| 2018-19 | 3,056 | 3,056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 2,986 |
| 2019-20 | 3,704 | 3,704 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 65 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,510 |
| 2020-21 | 3,763 | 3,763 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 67 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,567 |
| 2021-22 | 3,806 | 3,806 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 68 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,609 |
| 2022-23 | 3,859 | 3,859 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 68 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,662 |
| 2023-24 | 3,908 | 3,908 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 68 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,711 |
| 2024-25 | 3,971 | 3,971 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 71 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,771 |
| 2025-26 | 4,020 | 4,020 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 71 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,820 |
| 2026-27 | 4,073 | 4,073 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 71 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,873 |
| 2027-28 | 4,120 | 4,120 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 72 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,919 |
| 2028-29 | 4,169 | 4,169 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 74 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,966 |

NOTES: 1) Excludes wholesale interruptible purchases.

2) Distributed generation reflects customer-owned self-service generation.

3) Actual values for 2013 and prior includes Lee County Electric Cooperative.

4) Reduced demands associated with Member Cooperative coincident demand billing are not reflected, although reductions are reflected in net firm demand.



Schedule 3.2.1

| | | | | | | Resid | dential | Com | mercial | |
|---------|-------|-----------|--------|------------------------------------|--|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|--------------------|
| Year | Total | Wholesale | Retail | Interruptible Load ¹ | Distributed Generation ² | Load Mgmt. | Cons. | Load Mgmt. | Cons. | Net Firm Demand |
| 2019-20 | 4,202 | 4,202 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 65 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,008 |
| 2020-21 | 4,257 | 4,257 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 67 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,061 |
| 2021-22 | 4,293 | 4,293 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 68 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,096 |
| 2022-23 | 4,343 | 4,343 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 68 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,146 |
| 2023-24 | 4,390 | 4,390 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 68 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,193 |
| 2024-25 | 4,449 | 4,449 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 71 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,249 |
| 2025-26 | 4,495 | 4,495 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 71 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,295 |
| 2026-27 | 4,544 | 4,544 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 71 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,344 |
| 2027-28 | 4,589 | 4,589 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 72 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,388 |
| 2028-29 | 4,625 | 4,625 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 74 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 4,422 |

High Case Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW)

NOTES: 1) Excludes wholesale interruptible purchases

2) Distributed generation reflects customer-owned self-service generation.

Schedule 3.2.2 Low Case Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW)

| | | | | | | Resid | lential | Com | mercial | _ |
|---------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|--------------------|
| Year | Total | Wholesale | Retail | Interruptible Load | Distributed Generation | Load Mgmt. | Cons. | Load Mgmt. | Cons. | Net Firm Demand |
| 2019-20 | 3,322 | 3,322 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 65 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,128 |
| 2020-21 | 3,385 | 3,385 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 67 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,189 |
| 2021-22 | 3,430 | 3,430 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 68 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,233 |
| 2022-23 | 3,487 | 3,487 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 68 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,290 |
| 2023-24 | 3,539 | 3,539 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 68 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,342 |
| 2024-25 | 3,602 | 3,602 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 71 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,402 |
| 2025-26 | 3,655 | 3,655 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 71 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,455 |
| 2026-27 | 3,711 | 3,711 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 71 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,511 |
| 2027-28 | 3,760 | 3,760 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 72 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,559 |
| 2028-29 | 3,800 | 3,800 | 0 | 44 | 67 | 74 | N/A | 18 | N/A | 3,597 |

NOTES: 1) Excludes wholesale interruptible purchases

2) Distributed generation reflects customer-owned self-service generation.



Schedule 3.3

History and Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load (GWh)

| | | | Conservation | | | Total Sales | Utility Use & | | |
|---|------|--------|--------------|------------|--------|-----------------|---------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | Residential | Commercial | - | Including Sales | Losses Less | Net Energy | Load Factor |
| ` | Year | Total | | | Retail | for Resale | SEPA | for Load | % |
| 2 | 2009 | 17,453 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 16,236 | 1,217 | 17,453 | 42.1 |
| 2 | 2010 | 17,346 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 16,052 | 1,294 | 17,346 | 39.2 |
| 2 | 2011 | 16,037 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,095 | 942 | 16,037 | 46.7 |
| 2 | 2012 | 15,769 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,733 | 1,036 | 15,769 | 45.8 |
| 2 | 2013 | 15,812 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,803 | 1,009 | 15,812 | 45.7 |
| 2 | 2014 | 13,854 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 13,130 | 724 | 13,854 | 44.3 |
| 2 | 2015 | 14,104 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 13,390 | 714 | 14,104 | 48.7 |
| 2 | 2016 | 14,471 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 13,829 | 642 | 14,471 | 50.0 |
| 2 | 2017 | 14,325 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 13,627 | 698 | 14,325 | 52.5 |
| 2 | 2018 | 14,912 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,275 | 637 | 14,912 | 43.2 |
| 2 | 2019 | 14,816 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,140 | 676 | 14,816 | 53.7 |
| 2 | 2020 | 14,939 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,281 | 658 | 14,939 | 48.6 |
| 2 | 2021 | 15,115 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,438 | 677 | 15,115 | 48.4 |
| 2 | 2022 | 15,286 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,600 | 686 | 15,286 | 48.4 |
| 2 | 2023 | 15,485 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,787 | 698 | 15,485 | 48.3 |
| 2 | 2024 | 15,694 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,971 | 723 | 15,694 | 48.3 |
| 2 | 2025 | 15,899 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,162 | 737 | 15,899 | 48.1 |
| 2 | 2026 | 16,087 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,342 | 745 | 16,087 | 48.1 |
| 2 | 2027 | 16,296 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,537 | 759 | 16,296 | 48.0 |
| 2 | 2028 | 16,489 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,721 | 768 | 16,489 | 48.0 |

NOTES:

1) Actual value for 2013 and prior includes Lee County Electric Cooperative.

2) 2019 includes actual data for January.



Schedule 3.3.1

| | | Cons | ervation | | Total Sales | Utility Use & | Utility Use & | | |
|------|--------|-------------|------------|--------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| Year | Total | Residential | Commercial | Retail | Including Sales for Resale | Losses Less SEPA | Net Energy for Load | Load Factor % | |
| 2019 | 15,817 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,141 | 676 | 15,817 | 55.4 | |
| 2020 | 16,127 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,469 | 658 | 16,127 | 45.9 | |
| 2021 | 16,300 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,623 | 677 | 16,300 | 45.8 | |
| 2022 | 16,474 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,788 | 686 | 16,474 | 45.9 | |
| 2023 | 16,669 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 15,971 | 698 | 16,669 | 45.9 | |
| 2024 | 16,879 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 16,156 | 723 | 16,879 | 46.0 | |
| 2025 | 17,082 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 16,345 | 737 | 17,082 | 45.9 | |
| 2026 | 17,271 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 16,526 | 745 | 17,271 | 45.9 | |
| 2027 | 17,476 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 16,717 | 759 | 17,476 | 45.9 | |
| 2028 | 17,675 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 16,907 | 768 | 17,675 | 46.0 | |

High Case of Annual Net Energy for Load (GWh)

Notes: 1) 2019 includes actual data for January.

| Schedule 3.3.2 |
|---|
| Low Case Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load (GWh) |

| | | Cons | ervation | | Total Sales | Utility Use & | | |
|------|--------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Year | Total | Residential | Commercial | - Retail | Including Sales for Resale | Losses Less SEPA | Net Energy for Load | Load Factor % |
| 2019 | 14,120 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 13,444 | 676 | 14,120 | 53.5 |
| 2020 | 14,126 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 13,468 | 658 | 14,126 | 51.6 |
| 2021 | 14,300 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 13,623 | 677 | 14,300 | 51.2 |
| 2022 | 14,473 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 13,787 | 686 | 14,473 | 51.1 |
| 2023 | 14,668 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 13,970 | 698 | 14,668 | 50.9 |
| 2024 | 14,878 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,155 | 723 | 14,878 | 50.8 |
| 2025 | 15,079 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,342 | 737 | 15,079 | 50.6 |
| 2026 | 15,273 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,528 | 745 | 15,273 | 50.5 |
| 2027 | 15,479 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,720 | 759 | 15,479 | 50.3 |
| 2028 | 15,675 | N/A | N/A | 0 | 14,907 | 768 | 15,675 | 50.3 |

Notes:

1) 2019 includes actual data for January.



2.3 Monthly Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load

Schedule 4.1-4.3 shows peak demand and net energy actuals for load by month for 2018 and January 2019 and forecasts thereafter.

Schedule 4

Previous Year and 2-Year Forecast of Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load by Month

| | 2018 A | ctual | 2019 Actual | / Forecast | 2020 For | ecast |
|-----------|----------|--------|-------------|------------|----------|--------|
| - | Net Firm | | Net Firm | | Net Firm | |
| | Demand | NEL | Demand | NEL | Demand | NEL |
| Month | (MW) | (GWh) | (MW) | (GWh) | (MW) | (GWh) |
| January | 3,939 | 1,410 | 2,986 | 1,217 | 3,510 | 1,202 |
| February | 2,247 | 949 | 3,055 | 1,045 | 3,104 | 1,055 |
| March | 2,474 | 1,047 | 2,419 | 1,063 | 2,440 | 1,075 |
| April | 2,281 | 1,021 | 2,467 | 1,074 | 2,492 | 1,087 |
| May | 2,782 | 1,215 | 2,878 | 1,309 | 2,900 | 1,322 |
| June | 3,122 | 1,416 | 2,966 | 1,386 | 3,000 | 1,400 |
| July | 2,983 | 1,441 | 3,038 | 1,492 | 3,076 | 1,506 |
| August | 3,078 | 1,501 | 3,151 | 1,510 | 3,193 | 1,523 |
| September | 3,107 | 1,470 | 2,910 | 1,373 | 2,952 | 1,387 |
| October | 2,931 | 1,261 | 2,622 | 1,165 | 2,656 | 1,178 |
| November | 2,492 | 1,056 | 2,439 | 1,028 | 2,471 | 1,040 |
| December | 2,915 | 1,125 | 2,775 | 1,154 | 2,812 | 1,164 |
| ANNUAL | | 14,912 | | 14,816 | | 14,939 |

NOTE: Peak demand for January 2019 is actual.



Schedule 4.1

| _ | 2018 Actual | | 2019 Actual / Forecast | | 2020 For | ecast |
|-----------|-------------|-------|------------------------|--------|----------|--------|
| | Net Firm | | Net Firm | | Net Firm | |
| | Demand | NEL | Demand | NEL | Demand | NEL |
| Month | (MW) | (GWh) | (MW) | (GWh) | (MW) | (GWh) |
| January | | | 2,986 | 1,217 | 4,008 | 1,389 |
| February | | | 3,286 | 1,128 | 3,336 | 1,139 |
| March | | | 2,690 | 1,168 | 2,709 | 1,180 |
| April | | | 2,655 | 1,172 | 2,680 | 1,185 |
| May | | | 3,040 | 1,376 | 3,061 | 1,389 |
| June | | | 3,139 | 1,475 | 3,173 | 1,488 |
| July | | | 3,193 | 1,567 | 3,232 | 1,582 |
| August | | | 3,258 | 1,569 | 3,303 | 1,582 |
| September | | | 3,006 | 1,409 | 3,047 | 1,422 |
| October | | | 2,845 | 1,272 | 2,879 | 1,285 |
| November | | | 2,633 | 1,126 | 2,666 | 1,138 |
| December | | | 3,218 | 1,338 | 3,255 | 1,348 |
| ANNUAL | | | | 15,817 | | 16,127 |

2-Year High Case Forecast of Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load by Month

ANNUAL

NOTE: Peak demand for January 2019 is actual.

Schedule 4.2

2-Year Low Case Forecast of Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load by Month

| | 2018 A | ctual | 2019 Actual | / Forecast | 2020 Forecast | | | | |
|-----------|----------|-------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------|--|--|--|
| _ | Net Firm | | Net Firm | | Net Firm | | | | |
| | Demand | NEL | Demand | NEL | Demand | NEL | | | |
| Month | (MW) | (GWh) | (MW) | (GWh) | (MW) | (GWh) | | | |
| January | | | 2,986 | 1,217 | 3,128 | 1,085 | | | |
| February | | | 2,825 | 973 | 2,876 | 983 | | | |
| March | | | 2,362 | 1,021 | 2,383 | 1,033 | | | |
| April | | | 2,405 | 1,040 | 2,430 | 1,053 | | | |
| Мау | | | 2,630 | 1,207 | 2,651 | 1,221 | | | |
| June | | | 2,818 | 1,325 | 2,852 | 1,339 | | | |
| July | | | 2,896 | 1,428 | 2,934 | 1,442 | | | |
| August | | | 3,012 | 1,423 | 3,056 | 1,436 | | | |
| September | | | 2,767 | 1,322 | 2,807 | 1,335 | | | |
| October | | | 2,463 | 1,099 | 2,497 | 1,112 | | | |
| November | | | 2,376 | 993 | 2,408 | 1,004 | | | |
| December | | | 2,577 | 1,072 | 2,616 | 1,083 | | | |
| ANNUAL | | | | 14,120 | | 14,126 | | | |

ANNUAL

NOTE: Peak demand for January 2019 is actual.



2.4 Fuel Requirements

Seminole's coal, oil, and natural gas requirements for owned and future generating units are shown on Schedule 5 below:

| Actual & Dase Case Fider Requirements For Serial | | | | | | | | Forecast | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Fuel Requirements | Units | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | | |
| Nuclear | | Trillion BTU | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Coal | | 1000 Tons | 3,081 | 3,159 | 3,018 | 2,956 | 2,756 | 2,393 | 1,068 | 1,173 | 1,181 | 1,204 | 1,206 | 1,203 | |
| | Total | 1000 BBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Residual | Steam | 1000 BBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Residual | CC | 1000 BBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | СТ | 1000 BBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Total | 1000 BBL | 30 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 28 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | |
| Distillato | Steam | 1000 BBL | 30 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | |
| Distillate | CC | 1000 BBL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | |
| | СТ | 1000 BBL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Total | 1000 MCF | 25,635 | 27,572 | 28,336 | 31,542 | 31,843 | 27,482 | 57,684 | 56,434 | 59,133 | 60,861 | 62,065 | 63,587 | |
| Natural Cao | Steam | 1000 MCF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Natural Gas | CC | 1000 MCF | 23,216 | 25,188 | 27,777 | 31,318 | 30,707 | 27,137 | 57,547 | 56,333 | 58,880 | 60,479 | 61,643 | 63,178 | |
| | СТ | 1000 MCF | 2,419 | 2,384 | 559 | 224 | 1,136 | 345 | 137 | 101 | 253 | 382 | 422 | 409 | |

Schedule 5 Actual & Base Case Fuel Requirements For Seminole Generating Resources

NOTES: 1) Above fuel is for existing and future owned generating resources (excludes purchased power contracts).

2) Totals may not add due to rounding.



2.5 Energy Sources by Fuel Type

Seminole's base case total system energy sources in GWh and percent for each fuel type are shown on Schedules 6.1 and 6.2, respectively, on the following pages. Seminole's additional requirements for capacity beyond 2021 are assumed to be from resources with natural gas as the primary fuel.



Schedule 6.1

Energy Sources (GWh)

| | Actual | | | | | | | Forecast | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Energy Sources U | | | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
| Inter-Regional Interchange | | GWh | - | - | - | - | 158 | 135 | 31 | 28 | 40 | 20 | - | - |
| Nuclear | | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Coal | | GWh | 7,508 | 7,599 | 7,426 | 7,208 | 6,630 | 5,615 | 2,517 | 2,757 | 2,785 | 2,842 | 2,847 | 2,839 |
| | Total | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Residual | Steam | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kesiuuai | CC | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | СТ | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| | Total | GWh | 17 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Distillato | Steam | GWh | 17 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Distillate | CC | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| | СТ | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Total | GWh | 3,299 | 3,619 | 4,052 | 4,518 | 4,501 | 3,936 | 8,773 | 8,602 | 8,992 | 9,222 | 9,416 | 9,603 |
| Natural Gas | Steam | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Natural Gas | CC | GWh | 3,084 | 3,416 | 4,007 | 4,500 | 4,412 | 3,909 | 8,762 | 8,594 | 8,972 | 9,191 | 9,382 | 9,570 |
| | СТ | GWh | 215 | 203 | 45 | 18 | 89 | 27 | 11 | 8 | 20 | 31 | 34 | 33 |
| NUG | | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Renewables * | | GWh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other | | GWh | 3,501 | 3,674 | 3,318 | 3,193 | 3,808 | 5,584 | 4,152 | 4,297 | 4,072 | 3,994 | 4,023 | 4,037 |
| Firm Interchange Renewables | Biomass | GWh | 90 | 88 | 99 | 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Firm Interchange Renewables Landfill Gas | | GWh | 82 | 27 | 13 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Firm Interchange Renewables MSW | | GWh | 408 | 492 | 422 | 422 | 421 | 420 | 421 | 422 | 180 | 85 | - | - |
| Firm Interchange Base 0 | | GWh | 20 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Firm Interchange Intermediate | | GWh | 2,816 | 2,904 | 2,690 | 2,670 | 3,233 | 5,045 | 3,615 | 3,758 | 3,766 | 3,767 | 3,877 | 3,882 |
| Firm Interchange Peaking | | GWh | 84 | 136 | 91 | 54 | 151 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 31 | 35 | 44 |
| Non-Firm Interchange Renewables Solar GV | | GWh | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 |
| Net Energy for Load GW | | GWh | 14,325 | 14,912 | 14,816 | 14,939 | 15,115 | 15,286 | 15,485 | 15,694 | 15,899 | 16,087 | 16,296 | 16,489 |

NOTES: 1) Net interchange, unit power purchases and DEF and FPL system purchases are included under source fuel categories.

2) Totals may not add due to rounding.

3) Seminole Electric Cooperative may sell a portion of the renewable energy credits associated with its renewable generation to third parties. The third parties can use the credits to meet mandatory or voluntary renewable requirements.



Schedule 6.2

Energy Sources (Percent)

| | Actual | | | | | | Forecast | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Energy Sources | Units | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | |
| Inter-Regional Interchange | | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.0% | 0.9% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Nuclear | | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Coal | | GWh | 52.4% | 51.0% | 50.1% | 48.2% | 43.9% | 36.7% | 16.3% | 17.6% | 17.5% | 17.7% | 17.5% | 17.2% |
| | Total | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Residual | Steam | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Residual | CC | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | СТ | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | Total | GWh | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| Distillate | Steam | GWh | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Distillate | CC | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | СТ | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | Total | GWh | 23.0% | 24.3% | 27.3% | 30.2% | 29.8% | 25.7% | 56.7% | 54.8% | 56.6% | 57.3% | 57.8% | 58.2% |
| Natural Gas | Steam | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Natulai Gas | CC | GWh | 21.5% | 22.9% | 27.0% | 30.1% | 29.2% | 25.6% | 56.6% | 54.8% | 56.4% | 57.1% | 57.6% | 58.0% |
| | СТ | GWh | 1.5% | 1.4% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.6% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% |
| NUG | | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Renewables | | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Other | | GWh | 24.4% | 24.6% | 22.4% | 21.4% | 25.2% | 36.5% | 26.8% | 27.4% | 25.6% | 24.8% | 24.7% | 24.5% |
| Firm Interchange Renewables | Biomass | GWh | 0.6% | 0.6% | 0.7% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Firm Interchange Renewables Landfill Gas | | GWh | 0.6% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Firm Interchange Renewables MSW | | GWh | 2.8% | 3.3% | 2.8% | 2.8% | 2.8% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 1.1% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Firm Interchange Base | | GWh | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Firm Interchange Intermediate | | GWh | 19.7% | 19.5% | 18.2% | 17.9% | 21.4% | 33.0% | 23.3% | 23.9% | 23.7% | 23.4% | 23.8% | 23.5% |
| Firm Interchange Peaking | | GWh | 0.6% | 0.9% | 0.6% | 0.4% | 1.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.3% |
| Non-Firm Interchange Renewables Solar | | GWh | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 0.7% |
| Net Energy for Load | Net Energy for Load | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

NOTES: 1) Net interchange, unit power purchases and DEF and FPL system purchases are included under source fuel categories.

2) Totals may not add due to rounding.

3) Seminole Electric Cooperative may sell a portion of the renewable energy credits associated with its renewable generation to third parties. The third parties can use the credits to meet mandatory or voluntary renewable requirements.



T.

FORECASTING METHODS AND PROCEDURES

3.1 Forecasting Methodology

Seminole adheres to generally accepted methodology and procedures currently employed in the electric utility industry to forecast number of consumers, energy, and peak demand. Forecast models are developed using regression analysis. Each Member Cooperative is modeled separately based on the unique growth characteristics in that service territory. Seminole produces monthly forecasts for each Member system, and when applicable, by rate classification. Seminole's system forecast is the aggregate of Member system forecasts. Model input data sources include Member Rural Utilities Services Form-7 Financial and Statistical Reports (RUS Form-7), Moody's Economic Consumer and Credit Analytics (ECCA), and University of Florida's Bureau of Economic and Business Research (UF BEBR), Seminole's System Operations Power Billing System (PBS), Itron, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) and AccuWeather.

3.1.1 Consumer Model

Numbers of consumers are modeled by month with regression analysis. Explanatory variables analyzed in these models include population, housing statistics, and economic indicators. Consumer models are specified by Member total and by rate classification. Rate class forecasts are reconciled to match, in aggregate, the total consumer forecasts by Member. Territorial agreements and information provided directly from Member representatives regarding anticipated changes in service territories are incorporated in forecast projections.



The "other" consumer class represents a small portion of Member energy sales, including irrigation, street and highway lighting, public buildings, and sales for resale.

3.1.2 Energy Model

Forecasts of Member energy purchases from Seminole are developed using regression analysis on hourly delivery point meter data aggregated to monthly values. Models are developed by Member total and by rate classification. Explanatory variables analyzed in these models include temperature and precipitation statistics, population and housing statistics, economic indicators, and price projections developed internally. Parameters explaining the reduction in load due to energy efficiency are also included. Member rate class energy purchases from Seminole are derived by scaling-up RUS Form-7 monthly energy sales to end-users by distribution loss factors. Rate class forecasts are reconciled bottom-up to match total level forecasts.

3.1.3 Peak Demand Model

Maximum peak demand is modeled by month and by season for each Member system using regression analysis. Explanatory variables analyzed in these models include temperature and precipitation statistics, population and housing statistics, gross product, internal electricity price data, load factor and energy efficiency.

Seasonal peak models are designed to predict winter and summer peaks based on a



range of months where the highest peaks are expected to occur in each season. Winter seasonal peak models regress the highest peak during November through March of each year against contemporaneous explanatory variables. Summer seasonal peak models regress the highest peak from April through September of each year against contemporaneous explanatory variables. Seasonal peak forecasts replace monthly model forecast results for the month each seasonal peak is most likely to occur.

Seminole's maximum demand is the aggregate of the one-hour simultaneous demands of all Members that maximizes the peak of the system in a single month. Forecasts of Seminole maximum demand are derived by applying coincident factors to Member-maximum demand forecasts. Future peak demands coincident with Seminole may be equal to or less than Member non-coincident maximum peaks, if the Member peak is normally not coincident with Seminole.

Load factor forecasts are derived through regression analysis of daily and monthly temperatures leading up to the peak day. These models are also developed by month and by season.

3.1.4 Alternative-Scenario Model

In addition to the base forecast, Seminole forecasts load conditions given mild and severe temperatures in the Members' geographical regions based on 90/10



percentiles of historical temperature observations.

3.1.5 Behind-the-Meter Solar

Seminole added behind-the-meter distributed solar projections to the load forecast study process in 2017. The purpose of the analysis is to reduce future energy and demand requirements that Seminole expects will otherwise be served by solar facilities that are owned by either Seminole's Members or the end-use consumer members (e.g., rooftop solar). Seminole only forecasts new incremental growth in solar capacity, as existing capacity is already accounted for in historical load data. The underlying data for this analysis are gathered from annual net metering reports that the Members submit to the Florida Public Service Commission, which show the number of customer-owned renewable generation connections and the capacity associated with those connections. The historical trend from these data are analyzed to produce solar capacity growth rates five years ahead. End-use solar capacity growth rates published in the U.S. Energy Information Administration's (EIA) Annual Energy Outlook (AEO) are utilized thereafter. The hourly impacts of the installed capacity are estimated using the solar resource calculator available on the National Renewable Energy Laboratory website. The hourly values output by the calculator are scaled up by Seminole's capacity projections, and aggregated to estimate monthly energy output. Monthly demand estimates are selected based on seasonal peak hours coincident with Seminole.



3.2 Load Forecast Data

The primary resources for load forecasting are weather data, economic data, Member retail data, delivery point meter data, and energy efficiency data. Number of consumers and sales by consumer class are provided by Members through the RUS Form-7 financial report. Hourly delivery point load data is provided monthly by Seminole's System Operations department. Independent source data for economic and demographic statistics as well as energy efficiency are provided by government and credit rating agencies, independent vendors, and local universities.

Energy efficiency data for load forecast models are derived by combining Itron Statistically Adjusted End-Use (SAE) spreadsheets and Member residential appliance saturation surveys. Itron's spreadsheets provide appliance energy consumption and equipment stock historical data and projections from the EIA's AEO for the South Atlantic census region. Seminole also uses electric appliance saturation statistics captured in Member residential surveys to better reflect Member territory equipment adoption trends. These data are analyzed by utilizing Itron's SAE indexing methodology interacted with temperature statistics to produce "heat-use index", "cool-use index", and "base-use index" time-series at the usage-per-consumer level. These statistics are scaled to fit Seminole's total-energy requirement models by rate class and are aggregated to a Member-system total using weighted combinations. The SAE theory for calculating commercial energy efficiency variables is optimized by incorporating County-level employment by industry data from



the BLS to approximate weighted shares and intensities of industrial equipment within each Member Cooperative's service territory as opposed to the broader South Atlantic census region. Last, the "other" rate class efficiency assumptions include lighting efficiencies for Member Cooperatives that account for public street and highway lighting in this classification.

3.2.1 Materials Reviewed and/or Employed

Load Data by Delivery Point:

• Seminole's System Operations' Power Billing System (PBS)

Retail Number of Consumers, Energy Sales by Rate Class:

• Rural Utilities Services Form-7 Financial and Statistical Reports (RUS Form-7)

Individual Large Consumer Loads Over 1000 kVA:

• Member provided

Demographic and Economic Indicators:

- DataBuffet, Moody's Analytics Economic Consumer and Credit Analytics (ECCA)
- Projections of Florida Population by County, Volume 50, Bulletin 177, University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research (UF BEBR); Quarterly Estimates from the Florida Legislative Office of Economic and Demographic Research.
- Employment by Industry, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS)



Energy Efficiency and Behind-the-Meter Solar:

- 2018 Annual Energy Outlook (AEO), U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA)
- 2017 Residential and Commercial Statistically Adjusted End-Use Spreadsheets, Itron
- 2016 Member Residential Appliance Saturation Survey
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)

Weather Data:

• AccuWeather, Inc.



3.3 Significant Load Forecast Assumptions

3.3.1 Economic Assumptions

Seminole Members serve electricity to primarily-rural areas within 42 counties in the north, central, and south regions of Florida, which differ uniquely in geography, weather, and natural resources. These broad, low-density land areas are largely undeveloped. Population growth in Seminole's territory is sensitive to national economic and demographic factors that influence population migration from other states and metropolitan areas within Florida.

Historically, consumer growth in the Seminole-Member system has grown at a faster rate than the State of Florida as a whole and this trend is expected to continue. The leading indicators for load growth are Florida's expanding economy and net migration prospects into the state, especially from "baby boomer" retirees. Consumer growth and business activity will drive system growth, while downward pressure will come from flattening and declining residential end-use due to growth in efficient technologies, renewable generation, and alternative resources.

3.3.2 Weather Assumptions

Hourly temperature data for 25 weather stations in the proximity of Member service territories are provided by AccuWeather. Weather statistics for each Member's geographical area are derived from a set of weather stations that are found to best



predict Member load over recent years.

Historical weather statistics input into forecast models include precipitation and relative humidity, minimum and maximum temperatures, and heating and cooling degree days. Monthly heating degree days represent the sum of degrees each daily average temperatures falls below 61° Fahrenheit, which is an approximate temperature when consumers turn on heating devices. Alternatively, monthly cooling degree days represent the sum of degrees each daily average temperatures exceeds 72° Fahrenheit, which is an approximate temperature when consumers turn of degrees each daily average temperatures on A/C units.

Normal weather statistics are based on a 30-year horizon of historical monthly observations. The two seasonal peak demand months for each year across the 30-year horizon are used to generate seasonal weather statistics. Extreme weather used for alternative-scenario forecasts include the 10th and 90th percentiles of historical temperatures, representing mild, and severe events, respectively.



FORECAST OF FACILITIES REQUIREMENTS

Seminole's base case forecasts of capacity and demand for the projected summer and winter peaks are in the following Schedules 7.1 and 7.2, respectively. The forecast includes the addition of approximately 2,302 MW of summer capacity by 2028. Such capacity is needed to replace the capacity associated with the removal of a Seminole Generating Station coal unit from service, expiring purchased power contracts and to serve increased Member load requirements while maintaining Seminole's reliability criteria. Overall, these additions, expirations and changes result in a net increase of 191 MW of total summer capacity by 2028.

Seminole's capacity expansion plan includes the need for a new advanced, large-frame two-on-one natural gas combined cycle unit to be constructed adjacent to the existing Seminole Generation Site. The facility is expected to have a gross nominal output of 1,183 MW and a net nominal output of 1,050 MW which it is anticipated to achieve across the entire range of ambient conditions typically experienced in Palatka, Florida. The Seminole Combined Cycle Facility (SCCF) is scheduled to begin construction in December 2019 and commence service in December 2022, coinciding with the removal of a Seminole coal unit from service. At this time, Seminole is evaluating which of the two coal units to remove from service.



In addition to the SCCF, Seminole's capacity expansion plan also includes a number of new power purchase agreements to fulfill its needs. Seminole has executed agreements for a new natural gas one-on-one combined cycle facility, existing combustion turbines, system power and a newly constructed solar facility. Further details on these agreements is detailed in Table 1.2 above. The agreement with Shady Hills Energy Center, LLC is for a new highly efficient 573 MW (winter) one-on-one combined cycle with duct burners, and is scheduled to commence commercial operations in December 2021. Seminole also has a power purchase agreement for 346 MW (winter) of dual fueled combustion turbines from the same Shady Hills site, slated to begin June 2024. Other power agreements include purchases from two counterparties for system power, one from Southern Company Services, Inc. and a second from Duke Energy Florida. System power is provided for terms between five and fifteen years. Finally, Seminole also has a power purchase agreement for 40 MW of photovoltaic solar energy from Coronal Solar, with commercial operation scheduled for January 2022.



| | | Firm Ca | apacity Import | t (MW) | _ | | . , | Capacity Available System Firm Summer (MW) Peak Demand (MW) | | | largin Before enance | | | Margin After Tenance | |
|------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|------------------------------|----------|-------|--|-------|------------|-------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Year | Total Installed Capacity (MW) | PR and FR | Other Purchases | Total | Firm Capacity Export (MW) | QFs (MW) | Total | Less PR and FR | Total | Obligation | MW | % of Pk | Scheduled Maintenance (MW) | MW | % of Pk |
| 2019 | 2,041 | 0 | 1,829 | 1,829 | 0 | 0 | 3,870 | 3,870 | 3,151 | 3,151 | 719 | 23% | 0 | 719 | 23% |
| 2020 | 2,071 | 0 | 1,815 | 1,815 | 0 | 0 | 3,886 | 3,886 | 3,193 | 3,193 | 693 | 22% | 0 | 693 | 22% |
| 2021 | 2,071 | 0 | 1,648 | 1,648 | 0 | 0 | 3,719 | 3,719 | 3,225 | 3,225 | 494 | 15% | 0 | 494 | 15% |
| 2022 | 2,071 | 0 | 1,742 | 1,742 | 0 | 0 | 3,813 | 3,813 | 3,265 | 3,265 | 548 | 17% | 0 | 548 | 17% |
| 2023 | 2,553 | 0 | 1,392 | 1,392 | 0 | 0 | 3,945 | 3,945 | 3,309 | 3,309 | 636 | 19% | 0 | 636 | 19% |
| 2024 | 2,553 | 0 | 1,720 | 1,720 | 0 | 0 | 4,273 | 4,273 | 3,355 | 3,355 | 918 | 27% | 0 | 918 | 27% |
| 2025 | 2,553 | 0 | 1,482 | 1,482 | 0 | 0 | 4,035 | 4,035 | 3,396 | 3,396 | 639 | 19% | 0 | 639 | 19% |
| 2026 | 2,553 | 0 | 1,407 | 1,407 | 0 | 0 | 3,960 | 3,960 | 3,435 | 3,435 | 525 | 15% | 0 | 525 | 15% |
| 2027 | 2,553 | 0 | 1,454 | 1,454 | 0 | 0 | 4,007 | 4,007 | 3,476 | 3,476 | 531 | 15% | 0 | 531 | 15% |
| 2028 | 2,553 | 0 | 1,512 | 1,512 | 0 | 0 | 4,065 | 4,065 | 3,515 | 3,515 | 550 | 16% | 0 | 550 | 16% |

Schedule 7.1 Forecast of Capacity, Demand and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Summer Peak

NOTE: 1. Total installed capacity and the associated reserve margins are based on Seminole's current base plan and are based on a 15% reserve margin criterion.

2. Total Installed Capacity does not include SEPA or Solar.

3. Percent reserves are calculated at 15% of Seminole's obligation and include any surplus capacity.



35

| | | Firm Ca | apacity Import | t (MW) | Firm Capacity | | . , | Available IW) | ' | Firm Winter mand (MW) | | argin Before enance | Scheduled | | Nargin After enance |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|------------------|----------|-------|-------------------|-------|--------------------------|------|------------------------|----------------------|------|------------------------|
| | Total Installed Capacity (MW) | PR and FR | Other Purchases | Total | Export (MW) | QFs (MW) | Total | Less PR and FR | Total | Obligation | MW | % of Pk | Maintenanc e (MW) | MW | % of Pk |
| 2019/20 | 2,252 | 0 | 2,482 | 2,482 | 0 | 0 | 4,734 | 4,734 | 3,510 | 3,510 | 1224 | 35% | 0 | 1224 | 35% |
| 2020/21 | 2,252 | 0 | 1,877 | 1,877 | 0 | 0 | 4,129 | 4,129 | 3,567 | 3,567 | 562 | 16% | 0 | 562 | 16% |
| 2021/22 | 2,252 | 0 | 1,908 | 1,908 | 0 | 0 | 4,160 | 4,160 | 3,609 | 3,609 | 551 | 15% | 0 | 551 | 15% |
| 2022/23 | 2,710 | 0 | 1,511 | 1,511 | 0 | 0 | 4,221 | 4,221 | 3,662 | 3,662 | 559 | 15% | 0 | 559 | 15% |
| 2023/24 | 2,710 | 0 | 1,596 | 1,596 | 0 | 0 | 4,306 | 4,306 | 3,711 | 3,711 | 595 | 16% | 0 | 595 | 16% |
| 2024/25 | 2,710 | 0 | 1,635 | 1,635 | 0 | 0 | 4,345 | 4,345 | 3,771 | 3,771 | 574 | 15% | 0 | 574 | 15% |
| 2025/26 | 2,710 | 0 | 1,735 | 1,735 | 0 | 0 | 4,445 | 4,445 | 3,820 | 3,820 | 625 | 16% | 0 | 625 | 16% |
| 2026/27 | 2,710 | 0 | 1,790 | 1,790 | 0 | 0 | 4,500 | 4,500 | 3,873 | 3,873 | 627 | 16% | 0 | 627 | 16% |
| 2027/28 | 2,710 | 0 | 1,807 | 1,807 | 0 | 0 | 4,517 | 4,517 | 3,919 | 3,919 | 598 | 15% | 0 | 598 | 15% |
| 2028/29 | 2,710 | 0 | 1,861 | 1,861 | 0 | 0 | 4,571 | 4,571 | 3,966 | 3,966 | 605 | 15% | 0 | 605 | 15% |

Schedule 7.2 Forecast of Capacity, Demand and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Winter Peak

NOTES: 1. Total installed capacity and the associated reserve margins are based on Seminole's current base plan and are based on a 15% reserve margin criterion.

2. Total Installed Capacity does not include SEPA or Solar.

3. Percent reserves are calculated at 15% of Seminole's obligation and include any surplus capacity.



4.1 Planned and Prospective Generating Facility Additions and Changes

Schedule 8 below shows Seminole's planned and prospective generating facility additions and changes.

Schedule 8 Planned and Prospective Generating Facility Additions and Changes

| | Unit | | Unit _ | Fi | Jel | Transpo | ortation | _ Const. Start | Comm. In- | Expected Retirement | Мах | | | |
|-----------------------------|------|---------------|--------|-----|-----|---------|----------|----------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|--------|
| Plant Name | No | Location | Туре | Pri | Alt | Pri | Alt | Date | Service Date | Date | Nameplate | Summer MW | Winter MW | Status |
| MIDULLA GENERATING STATION | CT2 | Hardee County | СТ | NG | DFO | PL | ΤK | | 11/2019 | | 37 | 30 | 37 | OP |
| SEMINOLE CC FACILITY | TBD | Putnam County | CC | NG | | PL | | 12/2019 | 12/2022 | | 1116 | 1108 | 1122 | Т |
| SEMINOLE GENERATING STATION | TBD | Putnam County | ST | BIT | | RR | | | | 01/2023 | -735.9 | See Note 2 | See Note 2 | Р |

NOTES: 1) Abbreviations – See Schedule 1

2) Seminole is currently evaluating which of either SGS U1 or SGS U2 will be removed from service commensurate with the commissioning of the Seminole Combined Cycle Facility. The applicable capacity ratings are identified on Schedule 1.



4.2 **Proposed Generating Facilities**

Schedule 9 below reports the status and specifications of Seminole's proposed generating facility.

| | Status Report and Specifications of Proposed Generating Facilities | | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Plant Name & Unit Number | Seminole CC Facility | | | | | | |
| 2 | Capacity | | | | | | | |
| | a. Summer (MW): | 1108 | | | | | | |
| | b. Winter (MW): | 1116 | | | | | | |
| | c. ISO (MW): | 1122 | | | | | | |
| 3 | Technology Type: | Combined Cycle | | | | | | |
| 4 | Anticipated Construction Timing | | | | | | | |
| | a. Field construction start-date ¹ : | December 2019 | | | | | | |
| | b. Commercial in-service date: | December 2022 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Fuel | | | | | | | |
| | a. Primary fuel: | Natural Gas | | | | | | |
| | b. Alternate fuel: | None | | | | | | |
| 6 | Air Pollution Control Strategy | Dry Low-NOx burners, SCR, and Oxidation Catalyst | | | | | | |
| 7 | Cooling Method: | Wet Cooling Tower with Forced Draft Fans | | | | | | |
| 8 | Total Site Area: | SGS | | | | | | |
| 9 | Construction Status: | Planned | | | | | | |
| 10 | Certification Status: | Planned | | | | | | |
| 11 | Status With Federal Agencies | N/A | | | | | | |
| 12 | Projected Unit Performance Data | | | | | | | |
| | Planned Outage Factor (POF): | 4.00 | | | | | | |
| | Forced Outage Factor (FOF): | 3.00 | | | | | | |
| | Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF): | 93.00 | | | | | | |
| | Resulting Capacity Factor (%): | 79% | | | | | | |
| | Average Net Operating Heat Rate (ANOHR): | 6328 Btu/kWh (HHV) - ISO Rating | | | | | | |
| 13 | Projected Unit Financial Data (\$2022) | | | | | | | |
| | Book Life (Years): | 30 | | | | | | |
| | Total Installed Cost (In-Service Year \$/kW) ² : | 648 | | | | | | |
| | Direct Construction Cost (\$/kW): | 608 | | | | | | |
| | AFUDC Amount (\$/kW): | 40 | | | | | | |
| | Escalation (\$/kW): | Included in values above | | | | | | |
| | Fixed O&M (\$/kW-Yr): | 15 | | | | | | |
| | Variable O&M (\$/Run Hour): | - | | | | | | |
| | Variable O&M (\$/MWH): | 0.114 | | | | | | |
| | K Factor: | N/A | | | | | | |
| | S: 1) Assumes thirty-six months of construction | | | | | | | |

Status Report and Specifications of Proposed Generating Facilitie

NOTES: 1) Assumes thirty-six months of construction.

2) Calculated at ISO rating.



4.3 **Proposed Transmission Lines**

Schedule 10 below reports status and specifications of Seminole's proposed directly

associated transmission lines corresponding with proposed generating facilities.

Schedule 10

| Stat | us Report and Specifications of Propose Lines | d Associated Transmission |
|------|--|---------------------------|
| 1 | Point of Origin and Termination: | |
| 2 | Number of Lines: | |
| 3 | Right-of-Way | Seminole will utilize |
| 4 | Line Length: | existing transmission |
| 5 | Voltage: | lines and does not |
| 6 | Anticipated Construction Timing: | anticipate any new |
| 7 | Anticipated Capital Investment: | lines. |
| 8 | Substation: | |
| 9 | Participation with Other Utilities: | |
| Note | None | |

Note: None



OTHER PLANNING ASSUMPTIONS AND INFORMATION

5.1 Transmission Reliability

In general, Seminole models its transmission planning criteria after the Florida Reliability Coordinating Council's ("FRCC") planning guidelines and procedures. The FRCC has modeled its planning guidelines consistent with the North American Electric Reliability Corporation's ("NERC") Reliability Standards. Seminole's Transmission facilities are planned such that they shall not exceed their applicable facility rating under normal conditions or contingency events. In addition, Seminole uses the following voltage guidelines for all applicable stations:

| | Phase-to-Phase Voltage | No Contingency ^[1] Normal Conditions (lower/upper limit) | Post Contingency ^[1] |
|----------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Seminole | 230 kV | 0.95pu/1.05pu | 0.95pu/1.05pu |
| Owned | 115 kV | 0.90pu/1.05pu | 0.90pu/1.05pu |
| | 69 kV | 0.90pu/1.05pu | 0.90pu/1.05pu |
| Seminole | 230 kV | 0.90pu/1.05pu | 0.90pu/1.05pu |
| Member | 138 kV | 0.90pu/1.05pu | 0.90pu/1.05pu |
| Owned | 115 kV | 0.90pu/1.05pu | 0.90pu/1.05pu |
| | 69 kV | 0.90pu/1.05pu | 0.90pu/1.05pu |

[1] Exception: For Seminole and Member-owned BES transmission systems; the lower voltage limits used during transient/stability studies are in accordance with the FRCC's Stability Criteria document. For Seminole's owned 230 kV transmission system, the upper voltage limit during steady-state and transient studies can reach up to 1.065 pu; however, typically the transmission planner will utilize 1.05 pu as a starting point.



5.2 Plan Economics

Seminole creates a base case scenario using the most recent load forecast, fuel forecast, operational cost assumptions, and financial assumptions against which power supply alternatives are then compared to determine the overall effect on the present worth of revenue requirements (PWRR). All other things being equal, the option with the lowest long-term PWRR is normally selected. Sensitivity analyses are done to test how robust the selected generation option is when various parameters change from the base study assumptions (e.g., load forecast, fuel price, and capital costs of new generation).

5.3 Fuel Price Forecast

5.3.1 Coal

Spot and long-term market commodity prices for coal (at the mine) and transportation rates have shown increased volatility in recent years. This condition is expected to continue into the future, as environmental rules/standards, coal generating station retirements, coal supply/demand imbalances, coal transportation availability/pricing, and world energy markets all combine to affect U.S. coal prices. The underlying value of coal at the mine will continue to be driven by changing domestic demand, reductions to the number of available coal suppliers, planned coal unit retirements, export opportunities for U.S. coal, and federal/state mine safety rules/legislation affecting the direct mining costs. Additional coal delivered price increases and volatility will come from the cost of transportation equipment (railcars), handling service contracts and freight transportation impacts.



Railroads are also affected by reduced coal deliveries, federal rules and legislative changes and fuel oil markets, all of which are impacting the volatility of the cost of rail service in the U.S. As long-term rail transportation contracts come up for renewals, the railroads have placed upward pressure on delivered coal costs to increase revenues to overcome operating cost increases and reduced demand. However, since 2012, lower natural gas prices have created an opportunity for electric utilities to swap natural gas for coal-fired generation and this price arbitrage may have reduced the railroads' near-term ability to apply upward pricing pressure during contract renewals. CSX Transportation, Inc. is Seminole's sole coal transport provider and the parties are operating under a confidential multi-year rail transportation contract. Seminole also has a confidential multi-year coal contract with Alliance Coal, LLC providing a majority of our coal requirements from the Illinois Basin. Both of these existing relationships reduce Seminole's coal price volatility risk for the near term.

5.3.2 Fuel Oil

The domestic price for fuel oil will continue to reflect the price volatility of the world energy market for crude oil and refined products. Seminole is currently only purchasing ultra-low sulfur fuel oil for its generating stations, generally as a backup fuel to natural gas. As Seminole uses limited quantities of fuel oil to provide for the energy requirements of its members, fuel oil volatility is not a major driver in regards to system energy costs.



5.3.3 Natural Gas

Natural gas prices are projected to remain fairly stable over the next ten years, with volatility projected primarily in the short term markets. At year-end 2018, volatility increased and the December NYMEX contract final settlement was \$4.715, a 4.5 year high, which was mainly due to early cold weather and low storage inventory. Henry Hub gas prices for 2019 were projected to be \$3.00 per mmBtu and remained relatively flat in response to increased gas production and new pipeline capacity. Beyond 2019, nominal gas prices were projected to remain below \$3.00 per mmBtu through 2026 before increasing to \$3.20 per mmBtu at the end of the ten-year study period.

5.3.4 Modeling of Fuel Sensitivity

Given the uncertainty of future fuel prices, the historical volatility of natural gas prices, and Seminole's reliance on gas as a significant component of its fuel portfolio, it is prudent to evaluate the impact of various gas prices on its alternative resources for meeting future needs. For this, Seminole incorporates both a high and low natural gas price forecast as a complement to its base case price forecast to support resource planning. Calculated with available market information, Seminole's high/low gas price curves form a statistical confidence interval around its base case price forecast.



5.4 Coal/Gas Price Differential

The 2018 market prices for natural gas and coal delivered to Seminole's generating units continue to reflect soft gas prices and a significant narrowing of the price spread that historically existed between the two fuels. This spread is projected to invert, with natural gas prices below that of coal, beginning in 2019 and remaining that way throughout the study period given the market's projection of depressed gas prices.

5.5 Modeling of Generation Unit Performance

Existing units are modeled with forced outage rates and heat rates for the near term based on recent historical data. The long-term rates are based on a weighting of industry average data or manufacturers' design performance data.

5.6 Financial Assumptions

Expansion plans are evaluated based on Seminole's forecast of market-based loan fund rates.

5.7 Resource Planning Process

Seminole's primary long-range planning goal is to develop the most cost-effective way to meet its Members' load requirements while maintaining high system reliability and managing risk. Seminole's optimization process for resource selection is based primarily on total revenue requirements. As a not-for-profit cooperative, revenue requirements translate directly into rates to our Members. The plan with the lowest revenue requirements



is generally selected, assuming that other factors such as reliability impact, initial rate impact, risk, and strategic considerations are neutral. Seminole also recognizes that planning assumptions change over time, so planning decisions must be robust and are, therefore, tested over a variety of sensitivities. A flow chart of Seminole's planning process is shown below in Figure 5.1.




Figure 5.1 Resource Planning Process



5.8 Reliability Criteria

The total amount of generating capacity and reserves required by Seminole is affected by Seminole's load forecast and its reliability criteria. Reserves serve two primary purposes: to provide replacement power during generator outages, and to account for load forecast uncertainty. Seminole's primary reliability criteria is a minimum reserve margin of 15% during the peak winter and summer seasons which ensures that Seminole has adequate generating capacity to provide reliable service to its Members and to limit Seminole's emergency purchases from interconnected, neighboring systems.

5.9 **DSM Programs**

Schedules 3.1 and 3.2 reflect the estimated savings from residential and commercial load management programs since 2015. Seminole promotes Member involvement in demand side management (DSM) through its rate structure, which provides Members with price signals that reflect Seminole's cost of supplying power in aggregate. Under this rate structure, Seminole's demand charge to each of its Members is applied to each Member's demand at the time of Seminole's peak. This encourages Members to concentrate their load-management efforts on controlling Seminole's overall system peak rather than their separate peaks. In addition, Seminole's wholesale rate to its Members include time-of-use fuel charges to reflect the differences in fuel costs incurred by Seminole to serve its Members during the peak and off-peak periods. Each Member may use these price signals to evaluate the cost effectiveness of DSM, energy efficiency and conservation measures



for its own circumstances. To ensure Members have the opportunity to achieve maximum load-management benefit, Seminole's system operators develop and implement a coordinated load management demand reduction strategy in real time to notify Members when Seminole's monthly billing peak is expected to occur.

Members participate in Seminole's coordinated load management-demand reduction strategy during peak-demand billing events through distribution system voltage reduction ("VR") and coincident peak power rate programs. Seminole's Members also offer a variety of programs and services to end-use member-consumers in order to promote energy efficiency, conservation and cost savings. Member DSM, energy efficiency and conservation programs include:

- Distribution System Voltage Reduction (VR): Coordinated load management-demand reduction program where Member system operators lower voltage during critical peak billing periods, within allowable thresholds, on distribution feeders to reduce demand during critical peak billing periods.
- Commercial Coincident Peak Power (CPP) Rates: Coordinated load managementdemand reduction program where enrolled commercial and industrial member-consumers are signaled to shed load during critical peak billing periods.
- **Commercial Interruptible Rates:** Direct load control program where Seminole or the Members interrupt electrical service to enrolled member-consumers during extreme peak demand, capacity shortage or emergency conditions.



- Commercial Customer Load Generation Program: Standby peak-shaving generators, which Seminole and its Members may dispatch for purpose of load management and enhanced reliability. Members with standby generators under this program receive a billing credit.
- Time-of-Use (TOU) Rates: Residential, commercial, or industrial rates that encourage member-consumers to use power during off-peak hours when prices are relatively less expensive.
- **Residential Pre-Pay:** Residential member-consumers pre-pay for their electricity and receive enhanced feedback on their energy use and costs. The increased energy awareness that this program provides results in behavioral changes that produce energy savings.
- LED/CFL Efficient Bulb Giveaway: This program provides participating end-use member-consumers with free energy-efficient 10 Watt (W) light emitting diode ("LED") or 13 W compact fluorescent light ("CFL") bulbs to replace their existing 60 W incandescent bulbs.
- LED Outdoor and Street Lighting: Replacement of Member-owned outdoor and street lighting with lower wattage LEDs.
- Energy Smart Rebates: A rebate is given to residential member-consumers to upgrade to more efficient equipment and/or improve the building envelope. Rebate opportunities include: air conditioners and heat pumps, heat pump water heaters, solar water heaters, insulation batt or spray foam and window film.



• Energy Audits: On-site energy audit program for residential, commercial and industrial member-consumers.

Seminole assists its Members in evaluating and implementing DSM measures. In 2008, Seminole and its Members jointly formed an Energy Efficiency Working Group to coordinate and further-enhance energy conservation and efficiency initiatives. The function of this group is to promote conservation, efficiency and DSM programs through the sharing of information, consumer education, and joint assessment of energy efficiency technologies. In addition to participating in the Working Group, Seminole has sponsored its own conservation and efficiency initiatives, which include giving LED light bulbs to member-consumers during Member meetings and administering an LED light bulb bulk purchase program for Members. Seminole also provides Members with materials that can be distributed to end-use member-consumers including educational brochures, manufactured housing weatherization brochures, videos on energy efficiency home auditing, and a video on Cooperative Solar. Seminole remains active in upgrading utility system efficiency at administration and generation facilities.

Seminole is currently working with Members to evaluate and implement pilot programs. Seminole is currently implementing with its Members a Smart Thermostat pilot program with 1,110 end-use consumer member thermostats enrolled.

Finally, Seminole also is committed to working with its Members to improve program



tracking and increase future savings by enhancing current efforts and adding new measures to existing programs when appropriate. In 2016, Seminole engaged Advanced Energy and Tierra Resource Consultants, LLC (AE/Tierra), an energy and natural resource consulting firm, to help quantify the energy efficiency and DSM savings achieved by Seminole's Members.

5.10 Strategic Concerns

In the rapidly changing utility industry, strategic and risk related issues are becoming increasingly important and play a companion role to economics in Seminole's power supply planning process. Seminole values resource diversity, flexibility and optionality as a hedge against a variety of risks, as evidenced by our current generation portfolio. Long-term resources contribute stability while shorter-term arrangements add flexibility. Seminole considers both system and unit-specific capacity when determining our reserve requirements. Resource location, transmission interconnection, and deliverability are all considerations for Seminole in constructing its portfolio. Flexibility in fuel supply is another significant strategic concern. A portfolio that relies on a diverse number of fuel types is better protected against extreme price fluctuations, supply interruptions, and transportation constraints/instability. Seminole believes that the existing and future diversity in its power supply plan has significant strategic value, leaving Seminole in a good position to respond to both market and industry changes while remaining competitive. Given the current regulatory environment, Seminole has assumed that all future large



generation additions will be primarily fueled with natural gas. Seminole is also reviewing the possibility of additional renewable generation, such as solar.

5.11 Procurement of Supply-Side Resources

In making decisions on future procurement of power supply, Seminole compares self-build, acquisition, and purchased power alternatives. Seminole solicits proposals from reliable, creditworthy counterparties in the wholesale market. Seminole's evaluation of its options includes an assessment of economic life cycle cost, reliability, operational flexibility, strategic concerns, and risk elements.

5.12 Transmission Construction and Upgrade Plans

To support the construction and future operation of SCCF, Seminole will be expanding its existing SGS Switchyard to facilitate interconnection of the new SCCF plant with Seminole's 230 kV transmission system. As a result of generation interconnection studies performed by Seminole, its consultants, and the FRCC, it has been identified that a re-rating of FPL's existing 230 kV transmission line emanating from the SGS Switchyard to FPL's remote-end substation is required. The re-rating will be performed by FPL and will be implemented prior to the commercial operation date of SCCF.



ENVIRONMENTAL AND LAND USE INFORMATION

6.1 Potential Sites

6.1.1 Gilchrist Site – Gilchrist County, Florida

Seminole owns land in Gilchrist County but has not made a final determination if or when the site will be used for any of Seminole's future resource requirements. The Gilchrist site is approximately five-hundred twenty (520) acres in size. The site, as shown on map 3, is located in the central portion of Gilchrist County, approximately two (2) miles east-northeast of Bell, Florida, and about thirty (30) miles west of Gainesville and may be suitable for installation of generation or transmission resources.

Following initial site evaluation in 2007, an additional site evaluation in 2015 included ecological surveys to identify current vegetation/land use types, listed plant or animal species, and location of any wetlands. Prior to the field surveys, available maps and other pertinent information were gathered and reviewed, including: wetland occurrence information documented on National Wetland Inventory (NWI) map(s) from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), soils maps information from the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), records of any listed plants or animals known from Gilchrist County that are available from online data and records maintained by the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI) and the Atlas of Florida Vascular Plants maintained by the



University of South Florida Herbarium, lists of federally listed plants and animals maintained by USFWS, and records of eagle nest locations and wading bird rookeries that might occur within the site available on the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) website.

Much of the site has been used for silviculture (pine plantation) and consists of large tracts of planted longleaf and slash pine communities. Few natural upland communities remain. Most of these large tracts have been harvested, leaving xeric oak, and pine remnants. A few wetland communities remain on the east side of the site with relatively minor disturbances due to adjacent silvicultural activities. Evidence of listed species included the Sherman's fox squirrel (state species of special concern) and gopher tortoise (state threatened) burrows.

At such time as Seminole has determined the Gilchrist site should be considered a preferred site for the construction of generation or transmission facilities, Seminole will update the site evaluation and will obtain necessary approvals.

6.2 Preferred Sites

6.2.1 Seminole Generating Station Site (SGS) - Putnam County, Florida

Seminole Generating Station Site is located in a rural unincorporated area of Putnam County approximately five (5) miles north of the City of Palatka. The site is one thousand nine-hundred ninety-six (1,996) acres bordered by U.S. 17 on the



west, and is primarily undeveloped land on the other sides. The site was certified in 1979 (PA78-10) for two coal-fired electric generating units, SGS Units 1 & 2, totaling approximately 1,472 MW. The selected location for the SCCF facility involves construction and operation of a natural gas-fired two-on-one combinedcycle generating facility and onsite associated facilities on an approximately thirtytwo (32) acre parcel adjacent to the existing SGS plant The new unit will have a gross nominal generating capacity of 1,183 MW and a nominal generating capacity of approximately 1,050 MW.

6.2.1.1 Land and Environmental Features

a. U.S. Geological Survey Map

See map 4

- b. Proposed Facilities Layout
 See map 5
- c. Map of Site and Adjacent Areas

See map 6

d. Existing Land Uses of Site and Adjacent Areas

The existing land use for the SGS site is Public Facilities (PF) as shown on map 7. The SGS site zoning is Planned Unit Development (PUD) as depicted on map 8. The SGS site is currently utilized as a power generation facility. The portion of the SGS site on which the SCCF will



be located is undeveloped woodland. The SCCF unit will be located south of an existing substation, southwest of existing hyperbolic cooling towers, north of an SGS recreational area, and east of the existing SGS waste treatment area. The northern, northwestern, western, northeastern, eastern and southern adjacent properties to SGS are designated A2. The RR land use designation abuts the portion of the property located south of CR 209.

- e. General Environmental Features On and In the Site Vicinity
 - 1. Natural Environment

The SGS site is currently used for electrical generation. Units 1 and 2 are located in the central portion of the site. The site is undeveloped except for Units 1 and 2 and ancillary facilities. Undeveloped portions of the site are primarily forested wetlands and uplands. The SCCF will be located on an upland portion of the property, and will not impact wetlands.

2. Listed Species

Ecological surveys of the SCCF area revealed the presence of gopher tortoises, and one Sherman's fox squirrel was also observed. No listed plant species have been identified in the areas to be impacted. Gopher tortoises are a state-designated threatened species, and the Sherman's fox squirrel is a state species of special



concern. Neither species is federally listed. Seminole will comply with current (FWC) gopher tortoise permitting and relocation rules prior to commencing construction of the SCCF. With regard to the Sherman's fox squirrel, suitable habitat exists outside of the potential area to be impacted. In addition, Seminole will conduct pre-clearing surveys to avoid adverse impacts to any listed species. For these reasons, no adverse impacts to threatened or endangered species are anticipated as a result of the SCCF.

3. Natural Resources of Regional Significance Status

Construction of the SCCF will not adversely impact natural resources of regional significance.

4. Other Significant Features

Seminole is not aware of any other significant site features.

f. Design Features and Mitigation Options

The design includes a new natural gas-fired approximately 1,183 MW (gross nominal)/1,050 MW (nominal net), two-on-one, combined-cycle generating facility and onsite associated facilities on an approximately 32 acre portion of the SGS site. One of the two existing SGS coal-fired units will be removed from service coincident with the declared commercial operation of SCCF. Because Seminole does not anticipate



on-site wetland impacts, no mitigation is anticipated.

g. Local Government Future Land Use Designations

As shown on map 7, all of the SGS site is currently designated PF on the Putnam County Future Land Use Map. The PF land use category allows Community Facilities and Services Type 4, of which power generating plants and facilities are one.

h. Site Selection Criteria Process

The SGS site has been selected as the location for the SCCF based on various factors including land use/ownership, site development, electrical transmission, fuel supply, water supply, wastewater, environmental assessment, transportation, technology, schedule, and economics.

i. Water Resources

Water Resources include surface water from the St. Johns River and groundwater from the Upper Floridan Aquifer.

j. Geologic Features of Site and Adjacent Areas

Putnam County is underlain by sedimentary rocks with an average thickness of nearly 4,000' that range in age from the early Paleozoic era to the Recent. Formations and groups include (from oldest to youngest): the Cedar Keys Formation of Paleocene age; Oldsmar Limestone of early Eocene age; Avon Park Formation of middle Eocene Age; Ocala



Limestone of the late Eocene Age; Hawthorn Group of Miocene age; Nashua Formation of the Pliocene Age; and undifferentiated sediments of the Pleistocene Age.

The SCCF area is underlain by very loose to very dense fine sand, fine sand with silt, fine sand with clay, silty fine sand, and clayey fine sand and very soft to firm clay to depths of approximately 87' below the existing ground surface. Soil borings in the area then encountered medium dense to very dense marl and weathered limestone at depths of 90' to 100' below the existing ground surface.

k. Projected Water Quantities for Various Uses

Cooling water make-up (peak): 8.26 million gallons per day (MGD) Process water (peak): 0.412 MGD Potable water (peak): 0.001 MGD

1. Water Supply Sources by Type

Cooling water make-up: Surface Water Process water: Floridan Aquifer System Potable water: Floridan Aquifer System

 m. Water Conservation Strategies Under Consideration
 Water conservation measures that are incorporated into the current operation of SGS include the collection, treatment, and recycling of



plant process wastewater streams. This wastewater reuse minimizes groundwater and service water uses. A portion of recirculated condenser cooling water (cooling tower blowdown) is withdrawn from the closed cycle cooling tower and discharged to the St. Johns River. Site stormwater is reused to the maximum extent possible and any not reused is treated in wet detention ponds and released to onsite wetlands. The SCCF will likewise utilize a closed cooling system that will cycle cooling water approximately three times prior to disposal. In addition, like the existing SGS units, the source of cooling water make-up is tidally-influenced surface water. Water conservation measures will include collection, treatment, and recycling of plant process wastewater streams to minimize groundwater and service water uses. The SCCF will not require any additional surface water allocation and will require only 0.07 MGD of additional ground water.

n. Water Discharges and Pollution Control

The SCCF will utilize a closed cycle cooling system with cooling towers for heat dissipation, minimizing water discharges. Heat recovery steam generator blowdown and evaporative cooler blowdown will also be reused in the cooling tower. Cooling tower blowdown will be combined with treated sanitary waste and other wastewaters for discharge via existing infrastructure. Discharge from the existing SGS units is to the



St. Johns River, and the SCCF will utilize the same discharge location. The current discharge meets, and any future discharge will meet, all applicable requirements. Stormwater management and treatment will be provided via an on-site stormwater management system designed based on, at a minimum, the 25-year, 24-hour storm and in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements.

- o. Fuel Delivery, Storage, Waste Disposal, and Pollution Control Natural gas will be delivered to SGS via a new pipeline lateral interconnecting with Florida Gas Transmission's mainline transmission system. Seminole has entered into a contract with a third party to construct, own and operate the natural gas pipeline lateral. Solid waste will be disposed of at an appropriate off-site landfill. All hazardous waste generated during operation of the SCCF will be managed in accordance with applicable requirements. Seminole will implement BMPs to prevent and control the inadvertent release of pollutants.
- p. Air Emissions and Control Systems

Air emissions will be minimized through the use of clean natural gas as the fuel source for the SCCF, efficient combined cycle technology, internal combustion controls, and air pollution control equipment. The combustor design will minimize the formation of nitrogen oxides (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).



Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) will further control NO_x emissions. An oxidation catalyst will further control CO and VOC emissions.

q. Noise Emissions and Control Systems

Off-site noise impacts from the SCCF unit are expected to be minimal given that the site has been in operation for electrical generation for decades. Further, the area to be impacted on-site is more than 1,300 feet from the site boundary and over 2,000 feet from the nearest residence.

r. Status of Applications

Seminole filed a Site Certification Application for construction of the SCCF under the Florida Power Plant Siting Act (PPSA), Chapter 403, Part II and received approval from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) on July 27, 2018. An application for a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) air construction permit with the FDEP was submitted on December 8, 2017 and the permit was issued on March 21, 2018. Seminole filed a Petition for Determination of Need for the SCCF with the Florida Public Service Commission on December 21, 2017 and received the Final Order on May 25, 2018. An application to revise the existing National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit was received by FDEP on October 17, 2018.





